Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

Directorate: Environment & Housing



1

Service area: Waste Management

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

	SELVICES		
Lead person: Matthew Birkett	Contact number: 0113 39(51278)		
<u>'</u>			
1. Title: Allocation of Lot 1 Extension Tonnage			
Is this a:			
Strategy / Policy Service / Function Other			
If other, please specify			
2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening			
Decision to approve the allocation of Lot 1 waste tonnage to the modelled mix of contractors highlighted through the process detailed in the DDN report.			

3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation and any other relevant characteristics (for example socio-economic status, social class, income, unemployment, residential location or family background and education or skills levels).

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?		
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		
 Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment 		
 Advancing equality of opportunity 		
Fostering good relations		

If you have answered **no** to the questions above please complete **sections 6 and 7**

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5**.

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011 2

4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

Two of the waste and recycling sites to be awarded tonnage – AWM Gildersome & Caird Peckfield have been the subject of local resident concerns over recent times. To this end consultations have taken place with the Environment Agency and Local Ward Members to understand the concerns.

Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

Whilst there is an acknowledgement of historic substandard performance in some areas, both sites are now progressing well in the eyes of the Environment Agency. Local Members were briefed on the progress at both sites and understand the issues facing this proposal.

Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

The Waste Management Services Contracts Team will continue to monitor both sites closely and liaise with all relevant regulatory bodies. In addition it is understood that through the services continued involvement the Council is better placed to facilitate improvements where required.

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011 3

5. If you are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you will need to carry out an impact assessment.		
Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	N/A	
Date to complete your impact assessment	N/A	
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	N/A	

6. Governance, ownership and approval		
Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening		
Name	Job title	Date
Susan Upton	Chief Officer Waste Management Services	8 th July 2014

7. Publishing This screening document will act as evidence that due regard to equality and diversity has been given. If you are not carrying out an independent impact assessment the screening document will need to be published. Please send a copy to the Equality Team for publishing

Date screening completed	
Date sent to Equality Team	
Date published (To be completed by the Equality Team)	

EDCI Screening Updated February 2011