Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) – Publication Draft Interim Sustainability Appraisal 11th May 2015

- The adopted Leeds Core Strategy provides the overall strategic context for the preparation of the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP). Proposals contained in the AAP therefore need to be in conformity with the overall approach of the Core Strategy, which has in itself, been subject to a Sustainability Appraisal.
- 2. In preparing the AVLAAP Publication draft, consideration of sustainability issues has been on going. In informing this process, an interim sustainability appraisal of sites and the Policies within the emerging Plan (this incorporates the supporting principles, Plan wide Policies and Area Plans).has been completed
- 3. In terms of the sustainability appraisal of sites, it should be emphasised that this is an objective assessment of individual sites (and alternative options), before any mitigation of any negative aspects through Policy intervention or site specific requirements. Consequently, the site assessment schedule contains an explanatory column which cross refers to specific Policies/site requirements, which help mitigate negative effects.
- 4. The sustainability appraisal of Policies looks across the entire Plan (Supporting Principles, Plan Wide Policies and the 5 Area Plans). In supporting the assessment, a comments column has been included to provide a further explanation for the interim conclusions drawn.
- 5. The material included in the sustainability appraisal of sites and Policies at this stage reflects the latest information available, a final Sustainability Appraisal will be completed for Publication consultation on the Plan, to consolidate any changes and updates.

Aire Valley	Leeds Area A	ction Pla	an (AVLA	AP) - Sus	tainabili	tv Appra	aisals of r	propos	sed sit	te alloca	ations an	nd altern	ative or	tions. \	/ersion	@ 11 Ma	av 2015													
HMCA	Ref	SA01	SA02	SA03	SA04	SA05	SA06	SA	A07	SA08	SA09	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14	SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18a	SA18b	SA18c	SA19	SA20	SA21	SA22a	SA22b	SA22c	SA22d	SustApprComment
							_																							
	or housing																													
2	AV7	0	0	0	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	++	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%); Flood Zone 3 (6%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV9	-	-	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV12	-	-	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV13	-	-	+	+	U	++		+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	Ü	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV14	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	х	++		+	-	х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV15	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	++	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV16	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	++	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV17	-	-	+	0	0	++		+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV18	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	++	-	+	++	Х	++	0	+	-	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV20	-	-	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	х	+	0	+	++	Х	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV22	0	0	+	+	0	++	_	+	+	0	Х	++	-	+	++	Х	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV94	-	-	0	0	0	++		+	+	0	Х	+	0	++	-	Х	++	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (27%); Flood Zone 3 (73%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
3	AV38	0	0	+	+	0	0		+	0?	-	х	_		0	+	x	0	0	+	0	0	_	0	_	0	0	0	+	Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site
_																														requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV40	-	-	+	0	0	0		+	-	0	х	+		-	-	х	-	-	+	0	0	-	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (26%); Flood Zone 3 (2%). Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements.
3	AV111	0	0	-	-	0	-		+	0?	0	х	-				х		0	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (2%); Zone 3 (6%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV22	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	+	0	Х	++	-	+	++	Х	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
4	AV23	0	0	+	+	0	0		+	+	0	х	++	_	+	++	х	+	0	0	0	0		+	0	0	0	0	+	Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site
		-				-	Ů		'										_	Ů	Ů				Ü		Ů		'	requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV28	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	0	0	Х	++	0	0	++	Х	0	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV29	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	0	0	Х	-	-	0	+	х	0	0	+	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV32	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	0	0	х	+		0	-	х	0	0	+	-	0	-	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (25%): Zone 3 (10%). Double negative impact on Landscape quality/mitigation via AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV33	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	0	0	Х	++		0	-	Х	0	0	+	-	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (18%); Zone 3 (23%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV34	0	0	+	+	0	++		+	0	0	Х	++		0	-	Х	0	0	+	-	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (26%); Zone 3 (28%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV46	-	-	+	+	0	+		+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV48	-	-	+	+	0	+		+	+	0	Х	+	-	++	-	Х	++	0	+	0	0		+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (
4	AV98	-	-	+	+	0	+		+	+	0	х	+		+	-	х	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (1%): Flood Zone 3 (99%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
Allocated f	or employmen	nt																												
2	AV7	+	++	0	0	0	++		-	+	0	х	++	0	+	-	х	+	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%): Zone 3 (6%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV12	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV14	+	++	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	++		+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV15	+	++	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	++	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV16	+	++	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	++	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV18	+	++	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	Х	++	-	+	++	Х	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV94	+	0	0	0	0	++		-	+	0	Х	+	0	++	-	Х	++	0	+	-	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (27%); Zone 3 (73%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV50	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	х		0	+	+	х	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Double negative: impact Use of Greenfield site for development/mitigation via AV13 & AV14 and site requirements.
3	AV54	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	+	0	+	++	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc. SA1 & SA2 part of site already in employment use
3	AV65	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	+	0	+	++	Х	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV66	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	Х	+	0	+	++	Х	++	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV72	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	х	+	0	0	-	х	0	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	-	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (12%); Flood Zone 3 (88%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV74	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	х		-	+		х	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: impact Use of Greenfield site for development/mitigation via AV13 & AV14 and site requirements, Flood Risk/mitigation via site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV76	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	х	++	0	0	-	х	0	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Safe 1 s A2 some existing employment use on site, but majority of site not in existing employment use. SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV80	0	0	0	0	0	0		-	+	0	Х	+		+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (45%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
	,,,,,,,	U		L Ü	Ŭ		T .				,	^					^		Ü			Ü	Ü	,	J	,	J	,		
3	AV83	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	-	0	х	++	-	-	-	х	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA11 majority of site appears to be derelict. SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: imact on biodiversity, impact on green house gas reduction, impact on meeting needs locally/mitigation via overall plan requirements, area plan and site requirements.
3	AV111	+	+	0	0	0	0		-	0	0	х	-			-	х		0	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	Impact/mitigation tbc.
																														-

Aire Valley	Leeds Area A	ction Pla	ın (AVLA	AP) - Sus	tainabilit	y Appra	isals of p	roposed	site alloc	ations ar	nd altern	ative op	otions. \	ersion (⊋ 11 Ma	y 2015													
HMCA	Ref		SA02	SA03	SA04	SA05	SA06	SA07	SA08	SA09	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14	SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18a	SA18b	SA18c	SA19	SA20	SA21	SA22a	SA22b	SA22c	SA22d	SustApprComment
Identified e	mployment (L	JDP)																											
3	AV52	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	х	-	-	+	+	Х	+	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA11 two thirds of site is brownfield
3	AV55	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х	-	0	+	+	Х	+	-	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	
3	AV56	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х	-		+	+	Х	+		0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	
3	AV62	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	Х	-		-		Х	-	0	+	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (54%); Flood Zone 3 (44%)
3	AV68	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	Х				-	Х		-	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%)
3	AV77	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х		-	++		Х	++	0	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)
3	AV78	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х		-	++		Х	++	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)
3	AV79	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х			+	+	Х	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	
Not allocat	ed for housing																												
2	AV8	0	0	0	+	0	++	+	0	0	Х	++	0	Х	-	Х	Х	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Risk Zone 3 (100%)
2	AV95	-	-	+	+	0		+	+	-	Х	+	0	+	++	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	
2	AV97	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	Х	+	0	+	-	Х	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (
3	AV100	-	-	-	-	0	0	+	-	0	Х	+		0	-	Х	0	0	+	0	0		+	0	0	0	0		SA14: Flood Zone 2 (17%); Zone 3 (82%)
3	AV101	0	0	0	-	0	-	+	-	0	Х	-		-		Х	-		+	0	0	-	+	-		0	0		SA14: Flood Zone 2 (5%); Zone 3 (1%)
Not allocat	ed for employ	ment																											
3	AV53	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х	-		Х	+	Х	Х	0	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	
3	AV102	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	Х	+		+	++	Х	+	-	+	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0		SA12: Concerns relate to one part of the site (rifle range), rest of site supported.
3	AV104	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х	-	-	0		Х	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%) SA20 site is part greenfield and brownfield. Majority is of site ar
3	AV105	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х				-	Х	+		+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0		SA14: Flood Zone 2 (<1%)
3	AV106	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х	-			+	Х	+	-	+	0	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA20 majority of site is brownfield
3	AV107	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х			-		Х	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)
3	AV108	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х		-	++	+	Х		0	+	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	+	
3	AV109	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х			++	+	Х	-		0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+	
3	AV110	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	Х					Х	-	-	+	0	0	-	0	0		0	0		

Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) – Sustainability Appraisal of Policies

Version @ 11 May 2015

Scoring: ++ major positive, +slight positive, 0 neutral, ? uncertain – slight negative, -- major negative, D, Depends.

Timescales: Short Term (S), Medium Term (M), Long Term (L).

Likelihood: Low (L), Medium (M), High (H).

Geographic Scale: National (N), Regional (R), Local (L).

AVLAAP POLICIES												SA OB	JECTI	VES										TIN	1ESCA	LES		L/M/H	GEOGRAPHIC SCALE N/R/L	COMMENTS
	1	2	3	4	5	5 (5	7	8	9	10	11	12	. 13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	1	22	S	М	L			
								AVL	AAF	SUPF	ORTI	NG PR	NCIF	PLES																
L. For the Economy	++	+	+ +	+	C	0 ()	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	+		++	+	++	++	Н	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
2. For Housing	+	+	0	+	(0 -	٠	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
3. For Communities	+	+	+	++	1	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	F	++	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
. For Health	++	+	+	++	- 4	+ -	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	F	+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
5. For Connections	++	+	+	+	4	+ (כ	+	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	+		+	+	++	++	Н	N/R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
6. For Energy & Resources	0	+	0	+	(0 ()	++	+	++	0	++	0	++	+	0	++	+	+	++	+	+		++	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
7. For the Environment & Visitors	+	+	+	++	. (0 -	#	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	0	+	++	++	++	.	+	+	++	++	Н	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
3. For nfrastructure	+	+	+	++	4	+ -	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	F	++	+	++	++	Н	N/R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
	•								Р	LAN V	VIDE	POLICI	ES																	
AV1. Identified Sites for Office Use	**	+	+	+	C	0 (0	-	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	+		+	+	++	++	Н	R/L	The –ve score for housing reflects the impact employment development over housing and the consequence of development within area of flood risk. On this point, the AAP reflects t priorities established via the Core Strategy & risk assessment (& appropriate mitigation) visequential & exceptions tests.
AV2. Identified Sites for General Employment Use	++	+	+	+	C	0 (0	-	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	+		+	+	++	++	Н	R/L	See above.
AV3. Office Development	++	+	+	+)	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	++	++	Н	R/L	See above. With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), ir recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this whave some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be managed and mitigated as part of an overall strategy, consequently this results in an overall + ve so for this objective.
AV4. General Employment Development	#	+	+	+	C	0 (-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	++	+	+	+	+	+		+	+	#	++	н	R/L	See above. With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), i recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this v have some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be manage and mitigated as part of an overall strategy,

																												consequently this results in an overall + ve score
																												for this objective.
AV5. Local Job Opportunities	++	++	++	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	**	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
AV6. Identified Housing Sites	-	+	0	+	0	0	++	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	+	++	0	0	0	**	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	The –ve score for employment reflects the impact of employment development over housing and the consequence of development within areas of flood risk. On this point, the AAP reflects the priorities established via the Core Strategy & risk assessment (& appropriate mitigation) via sequential & exceptions tests. With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), it is recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will
								ı																				relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this will have some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be managed and mitigated as part of an overall strategy, consequently this results in an overall + ve score for this objective.
AV7. New Homes in AVL	-		+	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	-	+	++	+	++	#	**	++	+	+	++	++	Н	L	The –ve score for employment reflects the impact of employment development over housing and the consequence of development within areas of flood risk. On this point, the AAP reflects the priorities established via the Core Strategy & risk assessment (& appropriate mitigation) via sequential & exceptions tests.
																												With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), it is recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this will have some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be managed and mitigated as part of an overall strategy, consequently this results in an overall + ve score for this objective.
AV8. Improving Public Health in AVL	++	#	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	М	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
AV9. Shopping Local Services in AVL	+	+	+	+	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	0	+	0	++	++	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
AV10. New Schools	+	+	**	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	0	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan, the Plan seeks to link housing regeneration and growth to school infrastructure and promote a new school provision at the Copperfield site and Skelton Gate.
AV11. Locally Significant Undesignated Heritage Assets	0	+	0	0		+	0	++		0	++	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	M	L	The Plan seeks to protect locally significant undesignated heritage assets which has a number of positive benefits, although against other objectives the benefits of this Policy is recoded as a neutral.
AV12. Strategic Transport Infrastructure Improvements in AVL	++	‡	+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	**	+	**	++	0	-/0	0	+	+	+	+	++	++	Н	N/R/L	An important focus of the Plan is to facilitate the provision of infrastructure (including Transport Infrastructure) to support growth. Whilst this is positive overall, local pollution may be an issue through increased traffic levels.
AV13. Aire Valley Leeds Green Infrastructure Network	+	+	+	++	0	++	+	+	+	**	++	++	+	++	+	++	0	+	++	**	**	+	+	++	++	Н	R/L	The provision of Green space & Green Infrastructure is regarded as having an overall +ve benefit for AVL. The APP seeks to refine the Core Strategy GI network for the Plan area, it is considered that a realistic approach has been taken to this with regard to development sites with regard to the scale of provision.

ANJA-Protection, Improvement A APPLICATION OF THE PROTECTION OF T	A) (4 A D					_		_				1	T							1			1.			T		I .	The provision of Green space & Green
Production of New Green agase in AVI. AVI.S. Tourism & ** * * * * * * * *	•	+	++	+	++	U	**	U	**	**	++	**	++	++	*	**	U	†	**	**	+	+	*	+	++	**	н	L	
Generation in Al. AVES. Tourism A. Recreation in Al. AVES. Tourism A. AVES. Tour	•																												
AVI. Duting Makes AVI. South BANK AVI. Service of the content of the service of the content of the service of the content of the service of																		<mark>. </mark>											
AVIS TOURISM BAIK AVI STOURISM BAIK S. 1	· ·																	<mark>. </mark>											
AVIS-TORINER &	AVL																	<mark>. </mark>											
Recreation in AVI. AVI. R. Retrombler AVI. E. Retrombler AVI. E	AV15 Tourism &	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	н	R/I	
AVIG. RECORDING: Objective growth of Existing Buildings AVIT. District *** 19 0 *** 0 *		•	•			ŭ		1				1	1	1		'			<mark> </mark>				1	•	••	1	"	N/L	overall 14 scores, given the rocus of the Flan
## AVIZ. District ## AVIZ. Dis		_	_	4	4.4	0		44	4		0	4.4		44		0	_	4.		0				_	44	4.4	u		Overall ty scores given the focus of the Plan
Buildings AUT7. District Heating Networks in AVI STATEMENT STATE	_				**	Ů		"	T		Ü		Ť		T		*			U	T	T		T	-	-	"	_	Overall +v scores, given the locus of the Flan
ANTA: Destrict Healthing Networks In AVI South reserve So	•																												
Neating Network			4.4	0	44	0	_	44	_		0	4.4	_	44		0	4.4	•	44	0	0	0		_	44	4.4	ш		Overall ty scores given the focus of the Plan
In AVL APPLIANS		*	**	U	**	Ü	т.	"	_	Τ	U		Т.	"	T	0	**		T	U	"	U	Τ	T	1	1.7	"	_	Overall +v scores, given the locus of the Flan
South Bank																													
S. D. P. Destriction S. D. P. Destriction S. D. Destriction	IN AVL																												
S. D. P. Destriction S. D. P. Destriction S. D. Destriction																													
S. D. P. Destriction S. D. P. Destriction S. D. Destriction								1		ADE	A DI A	NC																	
S81. Pedestrian & Cycling Connectivity S82. New City Park S83. New R Enhanced Green Ruces & Spaces S84. Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use S85. Manwhile Uses in Mixed Use S85. Manwhile Uses S85. Manwhile Uses S85. Manwhile Uses S86. Manwhile Use	4 COUTU DANS									AKE	A PLA	IND																	
Cycling Connectivity Connectiv			0					_				^			_			_			0	0							Overall to seems when the feet of the pl
Sez. New City Park Sez. New City Sez. N		+	U	+	+	U	++	U	+	+	++	U	U	++	U	++	+	U	†	U	0	U	U	+	++	++	н	L	
Park																			<mark>/</mark>										
Park SB3.New & Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces SB4.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses SB5.Mew & Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces SB4.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses SB5.Mew Mixed Uses SB6.Memorial Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces SB6.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses SB6.Memorial Enhanced Spaces SB6.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses SB6.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses SB6.Memorial Enhanced Spaces Mixed Uses SB6.Apropriate Uses Mixed Uses	Connectivity																		<mark>/</mark>										
SB3.New & Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces SB4.Appropriate *** * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	SB2. New City	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	R/L	
SB3.New & Characteristic SB4.Appropriate Users in Mixed Use Sites SB4.Appropriate Users in Mixed Use Sites SB5.Meanwhile Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB5.Meanwhile Uses SB5.Meanwhile Uses	Park																												
SB3. Meanwhile Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB4. Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB5. Meanwhile Uses SB6. M																													
Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces SB4.Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB5.Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB5.Meanwhile Uses SB6.Meanwhile Uses SB6.Meanwhil	SR2 Now &	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	44	_	44	44	н	1	
Routes & Spaces S84.Appropriate S94.Appropriate S94.Appropriate S94.Appropriate S95. Meanwhile Uses in Mixed Use Sites S95. Meanwhile Uses S95. Meanwhile Uses S96. Meanwhile Uses S97. Meanwhile Uses S98.		ŭ		Ĭ		Ĭ		ľ			- "		ľ	·	Ŭ	Ĭ	· ·						7.7	Т.	+	77		_	
SB4.Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use Sites SB5. Meanwhile Uses SB6. Meanw																													objectives given the specific nature of the
Uses in Mixed Use Sites	-											_		_						_									
Oses in Minero Ose Sites Sites Si		++	++	+	+	0	++	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	++	++	+	++	++	н	L	
Sites Sign Agamental																													
but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives. 2. EAST BANK, RICHMONDHILL & CROSS GREEN EB1. Transport improvements ***********************************	Sites																												
2. EAST BANK, RICHMONDHILL & CROSS GREN EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Greenspace & Green Infrastructure EBJ. Transport Infrastructure EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Greenspace & Improvements EBJ. Greenspace & Green Infrastructure EBJ. Greenspace & Green Infrastructure EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Transport Improvements EBJ. Greenspace & Improvements EBJ.	SB5. Meanwhile	0	0	0	++	0	++	0	++	++	++	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	++	+	0	+	++	++	Н	L	=
2. EAST BANK, RICHMONDHILL & CROSS GREEN EB1. Transport improvements ### ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## ## #	Uses																												
2. EAST BANK, RICHMONDHILL & CROSS GREN EB1. Transport Improvements EB2. Greenspace & Face of the Plant Improvements & Face of the																													
EB1. Transport Improvements EB2. Greenspace & Green Green Green Infrastructure EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area CDPOPTUNITY Area EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4.	l	ı																_											roncy.
EB1. Transport Improvements EB2. Greenspace & Green Green Green Infrastructure EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area CDPOPTUNITY Area EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4. Te4.	2 FAST BANK RICHN	иомрні	11 <i>8</i> .	CRC) S S (RFF	N .																						
EB2. Greenspace & Green Infrastructure		++		+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	-	0	0	+	4	4	44	44	н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
EB2. Greenspace & Green Gree	-																						1.	1		1			
EB2. Greenspace & Green Care of the Plan Centre Care of the Plan Centre Centre of the Policy. Centre of the Policy Centre o	improvements																												
& Green Infrastructure EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area Description of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Description of the Plan but neutral scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores, given the specific nature of the Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Description of the Plan but neutral scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores, given the specific nature of the Policy. 3. HUNSLET HU1 Hunslet Town Centre Description of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. Description of the Plan but neutral scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.																													
Infrastructure EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area Opportunity Area Description of the Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Opportunity Area Description of the Policy. Coverall +v scores, given the specific nature of the Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Description of the Policy. Coverall +v scores, given the specific nature of the Policy. A coverall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. 3. HUNSLET HU1 Hunslet Town Centre Description of the Plan but neutral scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. B coverall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. B coverall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Plan b		U	+	U	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	U	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	L	
EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area HT 1																													
Opportunity Area Description	Intrastructure																												
bijectives given the specific nature of the Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Description: Opportunity Area	EB3. Marsh Lane	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	
EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Policy. EB4. East Street Opportunity Area Policy. BB4. East Street Oppor	Opportunity Area																												
EB4. East Street Opportunity Area																													
Opportunity Area but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. 3. HUNSLET HU1 Hunslet Town Centre	EB4. East Street	+	+	+	+	0	++	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	
3. HUNSLET HU1 Hunslet Town Centre Objectives given the specific nature of the Policy. Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the																													but neutral scores are recorded across other
3. HUNSLET HU1 Hunslet Town Centre HU1 Hunslet Town Centre HU2 Hunslet Town Centre HU2 Hunslet Town Centre HU3 Hunslet Town Centre HU4 Hunslet Town Centre HU4 Hunslet Town Centre HU5 Hunslet Town Centre HU5 Hunslet Town Centre HU4 Hunslet Town Centre HU5 Hunsl	opportunity / ii cu																		<u> </u>										
HU1 Hunslet Town Centre																													Policy.
HU1 Hunslet Town Centre	2																												
Centre but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the				0	,																		1.			1			Overall to seems when the factor of the DI
objectives given the specific nature of the		*	*	U	+	U	+	0	+	++	++	+	0	U	+	++	U	U	T	7.7	***	*	+	+	T+	++	_	L	
	centre																												
																													Policy.
HU2 Victoria Mills + + + 0 0 + 0 + 0 0 + 0 + 0 0 + 0 O + D O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O																													
but neutral scores are recorded across other	HU2 Victoria Mills	+	+	+	0	0	++	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	L	

																												objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
HU3 Riverside Opportunity Area	0	0	0	+	0	0	++	+	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
HU4 Transport Improvements	#	#	+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	-	0	0	+	+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan The –ve scores are a consequence of the potential impact of traffic movements upon pollution levels.
HU5 Green space & Green Infrastructure	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
4. CENTAL AIRE VALI	LEY																											
CAV1. Stourton P & R	0/-	+	0	0	0	0	0/-	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	++	0	0	+	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	Н	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan. The –ve scores are recorded as a consequence of the specific use for P & R of the site, rather than general employment, offices or housing. The P & R site will however promote economic activity within AVL and elsewhere across Leeds and encourage modal shift.
CAV2. Walking & Cycling Connections	+	0	++	0	**	0	+	+	++	0	0	++	0	++	0	++	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
CAV3. Green Infrastructure	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
5. SKELTON GATE																		•										,
SG1 Non Housing Uses	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	+	+	0	-	+	-	0	-	+	0	-	0	+	0	+	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan
SG2. Walking & Cycling Connections	+	0	++	0	++	0	+	+	++	0	0	++	0	++	0	++	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
SG3. Green space & Green Infrastructure	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	Н	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
SG4. New Visitor Destination Skelton Lake	+		+	++	0	+	++	+	+	0	++	+	++	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	++	+	++	++	Н	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.