

Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) – Publication Draft

Interim Sustainability Appraisal 11th May 2015

1. The adopted Leeds Core Strategy provides the overall strategic context for the preparation of the Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP). Proposals contained in the AAP therefore need to be in conformity with the overall approach of the Core Strategy, which has in itself, been subject to a Sustainability Appraisal.
2. In preparing the AVLAAP Publication draft, consideration of sustainability issues has been on going. In informing this process, an interim sustainability appraisal of sites and the Policies within the emerging Plan (this incorporates the supporting principles, Plan wide Policies and Area Plans).has been completed
3. In terms of the sustainability appraisal of sites, it should be emphasised that this is an objective assessment of individual sites (and alternative options), before any mitigation of any negative aspects through Policy intervention or site specific requirements. Consequently, the site assessment schedule contains an explanatory column which cross refers to specific Policies/site requirements, which help mitigate negative effects.
4. The sustainability appraisal of Policies looks across the entire Plan (Supporting Principles, Plan Wide Policies and the 5 Area Plans). In supporting the assessment, a comments column has been included to provide a further explanation for the interim conclusions drawn.
5. The material included in the sustainability appraisal of sites and Policies at this stage reflects the latest information available, a final Sustainability Appraisal will be completed for Publication consultation on the Plan, to consolidate any changes and updates.

Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) - Sustainability Appraisals of proposed site allocations and alternative options. Version @ 11 May 2015

HMCA	Ref	SA01	SA02	SA03	SA04	SA05	SA06	SA07	SA08	SA09	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14	SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18a	SA18b	SA18c	SA19	SA20	SA21	SA22a	SA22b	SA22c	SA22d	SustApprComment	
Allocated for housing																														
2	AV7	0	0	0	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%); Flood Zone 3 (6%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV9	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV12	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV13	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV14	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	-	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV15	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV16	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV17	-	-	+	0	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV18	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	-	+	++	x	++	0	+	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV20	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	++	x	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV22	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	-	+	++	x	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
2	AV94	-	-	0	0	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	++	-	x	++	0	+	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (27%); Flood Zone 3 (73%). Impact /mitigation tbc.
3	AV38	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	0?	-	x	-	-	0	+	x	0	0	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	+	Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV40	-	-	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	x	+	-	-	-	x	-	-	+	0	0	-	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (26%); Flood Zone 3 (2%). Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements.
3	AV111	0	0	-	-	0	-	+	0?	0	x	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	0	+	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (2%); Zone 3 (6%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV22	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	-	+	++	x	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact /mitigation tbc.
4	AV23	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	x	++	-	+	++	x	+	0	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV28	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	++	0	0	++	x	0	0	+	-	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV29	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	0	0	x	-	-	0	+	x	0	0	+	-	-	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV32	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	0	0	x	+	-	0	-	x	0	0	+	-	0	-	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (25%); Zone 3 (10%). Double negative impact on Landscape quality/mitigation via AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV33	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	0	0	x	++	-	0	-	x	0	0	+	-	-	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (18%); Zone 3 (23%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV34	0	0	+	+	0	++	+	0	0	x	++	-	0	-	x	0	0	+	-	-	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (26%); Zone 3 (28%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV46	-	-	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
4	AV48	-	-	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	x	+	-	++	-	x	++	0	+	0	0	-	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (
4	AV98	-	-	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	x	+	-	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (1%); Flood Zone 3 (99%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
Allocated for employment																														
2	AV7	+	++	0	0	0	++	-	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%); Zone 3 (6%). Double negative: Impact on Biodiversity, mitigation via Policies AV 13 & 14 and site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV12	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	-	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV14	+	++	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	++	-	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV15	+	++	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV16	+	++	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	++	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV18	+	++	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	++	-	+	++	x	+	0	+	-	-	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
2	AV94	+	0	0	0	0	++	-	+	0	x	+	0	++	-	x	++	0	+	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (27%); Zone 3 (73%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV50	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	-	0	+	+	x	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Double negative: impact Use of Greenfield site for development/mitigation via AV13 & AV14 and site requirements.
3	AV54	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	+	0	+	++	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc. SA1 & SA2 part of site already in employment use
3	AV65	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	+	0	+	++	x	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV66	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	+	0	+	++	x	++	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV72	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	+	0	0	-	x	0	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	-	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (12%); Flood Zone 3 (88%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV74	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	-	-	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: impact Use of Greenfield site for development/mitigation via AV13 & AV14 and site requirements, Flood Risk/mitigation via site requirements, single negative impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV76	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	++	0	0	-	x	0	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA1 & SA2 some existing employment use on site, but majority of site not in existing employment use. SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV80	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	+	-	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (45%). Impact/mitigation tbc.
3	AV83	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	x	++	-	-	-	x	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA11 majority of site appears to be derelict. SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%). Double negative: impact on biodiversity, impact on green house gas reduction, impact on meeting needs locally/mitigation via overall plan requirements, area plan and site requirements.
3	AV111	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	-	-	-	-	x	-	-	0	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	Impact/mitigation tbc.

Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) - Sustainability Appraisals of proposed site allocations and alternative options. Version @ 11 May 2015

HMCA	Ref	SA01	SA02	SA03	SA04	SA05	SA06	SA07	SA08	SA09	SA10	SA11	SA12	SA13	SA14	SA15	SA16	SA17	SA18a	SA18b	SA18c	SA19	SA20	SA21	SA22a	SA22b	SA22c	SA22d	SustApprComment		
Identified employment (UDP)																															
3	AV52	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	-	-	+	+	x	+	-	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA11 two thirds of site is brownfield	
3	AV55	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	--	0	+	+	x	+	-	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+		
3	AV56	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	--	--	+	+	x	+	--	0	0	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+		
3	AV62	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	x	--	--	-	--	x	-	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (54%); Flood Zone 3 (44%)	
3	AV68	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	-	0	x	--	--	--	-	x	--	-	+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (94%)	
3	AV77	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	--	-	++	--	x	++	0	+	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)
3	AV78	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	--	-	++	--	x	++	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)
3	AV79	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	--	--	+	+	x	+	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	
Not allocated for housing																															
2	AV8	0	0	0	+	0	++	+	0	0	x	++	0	x	-	x	x	0	+	0	-	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Risk Zone 3 (100%)	
2	AV95	-	-	+	+	0	--	+	+	-	x	+	0	+	++	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	+		
2	AV97	-	-	+	+	0	++	+	+	0	x	+	0	+	-	x	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	-	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (
3	AV100	-	-	-	-	0	0	+	-	0	x	+	--	0	-	x	0	0	+	0	0	--	+	0	0	0	0	0	--	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (17%); Zone 3 (82%)	
3	AV101	0	0	0	-	0	-	+	-	0	x	-	--	--	--	x	-	--	+	0	0	-	+	-	--	0	0	0	--	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (5%); Zone 3 (1%)	
Not allocated for employment																															
3	AV53	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	-	--	x	+	x	x	0	+	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	+		
3	AV102	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	+	0	x	+	--	+	++	x	+	-	+	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	--	SA12: Concerns relate to one part of the site (rifle range), rest of site supported.	
3	AV104	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	-	-	0	--	x	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%) SA20 site is part greenfield and brownfield. Majority is of site ar	
3	AV105	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	--	--	-	x	+	--	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	--	SA14: Flood Zone 2 (<1%)		
3	AV106	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	--	--	+	x	+	-	+	0	0	-	+	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA20 majority of site is brownfield	
3	AV107	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	--	-	--	x	+	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	+	SA14: Flood Zone 3 (100%)	
3	AV108	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	-	++	+	x	--	0	+	0	0	0	0	0	--	0	0	0	+		
3	AV109	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	--	++	+	x	-	--	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	+		
3	AV110	+	+	0	0	0	0	-	0	0	x	--	--	--	--	x	-	-	+	0	0	0	-	0	0	--	0	0	--		

Aire Valley Leeds Area Action Plan (AVLAAP) – Sustainability Appraisal of Policies

Version @ 11 May 2015

Scoring: ++ major positive, +slight positive, 0 neutral, ? uncertain – slight negative, -- major negative, D, Depends.

Timescales: Short Term (S), Medium Term (M), Long Term (L).

Likelihood: Low (L), Medium (M), High (H).

Geographic Scale: National (N), Regional (R), Local (L).

AVLAAP POLICIES	SA OBJECTIVES																				TIMESCALES			LIKELIHOOD	GEOGRAPHIC SCALE	COMMENTS		
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	S	M	L		L/M/H	N/R/L
AVLAAP SUPPORTING PRINCIPLES																												
1. For the Economy	++	++	++	+	0	0	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	++	++	H	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
2. For Housing	+	+	0	+	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.	
3. For Communities	+	+	+	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
4. For Health	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
5. For Connections	++	++	++	+	+	0	+	++	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	H	N/R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
6. For Energy & Resources	0	++	0	+	0	0	++	+	++	0	++	0	++	+	0	++	+	+	++	+	+	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
7. For the Environment & Visitors	+	+	+	++	0	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	0	+	++	++	++	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
8. For Infrastructure	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	N/R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan.
PLAN WIDE POLICIES																												
AV1. Identified Sites for Office Use	++	++	+	+	0	0	-	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	The –ve score for housing reflects the impact of employment development over housing and the consequence of development within areas of flood risk. On this point, the AAP reflects the priorities established via the Core Strategy & risk assessment (& appropriate mitigation) via sequential & exceptions tests.	
AV2. Identified Sites for General Employment Use	++	++	+	+	0	0	-	+	+	0	+	0	0	-	0	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	See above.	
AV3. Office Development	++	++	+	+	0	0	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	See above. With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), it is recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this will have some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be managed and mitigated as part of an overall strategy, consequently this results in an overall + ve score for this objective.	
AV4. General Employment Development	++	++	+	+	0	0	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	++	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	See above. With regard to SA Objective 15 (Transport), it is recognised that the delivery of the AAP, will relate to higher levels of Traffic, whilst this will have some –ve impact on emissions, it is anticipated that the impacts will be managed and mitigated as part of an overall strategy,	

AV14. Protection, Improvement & Provision of New Green space in AVL	+	++	+	++	0	++	0	++	++	++	++	++	++	+	++	0	+	++	++	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	The provision of Green space & Green Infrastructure is regarded as having an overall +ve benefit for AVL. The APP seeks to refine the Core Strategy GI network for the Plan area, it is considered that a realistic approach has been taken to this with regard to development sites with regard to the scale of provision.	
AV15. Tourism & Recreation in AVL	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	+	++	++	H	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan	
AV16. Retrofitting of Existing Buildings	+	+	+	++	0	+	++	+	+	0	++	+	++	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan	
AV17. District Heating Networks in AVL	+	++	0	++	0	+	++	+	+	0	++	+	++	+	0	++	+	++	0	0	0	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan	
AREA PLANS																													
1. SOUTH BANK																													
SB1. Pedestrian & Cycling Connectivity	+	0	+	+	0	++	0	+	+	++	0	0	++	0	++	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
SB2. New City Park	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	++	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	R/L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
SB3. New & Enhanced Green Routes & Spaces	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
SB4. Appropriate Uses in Mixed Use Sites	++	++	+	+	0	++	0	++	0	++	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	0	0	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
SB5. Meanwhile Uses	0	0	0	++	0	++	0	++	++	++	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	++	+	0	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
2. EAST BANK, RICHMONDHILL & CROSS GREEN																													
EB1. Transport Improvements	++	++	+	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	++	+	++	++	+	++	++	-	0	0	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan. The -ve scores are a consequence of the potential impact of traffic movements upon pollution levels.	
EB2. Greenspace & Green Infrastructure	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
EB3. Marsh Lane Opportunity Area	+	+	+	+	0	++	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
EB4. East Street Opportunity Area	+	+	+	+	0	++	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	+	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.	
3. HUNSLET																													
HU1 Hunslet Town Centre	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	++	++	+	0	0	+	++	0	0	+	++	++	+	+	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other objectives given the specific nature of the Policy.
HU2 Victoria Mills	+	+	+	0	0	++	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	0	0	+	++	++	++	+	++	++	H	L	Overall +v scores, given the focus of the Plan but neutral scores are recorded across other	

