Ref.	No.		
------	-----	--	--





## Response form

Leeds Local Development Framework

Natural Resources and Waste DPD – Policy Position Report

January – March 2010

This response form is for your views on the Leeds Natural Resources and Waste DPD Policy Position Report.

You should read the full document or summary document before filling in this form.

The Report, Appendix and Mapbook are available to view at all libraries and One Stop Centres across the Leeds District, at the Development Enquiry Centre, or online at www.leeds.gov.uk/ldf under 'current consultations'. Alternatively email ldf@leeds.gov.uk or ring 0113 247 8075 for more information.

Consultation forms <u>must</u> include a name and address otherwise your comments will not be taken into account.

Your details	If the details opposite are for an agent, enter the client's details here		
Title	Title		
Name	Name		
Organisation	Organisation		
Address	Address		
Tel/Mobile	Tel/Mobile		
Email	Email		

Please return your response form by 5pm on 1<sup>st</sup> March 2010 to:

Natural Resources and Waste DPD Policy Position Report, Jacobs UK, 1 City Walk, Leeds, LS11 9DX

Personal information provided as part of a representation cannot be treated as confidential as the Council is obliged to make representations available for public inspection. However, in compliance with the Data Protection Act 1998 the personal information you provide will only be used by the Council for the purposes associated with the LDF consultations

		Yes	No
CD.	TIAL OBJECTIVES	162	No
1.	Do you agree with the spatial vision and objectives?		
••	be you agree with the opatial vision and objectives.		
LAN	ID USE		
2.	Do you agree that policies are needed to ensure the efficient use of previously developed land?		
3.	Do you agree that we should encourage more tree planting and resist healthy tree and vegetation loss when development takes place?		
4.	Do you agree that railway sidings and canal wharves which are, or could be, used to enable the transportation of minerals and/or waste materials by rail and canal should be safeguarded for that purpose?		
MIN	ERALS		
5.	Do you agree that we should protect mineral resources from development that would prevent them being used in the future and that existing mineral reserves should be safeguarded to reduce pressure for new sites to be exploited?		
6.	Mineral-related activities are often located in general industrial areas and we want to make sure that these locations are not lost to other uses. For this reason we propose to safeguard existing mineral-related sites (these are shown as B1 sites on Maps A1 and A2). Do you agree with this approach?		
7.	Do you agree that we should find alternative uses for quarries, once they are exhausted, such as nature conservation or recreation, rather than filling them with landfill waste first?		
WA.	TER RESOURCES		
8.	Do you agree with the measures that we have suggested for minimising water consumption? Do you have any further suggestions?		
9.	Do you agree with the measures that we have suggested to reduce flood risk?  Do you have any further suggestions?		
	QUALITY		
10.	Do you think planners should be able to require that developments include measures for improving air quality?		
11.	We are investigating whether it would be beneficial to create Low Emission Zones in some areas of the District. These would be areas where the more polluting vehicles would not be permitted. Do you think this is a good idea?		
ENE	RGY		
12.	Do you agree that Leeds needs to do significantly more to encourage energy production from renewable energy sources?		
13.	Do you agree that we need to encourage wind energy provision but consider the impacts on local landscape, built-up areas, nature conservation, highway safety, aeronautical radar and transmission mast reception?		

WAS	STF						
14.	Do mar prov area	Do you agree that we should meet our need to identify sufficient land for managing our waste by safeguarding existing waste sites across the District, providing a limited number of strategic sites for larger facilities in the industrial areas of the Aire Valley and identifying where there are existing industrial estates that have potential for more waste related activities to take place?					
15. 16.	Map	Do you agree with the allocation of the four sites in the Aire Valley (as shown on Maps E) that have been identified as strategic waste sites?					
10.	bee othe	you agree with the five industrial estates (as shown on Maps F) that have en identified as appropriate for waste and mineral uses? Do you know of any ers that you think we should consider?					
17.	ther hari	you agree that we should discourage landfill provision and only allow it when ere is a proven need for it and when it can be demonstrated that it will not be remful to the environment or quality of life of local people?					
Poli or P	•	Please use the boxes below to write any comments in relation to particu paragraphs or policies (continue onto a new sheet if necessary).	lar				