Summary of formal comments received during the consultation

	Summary of formal comments received during the consultation			
THEME	The summary of comments has been presented according to themes e.g. 'Site considerations' with specific comments captured within each theme as provided by respondents in the formal consultation responses. It is the case that some respondents made a number of comments which fell within the various themes.	Total comments		
	Proposed timescales are unrealistic / too rushed. Concerned Leeds City Council will not be able to deliver by Sept 2018	61		
	Proposal does not create places in the area of need	47		
	Concerns that parents living in the area of need will not preference Moor Allerton Hall, the school will fill with children from outside of the area leading to increases in traffic and a displacement of children thus perpetuating the issues	28		
	Proposal does not provide a reasonable and safe walking route for children who live in the area of need	27		
ΣĆ	More longer term evidence and strategic thinking is required to provide a long term solution to the lack of primary places	26		
Sufficiency	Recognition that the proposal will deliver the additional school places required	20		
Ę	If preferences for Moor Allerton Hall increase in the future due to the school gaining in popularity, it may fill with other children rather than those in the area of need	18		
<u>ت</u> م	If families living nearest to Talbot were to preference Moor Allerton Hall there wouldn't be an issue	11		
ž E	Proposal has created uncertainty in the local area - parents will no longer want to preference Moor Allerton Hall	9		
atic	Questioning the impact on secondary school places available in future years-how will the council meet demand for secondary places?	8		
9	A through school will provide stability and continuity for children in the local area	7		
_	Proposed increase is within a reasonable distance to the area of need	3		
	Limited options available in the area, this proposal is a good compromise	1		
	New school in this area should have been built years ago - this proposal has come too late	1		
	Proposal can be delivered in the time frame suggested	1		
	Concerns about the logistics of a split site eg. during school pick up / drop off / pupil movement between buildings / safeguarding	34		
	Questioning suitability and feasibility of current site and North East Learning Centre (CLC) as a safe, secure primary orientated location for a split site	23		
suc	Concerns about disruption caused by building work - potential delays - as per Sept 2017	14		
ations	Concerns that a split site will not promote social interaction and inclusion. Children at risk of becoming isolated	8		
ısider	Will the new CLC building / space be planned to incorporate office / meeting / SLT space for the Deaf and Hearing Impaired Staff to share	7		
_	Lidgett Lane Community Centre and surrounding outdoor space are in regular use. Do not want to lose this amenity	7		
ပိ	Current site infrastructure will not cope with increase in children	3		
Site	Concerns that play space will be compromised if schools merged	3		
S	Appropriate start and finish times would need to be arranged if primary age children were split across the site	2		
	Good solution as does not interfere with Roundhay Park or greenbelt	2		
	Concerns about child safety / safeguarding of children if schools merged. Lack of detail provided to show how this would be managed	78		
	Moor Allerton Hall is currently judged Required Improvement by Ofsted. Concerns that proposal will have a negative impact on both schools in terms of performance / teaching standards / school improvement	76		
ted	Concerned 4FE school is too big. No evidence provided to show 4FE would be a child friendly environment. Child becomes a number not an individual	63		
	Concerns about primary age children sharing site and facilities with secondary age children-may impact on child's learning / potential bullying / exposed to inappropriate language & behaviour	50		
	Existing friendly and nurturing atmosphere (including pastoral care) of Moor Allerton Hall will be compromised if expanded	46		
	Proposal will cause unnecessary disruption to Moor Allerton Hall staff / children / parents	36		
	Proposal does not prioritise the well-being and development of current Moor Allerton Hall staff & students	30		
	No clear leadership/staffing structure provided	19		
Relat	Concerned about impact on Deaf and Hearing Impaired / SEN students. Their profile, support and experience will be diluted in a larger school	13		
<u>0</u>	Merger would lead to fragmented experience of primary education e.g. siblings will become distant from each other / logistics of school site	7		
òť	Merging schools with lack of a coherent plan is irresponsible and potentially dangerous	4		
Sch	Proposal does not make specific reference to impact on 6th Form provision at Allerton Grange	2		
	Proposal has lack of consideration / understanding for Early Years provision	2		
	Concerns that Allerton Grange would have inappropriate influence over the running and culture of year 6	2		
	Proposal will utilise the expertise, support and grounds of Moor Allerton Hall / Allerton Grange	2		
	Do not feel that Key Stage 2 children should move out of the main school as they will feel excluded and miss out on peer to peer support	1		
	Safeguarding can be effectively managed by school if a safe walkway from Key Stage 1 to Key Stage 2 is established	1		
	Concerns that after school provision will become unmanageable	1		
vironment	Concerns about an increase in traffic during peak times	112		
	Concerns about on mercase in traine during peak times Concerns about road safety and an increase in inconsiderate parking during peak times	69		
	Concerns about an increase in air pollution	15		
	Drainage concerns	10		
	Concerned there is no direct bus route from area of need	7		
En	Concerns about access to the site - need easy access to encourage safe walking to school	6		
ghways &	Concerns about a increase in noise	5		
	Negative impact on quality of life for elderly residents in local area	3		
	Parents are aggressive, dismissive and arrogant during school runs	3		
. <u></u>	The state of the dept and the depth and the	ı		

I		1 2
_	Proposal will have a negative impact on timeliness of public transport	2
Suggestions	Concerns about increase in litter	1
	Build 2FE primary - Roundhay Free School or Allerton Grange Through School - on CLC and leave Moor Allerton Hall as it is	24
	LCC should follow through with Roundhay Free School proposal - ideally on Roundhay Golf Driving Range	21
	Full Traffic Assessment and creative highway solutions required in response to this proposal	7
	A bespoke admissions policy will be needed to ensure children in area of need are prioritised	5
	Expand Moor Allerton Hall to 3FE and expand another local school by 1FE	6
	Introduce speed bumps	5
	Expand Alwoodley / Roundhay / Gledhow Primaries	4
	Secondary specialist subject teachers can support the Key Stage 1 / Key Stage 2 curriculum	4
	Combining the 2 sites will allow multiple entry / exit points to manage the highway and pedestrian impacts	4
	Provide school bus to help reduce traffic	4
	Parking to be provided for all should merge go ahead	3
	Should expand and utilise the land where the community centre is next to Moor Allerton Hall	3
	Move Community Centre to Highwood Pub site	2
	Move Community Centre to CLC, allowing Moor Allerton Hall to expand closer to its own grounds	1
	Convert CLC to 1FE primary school and expand another local school by 1FE	1
	Use the CLC to accommodate the 2 additional classes, so in effect creating a Moor Allerton Hall north and south hub	1
	Would be in favour of a covered walkway between Moor Allerton Hall and the CLC	1
	Lack of evidence/research provided to show 4FE model will work	22
	Proposal is a sensible and innovative solution	12
	Proposal is an ill-considered solution	10
	The Free School supporters are deliberately misleading Moor Allerton Hall parents	3
General	Proposal is the quickest, cheapest and least disruptive option	2
	Other through schools in area have been successful	2
	The families who need the school places (mostly free school supporters) do not want this solution	2
	LCC have been obstructive and incompetent	1
		1
	LCC need to be more decisive i.e. stop trying to appease small number of parents and deliver solution for ALL Roundhay residents	1
	Proposal creates opportunity for the school to be managed more efficiently by a new management team The School supportunity for the school to be managed more efficiently by a new management team The School supportunity for the school to be managed more efficiently by a new management team The School supportunity for the school to be managed more efficiently by a new management team	1
	Free School supporters are now the main stumbling block in creating additional school places due to desire for specific dream location Not enough detail or solutions provided during consultation, therefore unable to make an informed decision	151
	LCC have not been able to provide answers to specific queries/concerns and information provided has been unclear / misleading	36
	LCC seemed unprepared for consultation	18
	Consultation document was not translated	11
	Need to be open and honest	10
	Lack of communication	10
	Feel that decisions have already been made	9
	Consultation should be extended	9
	LCC should have notified parents of scheme earlier and before press	9
	Provide more examples of similar projects for comparison	8
Ë	Questioning why LCC did not consult with other local schools prior to consultation going live	7
<u>I</u>	Not happy with the format of the drop in sessions, there should have been a formal presentation	6
Consultation	Felt there was no representation from primary / secondary schools during drop-ins	5
	Consultation closes before Ofsted result at Allerton Grange will be published	4
	Timing of consultation will impact on primary / secondary application choices	4
	Banners outside of school did not give enough information on detail of proposal	3
	The council staff at drop-ins have been excellent	3
	No safeguarding representative at the drop in sessions	2
	Consultation rushed for 2018 - would have been better to consider for 2019	2
	Appreciate the Council employees attending drop in sessions and was grateful for the engagement opportunities	1
	More drop in sessions would have been useful	1
	Key decision makers should have attended drop in sessions to answer questions	1
	Information online and FAQ document were very useful	1
	Should have provided more BSL to make accessible for deaf parents/carers	1
	Overall total comments	1502