



Leeds Site Allocations Plan

Leeds Local Plan

Proposed Modifications to the Leeds Submission
Draft Site Allocations Plan May 2017

January 2019

PROPOSED MODIFICATIONS TO THE SUBMISSION PLAN (MAY 2017)

The main modifications ('mods') proposed to the Submission Plan **CD1/1** are as detailed in the table below:

Where paragraphs are deleted/added re-numbering of the Plan will be carried out at the end of the process. Similarly lists of sites within individual HMCAs will be presented in numerical order. These are considered to be additional modifications.

Main Modifications to the Submission Draft Plan (May 2017) are shown in hatched grey boxes.

Main Modifications already proposed (including **EX9a-c** where relevant) and actions arising from hearing sessions are shown in white boxes.

Modification Numbers are omitted pending further modifications from the Inspector at which point numbering will be revised as a composite set of modifications.

Any reference in the Plan to background papers will be removed and clarity provided on references to the NPPF (2012). These will be addressed as additional modifications.

Plans related to previous Modifications in EX9b and Map 1 showing extent of Green Belt under Policy GB1 are included. Other Plans in relation to amended sites will accompany the Modifications in due course.

Where modifications amend text this is shown as ~~tracked changes~~ as follows:

New text in	red
Deleted text in	red strikethrough non-bold
Existing text in	black non-bold

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
1	CD1/1a Page 8	Section 1 Introduction ¶1.5	<p>Amend ¶1.5 as follows:</p> <p>The evidence base of the Core Strategy is continually monitored and as subsequent demographic projections are released it will be important to evaluate whether they have an impact on the full objectively assessed needs of the City. Whilst the most recent post-Census projections suggest that Council's emerging work on housing need, using up to date post census projections, identifies a lower housing requirement than that in the Adopted Core Strategy. This is being progressed in a Core Strategy Selective Review. may be needed in Leeds it is too early to tell whether these are structural and long term changes to the Leeds population or simply as a result of the recent recession. In these circumstances, given that national policy attaches great importance to the Green Belt and only envisages altering boundaries in exceptional circumstances, significant release of land from the Green Belt is not justified upon Adoption of the Plan. However, there remains a need for limited release of Green Belt up to year 11 of the plan period (to 2023). To that end, The Site Allocations Plan aims to support the full Core Strategy housing requirement up to year 11 of the plan (to 2023), beyond which a review of the Plan will be undertaken to bring it into line with the housing requirement within the Core Strategy Selective Review. However, to ensure sufficient supply of land, achievement of plan targets and choice and competition it is not justified to have phasing policies in the SAP at this stage but contains policies such as those on phasing and the identification of Safeguarded Land to ensure that all sites are not immediately released for development and to enable flexibility for the Plan as a whole to respond to any potential changes to the overall housing requirement.</p>	For clarity, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council's emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy.
2	CD1/1a Page 8	¶1.6	<p>Continue ¶1.6 to add text at the end, as follows:</p> <p>“...(the Plan Period), as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Housing (HG1, HG2, HG4, HG5, MX1, MX2) up to 31st March 2023 with a need to submit a SAP Review no later than 31st December 2021, following Adoption of Core Strategy Selective Review • Safeguarded Land (HG3) beyond 31st March 2028 (acknowledging a need for a Site Allocations Plan review, to be adopted before 31st March 2023, where there will be a need to consider any additional Green Belt land that may need to be released to reflect the 	For clarity on the different plan periods within the SAP in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note and need for a commitment to review the Plan to take account of the Core Strategy

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>implications of revised Core Strategy Selective Review housing requirements upon the quantum of safeguarded land required)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gypsy and Traveller (HG6, HG7) up to 31st March 2024 (thereafter subject to a Site Allocations Plan review to address any disparity between allocated sites and requirements within Core Strategy Policy H7) • Travelling Showpeople (HG8) up to 31st March 2028 • Employment (EG1, EG2, EO1, EO2) up to 31st March 2028 • Retail (RTC1, RTC2, RTC3, RTC4) up to 31st March 2028 • Green Space (GS1) up to 31st March 2028 	Selective Review.
3	CD1/1a Page 16	¶2.27, ¶2.27a and ¶2.27b	<p>Amend ¶2.27 as follows:</p> <p>“In allocating sites for Housing, the Site Allocations Plan needs to meet the Core Strategy housing target, deliver the an ambitious level of growth required as well as meeting the need for specialist accommodation (for independent living, Gypsies and Travellers and travelling show-people) and the focus on accommodating development within the identified settlement hierarchy. The scale of the housing target means that a Green Belt review has been necessary. The Background Paper – Green Belt Review explains this process. See also paragraph 2.33 below.</p> <p>The Site Allocations Plan needs to identify land to accommodate 66,000 dwellings Core Strategy Policy SP7 further breaks down the total housing target for Leeds as follows (columns 2 and 3 in Table 1):</p> <p>Replace ¶2.27 with new text in ¶2.27a and ¶2.27b as follows:</p> <p>2.27a The Site Allocations Plan does not meet all of the Core Strategy requirement between 2012 and 2028. To do so would require the release of significant amounts of Green Belt land. Only those sites necessary to make housing provision for years 1 to 11 (2012-2023) of the current plan period (2012-28) are released from the Green Belt. Non-Green Belt allocated and identified sites can make provision for housing for years 1 to 16. In doing this the Council ensures that annual Core Strategy housing requirements can readily be achieved up to 2023 and that there is choice and competition in the market for land throughout the</p>	For clarity, in response to the Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council’s emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>City with a degree of flexibility. To that end, there are exceptional circumstances to justify the release of land from the Green Belt to meet housing needs up to 2023 only.</p> <p>2.27b As part of this strategic approach to meeting housing needs whilst ensuring that only minimal releases of land be made from the Green Belt, the Council is committing to a review of the Plan, when the Core Strategy Selective Review is Adopted and a new housing requirement is established for the City. At that time, the Council shall consider whether there is a need for further housing allocations and whether there are exceptional circumstances for any further release of Green Belt land to meet the up to date housing requirements of the City. This will require the submission of a Site Allocations Plan Review no later than 31st December 2021 to the Secretary of State, in line with the Council's Local Development Scheme. Policy 'Housing Review 1' (HGR1) sets out the Council's commitment to this review.</p>	
4	CD1/1a Page 16	New Policy HGR1	<p>Insert new policy after ¶2.27b as follows:</p> <p>HGR 1 THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN WILL BE SUBJECT OF A REVIEW DURING THE PLAN PERIOD, AS FOLLOWS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. TO BE COMMENCED FOLLOWING ADOPTION OF THE CORE STRATEGY SELECTIVE REVIEW, 2. TO BE SUBMITTED NO LATER THAN 31 DECEMBER 2021, AND 3. TO ENSURE THAT SUFFICIENT LAND FOR HOUSING IS ALLOCATED AND SAFEGUARDED LAND DESIGNATED SO AS TO COMPLY WITH CORE STRATEGY SELECTIVE REVIEW HOUSING REQUIREMENTS. 	<p>To ensure the plan is positively prepared and effective, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council's emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
5	CD1/1a Page 16	¶2.27d Table 1 ¶2.28	<p>Insert text as follows to ¶2.27d</p> <p>2.27c Table 1 breaks down the identified and allocated housing capacity by Housing Market Characteristic Area in line with the indicative targets for distribution of housing set out in Core Strategy Policy SP7.</p> <p>Delete Table 1 and replace with Table 1 set out at Appendix 1 to this schedule.</p> <p>Amend ¶2.28 by adding new text at the start of the paragraph as follows:</p> <p>“The +/- performance against indicative HMCA targets up to 2028 is shown in the last column. The greatest differences between Policy SP7 HMCA targets and allocated capacity are in those HMCAs, which rely on Green Belt releases. There is a clear need for new housing in the District and a significant requirement is established in the Core Strategy.....”</p>	To ensure the plan is positively prepared and effective, in response to Post-Hearing Procedural Note, acknowledging that the Council’s emerging work on housing need identifies a lower figure than the Core Strategy.
6	CD1/1a Page 17	¶ 2.29	<p>Amend ¶2.29 as follows:</p> <p>“New allocations are not needed to accommodate all of the 66,000 target. The Council already has an existing supply of 35,37435,950 dwellings (previous UDP housing allocations not developed, planning permissions with units still remaining to be built as at 1.4.16 and sites with an recently expired permission (this includes sites covered by the Aire Valley Area Action Plan) which can be deducted from the total, as shown in column 4, Existing Supply, in Table 1 above). This is not of course spread evenly across the housing market characteristic areas. These sites are listed in Section 3, Policy HG1 for each area. This leaves a residual target for each area. The overall residual target is 30,62630,050 (the overall target minus existing supply). New housing allocations are proposed to meet the residual target consistent with Core Strategy policy and in line with ¶2.27 above, where Green Belt release is necessary to meet targets up to 2023. ... The distribution set out in Table 1 is considered to properly reflect the guidance set out in Policy SP7, and the wider ambitions of the Core Strategy and national policy, which attaches great importance to the Green Belt and only envisages altering boundaries in exceptional circumstances”.</p>	For clarity. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 1 in response to EX21 “Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings”, 14 th December 2017, Hearing Day 4 27 th October question 4.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
7	CD1/1a Page 17	¶2.29	<p>Continue ¶2.29 as follows</p> <p>“Policy HG1 applies to identified housing sites which have extant planning permission, have expired planning permission but are still deemed to be appropriate for housing delivery or are allocated in the UDP. For purpose of ease and reference UDP sites are this is repeated detailed for each HMCA in Section 3, with other identified sites listed in Annex 1. the relevant list of sites which form part of the policy. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these. Planning applications should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.”</p>	<p>For clarity for plan-users, so it is clear that the saved UDP requirements for identified sites are still applicable. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 2. Amendment brings wording in line with paras 2.85 and 2.88 of the Plan, as detailed in EX24 Further Responses by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9th February 2018, Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017, question 3.</p>
8	CD1/1a Page 17	¶2.29 Policy HG1	<p>Amend Policy HG1 as follows:</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORY OF SITES WHICH CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY:</p> <p>1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING PLANNING PERMISSION; AND</p> <p>1)2) OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSIONS FOR HOUSING OR MIXED USE INCLUDING HOUSING THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR HOUSING DELIVERY, OR AND</p> <p>3) WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR HOUSING IN THE UNITARY</p>	<p>For clarity and to reflect the removal of phasing from the Plan in line with the Post Hearing Procedural Note. Please note this MM relates to all subsequent HMCA</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>DEVELOPMENT PLAN. AS IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES. 3) ALL IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES ARE IN PHASE 1 FOR RELEASE, IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY H1. 4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED HOUSING SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGETS FOR THE ELEVEN HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREAS SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP7.</p> <p>THE UDP SITES ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION/EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISISON CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 1.</p> <p>Amend on this basis in Section 3 for each HMCA.</p>	sections.
9	CD1/1a Page 18	Table 2	Amend Table 2: Comparison of Housing Allocations against Core Strategy Policy SP7 as set out in Appendix 1 to this schedule.	Consequential modification as a result of deletions or additions to the plan.
10	CD1/1a Page 19	¶2.32	<p>Delete all references throughout the SAP to phasing of housing land. This relates to the following paragraphs and policies:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ¶2.32 • Policy HG1 • ¶2.37 to ¶2.39 (including Table 4 (sic) on page 20 and 21 – “Phasing Approach”) • Policy HG2 • ¶2.50 • Section 3: Policies HG1 and HG2 in each HMCA 	<p>For effectiveness to reflect the removal of phasing in the Plan.</p> <p>Please note this MM relates to all subsequent HMCA sections for Policies HG1 and HG2</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
11	CD1/1a Page 19	Table 3	Amend Table 3: Greenfield / Brownfield split across HMCAs as shown in Appendix 1 to this schedule.	Consequential modification as a result of deletions or additions to the plan.
12	CD1/1a Page 19	¶2.33	<p>Amend ¶2.33 as follows:</p> <p>“Consistent with national guidance, Green Belt boundaries should only be altered in exceptional circumstances, through the preparation or review of the Local Plan. The Core Strategy sets the context for a Green Belt review in Spatial Policy SP10. -An assessment of sites against the purposes of Green Belts as set out in the NPPF has been carried out on all sites within the previous Green Belt (i.e. as identified in the UDP). See the Background Paper on Green Belt Review for the assessment process used. This assessment of sites has enabled those sites with the least harm on Green Belt purposes to be proposed for allocation, although this inevitably varies to reflect the needs and characteristics of the area concerned. Land is removed from the Green Belt as a consequence of proposing allocations (including those safeguarded under Policy HG6) within it and the revised Green Belt boundary is shown on the plans for each HMCA and the Policies Map...”</p>	<p>For clarity. To make it clear that the Green Belt boundary has been altered where new allocations are proposed within UDP Green Belt. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 4. Also to clarify that safeguarded existing Gypsy and Traveller sites which lie within the Green Belt will be removed from the Green Belt.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
13	CD1/1a Page 24 CDR1/1 a Page 24	¶2.51 Flooding Issues ¶2.51 Flooding Issues	<p>Delete sentence:</p> <p>“All sites within flood zone 1 on sites larger than 1ha, have to submit a site specific flood risk assessment as part of the planning process.”</p> <p>Replace with sentence:</p> <p>‘It is expected that planning applications for sites in the Plan include a site specific flood risk assessment commensurate with the scale and impact of the proposed development.’</p> <p>Continue ¶2.51:</p> <p>“...For drainage issues, particular regard should be had to Policy Water 6: Flood Risk Assessments and Policy Water 7: Surface Water Run-Off in the adopted Natural Resources and Waste Plan.”</p>	<p>For effectiveness to reflect the wording of adopted Policy Water 6 in the Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 6.</p> <p>For clarity, to signpost to relevant policies in the adopted Natural Resources and Waste Plan to be read in conjunction with the SAP. New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 25).</p>
14	CD1/1a Page 25	¶2.54 Heritage Assets	<p>Amend ¶2.54 as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Heritage Assets: <p>...Non-designated heritage assets are buildings, archaeology, monuments, sites, places, areas or landscapes that are not designated but have a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions, because of their heritage interest...</p>	<p>For clarity, to reflect archaeology assets, detail considerations to be taken into account in determining planning</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				applications.
15	CD1/1a Page 26	¶2.54 Air quality Noise pollution	<p>Revise Air Quality wording to state:</p> <p>“All applications for major development are required to include an air quality assessment in line with Policy AIR 1 of the Natural Resources and Waste Local Plan”.</p> <p>Revise Noise Pollution wording. Replace existing with</p> <p>“Noise pollution: Where a site is in close proximity to a major road (A road or motorway), B road or rail line or for any site within the City Centre a noise assessment is required as part of a planning application.”</p>	<p>The reference to consideration of air quality and noise pollution has been revised to provide consistency and clarity. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 9. To reflect the requirements of Policy AIR 1 of the NRWLP.</p>
16	CD1/1a Page 26	¶2.55 Delivering the Infrastructure Required	<p>Continue ¶2.55, as follows:</p> <p>“The Infrastructure Delivery Plan is up to date as at the date of Adoption and assists the implementation of the Local Plan. It will be kept regularly up to date in liaison with the Combined Authority and relevant infrastructure providers, on the Council’s website. Applicants should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan when preparing planning applications.”</p>	<p>For effectiveness. Modification previously proposed in EX9b as modification 10. As detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 2 25th October 2017 question 1.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
17	CD1/1a Page 27 Page 28	¶2.60	<p>Amend ¶2.60 as follows:</p> <p>“...This would equates to sites with a total housing capacity of 6,600 to meet the current Core Strategy requirement in full. However, due to the Council’s emerging work on housing need and the uncertainty about what a new housing requirement may be, it is not considered justified to identify further safeguarded land releases from Green Belt to meet this requirement in full at Adoption. Hence, in addition to the housing requirement, additional land is identified as safeguarded landthe Site Allocations Plan designates safeguarded land with a total indicative housing capacity of 4,666 dwellings. Any shortfall between this and Core Strategy Policy SP10 will be addressed through the Site Allocations Plan Review as set out in Policy HGR1...”</p> <p>Amend “Table 4: The distribution of safeguarded land designations across Leeds” as set out in Appendix 1 to this schedule</p>	For effectiveness and to ensure the Plan is positively prepared in line with the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note. Final two columns are not considered to be necessary for implementation and are deleted.
18	CD1/1a Page 31	¶2.70 Policy HG6 1(i)	<p>Amend ¶2.70 to read:</p> <p>‘There is also potential to expand this site by a minimum of 3 5 pitches.</p> <p>Amend Policy HG6 as follows:</p> <p>HG6-2 KIDACRE STREET, CITY CENTRE (8 PITCHES AND 5 ADDITIONAL PITCHES)</p> <p>Amend ¶2.73 as follows:</p> <p>...Council managed pitches: 23-25 pitches as against a requirement for 25 pitches...</p>	To update, following further feasibility work and to help meet the identified need target fully (25 pitches). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 12. (As also detailed in M8/1). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 13. Reason as above.

19	CD1/1a Page 32	¶2.72 and new Policy HGR2	<p>Amend ¶2.72 as follows:</p> <p>“There is a need to allocate further sites in order to help to provide for Gypsy and Traveller needs throughout the plan period; these are set out in Policy HG7. The process of identifying new sites is set out in the Housing Background Paper. Detailed planning applications for Gypsy and Traveller sites should have regard to the Core Strategy, PPTS and the NPPF. Other than extensions to existing sites, no submitted private sites were considered suitable, available and achievable for inclusion in the Site Allocations Plan. The process of assessing private site submissions is detailed in the Housing Background Paper. Using an equal annual distribution of the overall pitch requirement throughout the plan period (i.e. 3.87 pitches per year), the SAP upon Adoption identifies sufficient pitches for years 1- 12 only. In the absence of allocated private sites, and in line with the provisions within PPTS, new private sites will be provided where they satisfy the criteria in Core Strategy Policy H7. To justify this approach, the Council will monitor approval rates of currently unidentified Gypsy and Traveller sites in the AMR, alongside the implementation of its managed approach to negotiated stopping. In addition, the Council will undertake an early review of the SAP should the Council’s monitoring determine that the deficit in sites against the Core Strategy targets for private and public provision is not being addressed through the grant of planning permission equal to an additional 13 pitches before 2028. In such circumstances, and in line with the Local Development Scheme, a review will need to have commenced and new sites be identified, in advance of 31st March 2023 so as to ensure that there can be supply equal to 13 pitches post 2024.</p> <p>Insert new Policy HGR2 as follows:</p> <p>HGR 2 THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN WILL BE MONITORED AND SUBJECT TO A REVIEW DURING THE PLAN PERIOD, AS FOLLOWS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. MONITOR THE NUMBER OF PERMISSIONS FOR GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITES GRANTED BY CORE STRATEGY POLICY H7 AND SAFEGUARDED THROUGH POLICY HG6(2), 2. ADOPT A PLAN REVIEW OF SITES FOR GYPSIES AND TRAVELLERS AGAINST CORE STRATEGY NEEDS IN POLICY H7 FOR PRIVATE AND PUBLIC PROVISION SHOULD THE QUANTUM OF SUCH SITES BE LESS THAN 13 AS AT 31st MARCH 2023 	<p>To ensure that the plan is positively prepared and meets identified needs for Gypsies and Travellers for the plan period. To also ensure that the terms of any review of the Plan are clearly stated to ensure that it remains effective.</p>
----	-------------------	---------------------------------	--	--

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
20	CD1/1a Page 34	¶2.85	<p>Continue ¶2.85 by inserting: “UDP allocations which have not yet been fully developed are to remain as identified allocations within the saved UDP. Policy EO1 lists saved UDP allocations. Sites with planning permission/expired permission are set out in Annex 2. Column 2 in the table of sites within the policy identifies the UDP reference retained, where applicable. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these.”</p> <p>Amend Policy EO1 as follows:</p> <p>POLICY EO1 – IDENTIFIED SITES FOR OFFICE USE</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF SITES CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY SITES WHICH:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION, AND 2) EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISONS FOR OFFICE THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR OFFICE DELIVERY; AND 1)3) OR WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR USES INCLUDING OFFICE IN THE UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AS IDENTIFIED OFFICE SITES. 2)4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED OFFICE SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGET FOR OFFICE USE SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9. <p>THE UDP SITES THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP (FOR MIXED USE OR OFFICE USE) AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION / EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 2.</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 14. For clarity, as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9th February 2018 , Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017 question 3.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
21	CD1/1a Page 34	¶2.85	<p>Continue ¶2.85 after proposed modification 14 by inserting;</p> <p>“Applications on EO1 sites should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.”</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 15. For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 October 2017 question 3.</p>
22	CD1/1a Page 35	¶ 2.88	<p>Continue ¶2.88 by inserting;</p> <p>“UDP allocations which have not yet been fully developed are to remain as identified allocations within the saved UDP. Policy EG1 lists saved UDP allocations. Sites with planning permission/expired permission are set out in Annex 3. Column 2 in the table of sites within the policy identifies the UDP reference retained, where applicable. Any site requirements identified in the UDP under this reference are also retained, and planning applications should have regard to these.”</p> <p>Amend Policy EG1 as follows:</p> <p>POLICY EG1 – IDENTIFIED SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN IDENTIFIES THAT THE FOLLOWING CATEGORIES OF SITES CONTRIBUTE TO OVERALL SUPPLY SITES WHICH:</p> <p>1) SITES THAT HAVE EXISTING OR RECENTLY EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION, AND</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 16. For clarity, as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9th February 2018, Hearing Day 4 27 October 2017 question 3.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>2) EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISONS FOR USES INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT THAT ARE STILL DEEMED TO BE APPROPRIATE FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT DELIVERY; AND</p> <p>1)3) OR WERE PREVIOUSLY ARE ALLOCATED FOR USES INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN THE UNITARY DEVELOPMENT PLAN, AS IDENTIFIED GENERAL EMPLOYMENT SITES.</p> <p>2)4) THESE CATEGORIES OF IDENTIFIED GENERAL EMPLOYMENT SITES CONTRIBUTE TO THE TARGET FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE SET OUT IN CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9.</p> <p>THE UDP SITES THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP (FOR MIXED USE OR OFFICE USE) AND DETAILED WITHIN SECTION 3 FOR EACH HOUSING MARKET CHARACTERISTIC AREA.</p> <p>A LIST OF SITES WITH PLANNING PERMISSION / EXPIRED PLANNING PERMISSION CURRENTLY INCLUDED IN THIS CATEGORY ARE LISTED IN ANNEX 3.</p>	
23	CD1/1a Page 35	¶2.88	<p>Continue ¶2.88 after proposed modification 16 by inserting;</p> <p>“Applicants for sites which are unimplemented allocations in the Leeds Unitary Development Plan (UDP) should have regard to the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.”</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 17.</p> <p>For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 October 2017 question 3.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
24	CD1/1a Page 36	¶2.91	Delete ¶2.91 and Policy EG3	To reflect lack of justification for a stand alone policy on the employment site at the airport. Reflecting Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3.
25	CD1/1a Page 38	¶2.98 Green Space Overview	Continue ¶2.98 by inserting: "Green space sites may include ancillary non green space uses such as car parks, or school buildings where they are linked to the overall dominant green space designation and aid the function of the site as green space. Proposals for development on sites will be considered against the impact of the proposal on the integrity and function of the green space".	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 20. For clarity, as detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 1 24 October 2017 question 6.
26	CD1/1a Page 39	¶2.100 Green Space Overview	Continue ¶ 2.100 by inserting: "Where opportunities arise for the provision of new green space, priority should be given to addressing identified deficiencies in green space typologies in the area. Decision makers should also consider the provisions of any made Neighbourhood Plan covering the new green space site and be guided by the policies, projects and evidence of local needs and views contained in the made Neighbourhood Plan and accompanying evidence base."	For clarity MM previously detailed in EX9b as MM21 and in EX21. Response to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 14th December 2017, Day 1 24 October 2017 question 8.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
27	CD1/1b Page 48	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-2 Wills Gill	<p>Revise the “Highway Access to Site” site requirement to:</p> <p>”The site should be accessed directly from Queensway. Provision of a vehicular and pedestrian link to site HG2-3 should be made to improve access options for both sites.”</p> <p>Revise the Conservation Area site requirement to read:</p> <p>“This site affects the setting of the Guiseley Conservation Area. Any development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area. A significant buffer is required on the western part of the site to preserve or enhance the character of adjacent surviving medieval field systems and views of St Oswalds Church. This should be a natural form rather than an overtly domesticated or managed space. A landscaped buffer will also be required between the new housing development and this open space. Further guidance on these requirements is provided in the Heritage Background Paper.”</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 22. Correction to reflect the wording in the Heritage Background Paper.
28	CD1/1b Pages 49 and 50	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-3 Shaw Lane, Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-3 Shaw Lane, Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon</p>	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
29	CD1/1b Pages 53 and 54 and 71	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-5 Land at Coach Road, Guiseley ¶3.1.11 and	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-5 Land at Coach Road, Guiseley</p> <p>Amend Aireborough, paragraph 3.1.11, Policy HG4 as follows:</p> <p>“Six Five housing allocations have easy access to Local Centres in Aireborough...” and delete</p>	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
		¶3.1.12 Policy HG4	HG2-5 Coach Road/Park Road, Guiseley from Policy HG4 Amend paragraph 3.1.12 last sentence as follows: In Aireborough there is one are no sites where part of the site is to be retained for a school. This site is: HG2-5 Coach Road/Park Road, Guiseley	unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
30	CD1/1b Page 62	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-9 Victoria Avenue	Amend the wording of the site requirement relating to noise mitigation to delete the words ' Consideration should be given at the planning application stage ' and insert ' Aircraft noise mitigation is required , (particularly in bedrooms), for example by means of enhanced glazing for habitable rooms, alternative means of ventilation, and an enhanced roof specification as appropriate, such that the internal noise standards of BS 8233 can be achieved.'	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 24. For clarity and effectiveness as detailed in M/7/1a, to make it clear that this is a requirement.
31	CD1/1b Pages 63 and 64	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
32	CD1/1b Pages 67 and 68	Section 3: Aireborough HG2-10 Gill Lane, Yeadon	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-12 Woodlands Drive, Rawdon	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

33

CD1/1b
Page 71

Section 3:
Aireborough
¶ 3.1.10
Policy HG3

Amend ¶3.1.10 as follows:

Section 2, paragraph 2.60 explains the need to designate sites as safeguarded land – a reserve of potential sites for longer term development post 2028. **There are no safeguarded land designations in Aireborough.**

Delete Aireborough Policy HG3:

~~**POLICY HG3 – SAFEGUARDED LAND**~~

~~**THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN DESIGNATES SITES TO BE SAFEGUARDED FROM DEVELOPMENT FOR THE PLAN PERIOD (TO 2028) TO PROVIDE A RESERVE OF POTENTIAL SITES FOR LONGER TERM DEVELOPMENT POST 2028 AND PROTECT THE GREEN BELT. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN AIREBOROUGH THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE:**~~

Plan-Ref	Address	Area-ha	Capacity
HG3-1	Ings Lane, Guiseley	4.3	114
HG3-2	Land to west of Knott Lane, Rawdon	3.1	81
HG3-3	Land at Rawdon, Leeds	1	35
HG3-4	Layton Wood Rawdon	4.7	130
Safeguarded land total:			

To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
34	CD1/1b Page 75	¶3.1.18	<p>Amend ¶3.1.18 as follows:</p> <p>Leeds Bradford Airport (LBIA) – Employment Hub</p> <p>It should be noted that provision already exists for development of a variety of airport related facilities within the Airport Operational Land Boundary (AOLB), which is designated under Saved Policy T30A of the UDP. Policy T30A lists the uses which may be developed in principle within the AOLB, subject also to Core Strategy Spatial Policy 12. In reflecting the opportunity to contribute to local general employment land requirements and to recognise the strategic economic role of Leeds Bradford International Airport (LBIA) for Leeds and the City Region, 36.23ha of land at LBIA is allocated as EG2-24 an Employment Hub, subject to Spatial Policy 12 of the Core Strategy and the following policy requirements. Detailed guidance on how airport growth is managed in the context of Policies T30A, SP12 and EG3, including the Employment Hub, will be set out in a LBIA Supplementary Planning Document which will cover the area of the Airport Operational Land Boundary, the Employment Hub, the UDP employment allocations, existing industrial properties and other associated land. This will be draw up with involvement of landowners and other key stakeholders.</p>	To reflect consequential changes arising from the deletion of Policy EG3 as noted in the Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3.
35	CD1/1b Page 75	Policy EG2	<p>Delete sentence "There are no general employment allocations (Policy EG2) in Aireborough" and insert Policy EG2:</p> <p>POLICY EG2 – GENERAL EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS, OR MIXED USE ALLOCATIONS WHICH INCLUDE GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT OR MIXED USE INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9. 2) ANY SPECIFIC SITE REQUIREMENTS ARE DETAILED UNDER THE ALLOCATION CONCERNED. 	To reflect consequential changes arising from the deletion of Policy EG3 as noted in the Inspector's Post hearing Note (Stage 1) Policy EG3.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason						
			<p>THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN AIREBOROUGH THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="533 427 1599 544"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 427 734 467">Plan Ref</th> <th data-bbox="734 427 1317 467">Address</th> <th data-bbox="1317 427 1599 467">Capacity (Ha)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 467 734 544">EG2-24</td> <td data-bbox="734 467 1317 544">Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport</td> <td data-bbox="1317 467 1599 544">36.23</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Allocated for employment total: 36.23 ha</p>	Plan Ref	Address	Capacity (Ha)	EG2-24	Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport	36.23	
Plan Ref	Address	Capacity (Ha)								
EG2-24	Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport	36.23								
36	CD1/1b Page 77	EG2-24 Land at Carlton Moor, Leeds Bradford Airport	<p>Revise former EG3 site as general employment allocation under Policy EG2 (as EG2-24) alongside a site schedule, site plan and site requirements as follows:</p> <p>A development brief will be required for the comprehensive development of this site, which has regard to: the overall layout, overall design and landscaping, land uses and phasing, linked to the provision of necessary infrastructure, including land to accommodate the proposed A65-A658 link road. Development of the site should not prejudice the development of the wider area adjacent to the airport.</p> <p>Public Transport Access:</p> <p>A surface access and car parking strategy will be required, incorporating major highways and public transport improvements, with identified funding and trigger points. The site layout must accommodate through routes for public transport and take account of wider strategic proposals including the Airport Link Road and Airport Parkway Station.</p> <p>Local Highway Network:</p> <p>The detailed transport assessment and surface access and car parking strategy will assess the impact of the proposal on the local highway network and identify any mitigation that may be required (including a potential contribution to the Airport Link Road). Measures may be required to limit the impact upon local minor roads and traffic impact on the major road network.</p> <p>Highway Access to Site:</p>	To provide clarity on the development requirements of this employment site and remove reference to an SPD.						

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>Access can be taken from suitably designed junctions on Whitehouse Lane. The development brief and application must accommodate the potential for future access to the Airport Link Road. This will be subject to the outcome of a detailed transport assessment.</p> <p>Ecology:</p> <p>The comprehensive development brief for the site should be informed by the findings of appropriate ecology surveys and landscape appraisal. Subject to the findings of this work, and where appropriate, mitigation measures will be provided.</p>	
37	CD1/1b Page 78	Section 3 ¶3.1.21	<p>Add new ¶3.1.21 as follows:</p> <p>“The Habitat’s Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council’s Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project.”</p>	To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment.
38	CD1/1c Page 85	Section 3: City Centre ¶3.2.6 MX1-9 30 Sovereign Street	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site MX1-9 - 30 Sovereign Street.	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C availability for residential development is now

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				unlikely in the Plan period.
39	CD1/1c Page 87	Section 3: City Centre ¶3.2.7 MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle	Amend Policy HG2 as follows: Delete MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle, capacity 171	New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation Scheme wall. Achieving suitable access will no longer be possible or viable.
40	CD1/1c Page10 3	HG2-208 Globe Quay, Globe Road, Holbeck	Amend wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state: “The site includes a Listed Building and there are several others in its vicinity . Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting.” Add flood risk site requirement to state: ‘Flood Risk: The site, or part of the site is located within Flood Zone 3. Flood risk mitigation measures are set out in the Council’s “Flood Risk Exception Test” document (available on the Council’s site allocations plan web-site) and site specific flood risk assessment should be applied.	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 30. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England To correct omission. This modification supercedes modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 29.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
41	CD1/1c Page10 5	HG2-209 The Faversham, Springfield Mount	Amend wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state: “The site includes a Listed Building. There is a Listed Building adjacent to this site. Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting”	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 31. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England
42	CD1/1c Page10 7	MX2-15 LGI, Great George Street	Remove last sentence from Conservation Area site requirement and move under the heading ‘Heritage’, so Heritage site requirement will read: “The northern part of the site contains some non-designated heritage assets. Proposals should respect the setting of the Listed Building and Conservation Area.”	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 33. Separate out Conservation Area Site Requirement and Heritage Site Requirement (including new Heritage heading) for clarity and to assist plan users.
43	CD1/1c Page11 5	MX2-19 Westgate – Leeds International Swimming Pool	Amend Local Highway Network site requirement to: “The development will make a direct impact on the congested A65 / A58 / Wellington Street gyratory. It will also have a cumulative impact on Armley Gyratory and M621 junction 2. A contribution towards mitigation measures at these locations will be required including any necessary improvement scheme as agreed with Highways England.”	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 34. Requested change from Highways England in order to clarify contributions. (As detailed in STA2).

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
44	CD1/1c Page117	MX2-20 Westgate-Brotherton House	Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to ' Heritage ' Heritage Site Requirement to State: "The existing building is viewed as a non-designated heritage asset. As such any development should sustain and enhance the significance of the asset unless the harm can be justified."	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 35. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users.
45	CD1/1c Page 128	Section 3 City Centre MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle	New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation Scheme rendering site no longer suitable.
46	CD1/1c Page131	MX2-32 Water Lane – Westbank	Amend Local Highway Network site requirement last sentence to: "Contributions will also be required towards the City Centre Package transport interventions for Meadow Lane, Victoria Road and Neville Street and to any necessary improvement scheme at M621 junction 3 as agreed with Highways England. "	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 36. Requested change from Highways England in order to clarify contributions. (As detailed in STA2).
47	CD1/1c Page135	MX2-35 Temple Works Mixed Use Site	Amend the wording of the Listed Buildings Site Requirement to state "The site includes and is in the setting of a Listed Building a number of Listed Buildings associated with the Grade I Listed Marshall Mills and there are a number of others in close	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>proximity. Any development should preserve the special architectural or historic interest of Listed Buildings and their setting.”</p> <p>Add to Site Requirements:</p> <p>“Conservation Area: The site affects the setting of a Conservation Area. Any development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area.”</p>	<p>modification 38. Site Requirement wording as suggested by Historic England</p> <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 37. Omission. The site is overlapped by a conservation area, so should be subject to the standard site requirement.</p>
48	CD1/1c Page140	Sites for Gypsies and Travellers. HG6-2 Kidacre Street	Amend site schedule to amend number of pitches on Kidacre Street 13 pitches (8 Existing and 5 additional pitches)	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 39. Following further feasibility work and to help meet the identified need target fully (25 pitches).
49	CD1/1c Page143	Section 3 City Centre Employment -ent MX2-30	Amend Policy EO2 to delete site MX2-30 Water Lane Railway Triangle, capacity 5,000sq m	New modification detailed at the hearings. Access to the site compromised by Flood Alleviation

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
		Water Lane Railway Triangle		Scheme wall. Achieving suitable access will no longer be possible or viable.
50	CD1/1d Page 160	HG2-119 Red Hall Offices & Playing Field LS17	<p>Revise introductory paragraph of site requirements to read:</p> <p>“The Red Hall site will be the subject of a detailed planning brief (this includes HG1-284 the remainder of the Red Hall site) which shall provide further guidance on design, landscaping, heritage and green space. Development and development will be subject to the provision of the section of the East Leeds Orbital Route which will run through the northern part of the site. The site contains a Safeguarded Municipal Waste site in the Natural Resources and Waste DPD, but this is being re-provided as part of the relocation of the Council operation, so will not affect development on the site”.</p> <p>Delete the Natural Resources and Waste DPD site requirement.</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 40. Clarifies the link between site requirements and the planning brief. Also clarifies that statement made in relation to NRWDPD is not a site requirement.
51	CD1/1d Page 162	HG2-120 Manston Lane - former Vickers Tank Factory Site, Cross Gates	<p>Revise Local Highway Network Site Requirement to read:</p> <p>“This site should not be brought forward The development shall not commence until the completion of Manston Lane Link Road (MLLR) is complete. The site will be expected to contribute to the improvement scheme at M1 junction 46, in line with plans from Highways England have a direct impact upon MLLR and M1 Jn 46 and mitigating measures will be required. This may take the form of a contribution towards the cost of future works on MLLR, in line with the proposals for East Leeds Orbital Road, together with a contribution to works at Jn 46 in line with the requirements of Highways England.”</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 41. To ensure consistency with other site requirements on similar sites.
52	CD1/1d Page 167	HG2-123 Colton Road East, Colton	<p>Re-insert ecology site requirement from Publication Draft to read:</p> <p>“Ecology: An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided, including a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) to protect and link</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 43. Ecology site

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			hedgerows and young woodland.”	requirement has been erroneously removed from Submission Draft. It was not a proposed change at the pre-submission changes consultation stage and its omission from the Submission Draft was an error.
53	CD1/1d Page17 3	MX2-38 Barrowby Lane, Manston	Insert additional Site Requirement: “Scheduled Ancient Monuments (I & II): This area lies close to the site of the former World War I National Filling Factory at Barnbow. This is a Scheduled Monument. Any development should safeguard those elements which contribute to the significance of this area”	For consistency with the approach adopted throughout the Plan. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 44. This monument was recently scheduled under the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 as amended.
54	CD1/1e Page18 6	Section 3: Inner ¶ 3.4.6 HG1-259 - 236 Tong Road	Amend Policy HG1 table to delete site HG1-259 - 236 Tong Road, capacity 9	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18).

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non residential use.
55	CD1/1e Page 235 and 236 CD1/1e Page 262	Section 3 Inner HG2-201 Sites Reserved for School Use 3.4.12	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-201 York Road (land south of), East of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill Amend sentence as follows: "In the Inner HMCA there is one are two sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. This These sites is are: HG2-201 York Road (Land south of), East of Pontefract Lane, Richmond Hill MX2-9 Kirkstall Road, Kirkstall	As detailed above. Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 47. The landowner has confirmed the site will not be made available for housing. (As also detailed in M/7/1d). Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 48. Site HG2-201 is deleted.
56	CD1/1e Plans at page 281	HMCA plan for Inner. Green Space site G1076 Phil May Court	Delete green space site G1076 Phil May Court	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 50. The northern part of the site has planning permission for development. The remaining area is <0.2ha therefore

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				too small to designate.
57	CD1/1e Plans at page 281	HMCA plan for Inner. Green space site G1696 Grafton School	Delete green space site G1696 Grafton School	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 51. The green space designation extends over car parking. If the green space is revised to exclude these areas, the remaining area is <0.2ha therefore too small to designate.
58	CD1/1f Page 285	Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-68 Silk Mill Drive	Amend Policy HG1 table to delete site HG1-68 Silk Mill Drive, capacity 20	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52. Following SA of identified sites it is considered that the ecological value could not now be protected if the site were to be developed.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
59	CD1/1f Page 286	Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-99 Low Fold Garage	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-99 Low Fold Garage, New Road Side, Horsforth, capacity 5	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site not now available for housing.
60	CD1/1f Page 287	Section 3: North ¶3.5.6 HG1-119 Belmont House	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-119 Belmont House, Wood Lane, capacity 6	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, landowner has confirmed site not now available.
61	CD1/1f Page 288	¶3.5.6 Policy HG1. HG1-500 Corn Mill Fold, Low Lane, Horsforth	Amend Policy HG1 site HG1-500 to add asterisk to the site reference so flood risk footnote listed applies.	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 52. To correct omission

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
62	CD1/1f Page 303	HG2-36 Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley, LS17	<p>Amend capacity from 285 to 302</p> <p>Amend wording of Ecology site requirement to delete 'ensure consideration of' and insert 'protect'</p>	<p>Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.</p> <p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 53. This modification will compel mitigation measures rather than seek their consideration.</p>
63	CD1/1f Page 306	HG2-37 Brownberrie Lane	<p>Amend wording of the Aircraft Noise Mitigation site requirement as follows:</p> <p>Noise Consideration should be given at the planning application stage to aAircraft noise mitigation is required, (particularly in bedrooms), for example by means of enhanced glazing for habitable rooms, alternative means of ventilation, and an enhanced roof specification as appropriate, such that the internal noise standards of BS 8233 can be achieved.</p> <p>Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to Heritage.</p> <p>Heritage Site Requirement to State:</p> <p>"The site affects the setting of a group of Victorian villas that are viewed as is a non-designated heritage assets. Consideration should be given to their setting in any future development."</p>	<p>Modifications previously detailed in EX9b as modification 54 and 55.</p> <p>This modification will compel mitigation measures rather than seek their consideration.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
64	CD1/1f Page 311 and 312	Section 3: North HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon roundabout to crematorium	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon roundabout to crematorium</p> <p>Amend ¶3.5.12 as follows:</p> <p>Section 2 ¶ 2.64 explains that where land is needed for provision of a school or schools, or extension to a school, these sites are identified on the plan at the end of the section. In North HMCA there are three two sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. These sites are:</p> <p>MX1-3 Abbey Road - Kirkstall Forge HG2-36 Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley HG2-41 South of A65 from Horsforth & Rawdon roundabout to crematorium</p>	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
65	CD1/1f Page 316	HG2-43 Horsforth Campus	<p>Add wording at start of site requirements:</p> <p>"This site is adjacent to identified site HG1-515 Horsforth Campus, therefore it is encouraged that both sites should be developed together and comprehensively."</p> <p>Delete wording of the Highway Access Site Requirement and replace with:</p> <p>"Horsforth roundabout will require alteration to accommodate additional traffic as a result of housing growth. The development will be expected to contribute to the cost of the alterations."</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 56. For effectiveness. Recent communication with developer/ landowner that they are currently marketing the wider site as one site including site HG1-515 and HG2-43 and intend to develop the site comprehensively. Modification

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				previously detailed in EX9b as modification 57. For effectiveness and clarity.
66	CD1/1f Page 322	HG2-46 Horsforth (former waste water treatment work)	Amend the wording of Ecology Site Requirement to read: “An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided to ensure impacts on wildlife corridor function are addressed including which may include a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) along the west, south and east boundary.”	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications North No.1). Wording amended to offer greater flexibility in relation to mitigation measures to allow for full ecological assessment to be carried out first.
67	CD1/1f Page 327 and 328	Section 3: North	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-49 Off Weetwood Avenue, Headingley	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
68	CD1/1f Page 338	HG2-236 West Park Centre	Delete flood risk site requirement	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 58. Factual correction. The site is within flood zone 1 not 3
69	CD1/1f Page 345	HMCA plan for North Green Space site G1111 Cragg Hill Farm	Delete green space site G1111 Cragg Hill Farm	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 59. Site has an extant permission for sports hall.
70	CD1/1f Page 345	Shire View Headingley, G1718	Designate land at Shire View Headingley as G1718 greenspace	To correct error of omission of site identified through the Open Space, Sport and Recreation Assessment which was included in Publication Draft Plan but omitted from Submission Plan. Included as MM60 in EX9a.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
71	CD1/1g Page 348	Section 3: Outer North East ¶3.6.6 HG1-36 Moor End	Amend table within Policy HG1 as follows: delete site HG1-36 Moor End (7-14), Boston Spa, capacity 9	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is now not available.
72	CD1/1g Page 357	Section 3: Outer North East HG2-24 Keswick Lane	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-24 Keswick Lane (land to north of), Bardsey	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
73	CD1/1g Page 358	Section 3: Outer North East HG2-25 Farfield House, Bramham	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-25 Farfield House, Bramham	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
74	CD1/1g Page 360	Section 3: Outer North East HG2-26 Scarcroft Lodge	<p>HG2-26 Scarcroft Lodge, Scarcroft – Amend site requirement as follows:</p> <p>Major developed site within the Green Belt: Development to have no greater impact on the purposes openness of the Green Belt than the existing development. No major increase in the developed proportion of the site. Requirement for the derelict listed buildings to be brought back into use and incorporated into the scheme. Development brief to be agreed prior to development.</p>	New modification in response to Inspectors Post Hearing Note on HG2-26

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
75	CD1/1g Page 363	Section 3: Outer North East HG2-226 East of Wetherby	<p>Amend site requirements for HG2-226 East of Wetherby, Wetherby as follows:</p> <p>A comprehensive design brief for the development needs to be agreed prior to the development of the site. A pedestrian link shall be provided to the south-west of the site, providing links to Wetherby town centre. A link to the public right of way to the north of the site should also be provided. The design brief should show the retention of key landscape features such as the avenue of trees and areas of woodland within the site as well as retain key positively address all of the individual site requirements listed below.</p> <p>• Highway Access to Site:</p> <p>Access points must be created onto York Road and Racecourse Approach B1224, possibly requiring widening for ghost island junctions. The access points will need to be linked within the site. Footway and cycletrack improvements will be required along both Highway quality pedestrian and cycle routes are to be provided within the site. A pedestrian and cycle link to York Road shall be provided to the south-west of the site, providing safe, practical all year round links to Wetherby town centre from the new housing. These links should involve improvements to Bridleway No.7 and Footpath No.8. In addition a link to the public right of way and A1(M) junction 46 to the north-west of the site should also be provided and along the northern flank of York Road between Racecourse Approach and Bridleway No.7.</p> <p>• Local Highway Network:</p> <p>This site will have a significant impact on the surrounding strategic and local road network. A comprehensive transport planning exercise will need to confirm the details of the road network and public transport enhancements required. Mitigation works should be carried out in accordance with the findings of the assessment work. In addition development of the site will direct and significant impact on the surrounding road network and will require substantial improvements to both the local and strategic highway networks. This is likely to include mitigating measures at A1(M) Jn 46 as agreed with Highways England. have a cumulative impact upon junctions within Wetherby and a contribution will be required towards mitigation works at the Linton Road and Crossley St junctions with the A661.</p>	<p>For clarity so as to ensure that site requirements are understood.</p> <p>For effectiveness. New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications Outer North East No. 6).</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason																												
76	CD1/1g	Section 3: Outer North East	Delete MX2-39 Land at Parlington.	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.																												
77	CD1/1g Page 372	Section 3: Outer North East ¶ 3.6.10	<p>Amend Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <p>...THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER NORTH EAST THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="573 794 1570 1351"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-7</td> <td>The Ridge, Linton</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-8</td> <td>Leeds Road Collingham</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>100</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-9</td> <td>West Park, Boston Spa</td> <td>4.1</td> <td>110</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-10</td> <td>Grove Road, Boston Spa</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>103</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-11</td> <td>Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>36</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-12</td> <td>Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>60</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Plan Ref	Address	Area ha	Capacity	HG3-7	The Ridge, Linton	4.1	100	HG3-8	Leeds Road Collingham	6.5	100	HG3-9	West Park, Boston Spa	4.1	110	HG3-10	Grove Road, Boston Spa	3.9	103	HG3-11	Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23	1.6	36	HG3-12	Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes	1.9	60	New modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3)
Plan Ref	Address	Area ha	Capacity																													
HG3-7	The Ridge, Linton	4.1	100																													
HG3-8	Leeds Road Collingham	6.5	100																													
HG3-9	West Park, Boston Spa	4.1	110																													
HG3-10	Grove Road, Boston Spa	3.9	103																													
HG3-11	Chapel Lane (land to the east of), Clifford LS23	1.6	36																													
HG3-12	Wood Lane (land off), and east of the former railway, Scholes	1.9	60																													

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification				Reason
			HG3-13	Scholes (east of)	32.1	850	
			Safeguarded land total			1,3591,156	
78	CD1/1h Page 384 and 385	Section 3: Outer North West	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-15 Green Acres and Equestrian Centre Moor Road, Bramhope				To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
79	CD1/1h Page 386 and 387	Section 3: Outer North West	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-16 Creskeld Lane, Bramhope – land to rear of no.45				To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
80	CD1/1h Page 390	HG2-18 Church Lane Adel	Amend capacity from 87 to 104				Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
81	CD1/1h Page 396	Section 3: Outer North West ¶ 3.7.10	Amend ¶3.7.10, Policy HG3 as follows: HG3-5 Old Pool Bank (land at), Pool in Wharfedale, Otley 23.411.07 540 260 Safeguarded land total: 540 260	
82	CD1/1h Page 405	Section ¶3.7.21	Add new ¶3.7.21 as follows: "The Habitat's Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council's Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project."	To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment.
83	CD1/1i Page 408	Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-404 Marsh Street, Rothwell, capacity 6	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non-residential use.
84	CD1/1i Page 412 and 413	Section 3: Outer South	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-173 Haighside, Rothwell	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
85	CD1/1i Page 417	Section 3: Outer South HG2-175	Amend the wording of the Ecology Site requirement to read: "An Ecological Assessment of the site is required and, where appropriate, mitigation measures will need to be provided, which may include including provision of a biodiversity buffer (not private garden space) adjacent to the northern boundary with Rothwell Country Park."	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (Main Modifications Outer South No.1) Wording amended to offer greater flexibility in relation to mitigation measures to allow for full ecological assessment to be carried out first.
86	CD1/1i Page 424 and 425		Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-179 Fleet Lane/Eshald Lane (Land at), Oulton	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 30 July Outer South question 1) Following update from HS2 Ltd, confirming that the whole site is required during the

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				construction of HS2 and is proposed as woodland thereafter.
87	CD1/1i Page 426	HG2-180 Fleet Lane and Methley Lane, Oulton	Amend capacity from 322 to 339	Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.
88	CD1/1i Page 428 and 429	Section 3: Outer South HG2-181 Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-181 Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
89	CD1/1i Page 434	Section 3: Outer South HG2-184 Westgate Lane, Lofthouse	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-184 Westgate Lane, Lofthouse	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
90	CD1/1i Page 435 and 436	Section 3: Outer South HG2-185 Church Farm, Lofthouse	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-185 Church Farm, Lofthouse	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
91	CD1/1i Page 438	Section 3: Outer South HG2-186 Main Street, Hunts Farm, Methley	Change title of the Conservation Area Site Requirement to Heritage Heritage Site Requirement to State: "The site includes, and affects the setting of, historic buildings that are viewed as non-designated heritage assets, including the historic farmhouse. Any development should preserve or enhance the significance of the assets, including the contribution made by their setting. Strong justification would be required for the demolition, rather than conversion, of such assets."	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 62. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users
92	CD1/1i Page 439	MX2-14 Aberford Road (77/79), Oulton	Amend capacity from 50 to 25	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 63. Factual correction. The previous capacity was for the whole site area and did not allow for mixed use. (As also detailed in M/7/1h).

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason																				
93	CD1/1i Page 441	Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.10	<p>Amend ¶3.8.10, Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <p>.....IN OUTER SOUTH THE SITES DESIGNATED AS SAFEGUARDED LAND ARE:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="546 536 1621 798"> <thead> <tr> <th>Plan Ref</th> <th>Address</th> <th>Area Ha</th> <th>Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-26</td> <td>Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>115</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-27</td> <td>Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>55</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-28</td> <td>Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown</td> <td>2.2</td> <td>50</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" style="text-align: right;">Safeguarded Land total</td> <td>220 115</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Plan Ref	Address	Area Ha	Capacity	HG3-26	Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton	4.2	115	HG3-27	Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown	2.5	55	HG3-28	Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown	2.2	50	Safeguarded Land total			220 115	New modification to update the Plan to delete proposed HG3 safeguarded sites which are to be released from Green Belt as a result of needing to plan to 2023 only.
Plan Ref	Address	Area Ha	Capacity																					
HG3-26	Main Street and Pitfield Road, Carlton	4.2	115																					
HG3-27	Church Lane (land south of),- Mickletown	2.5	55																					
HG3-28	Pinfold Lane (land west of),- Mickletown	2.2	50																					
Safeguarded Land total			220 115																					
94	CD1/1i Page 441	Section 3: Outer South ¶ 3.8.12	<p>Delete last part of ¶3.8.12 and Policy HG5 as follows:</p> <p>In addition some sites that are not allocated for housing also need to be reserved for future school use. Policy HG5 applies to these sites:</p> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; margin-top: 10px;"> <p>POLICY HG5:-</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR SCHOOL USE. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN THE OUTER SOUTH HMCA THIS SITE IS:</p> <p>HG5-7 Hope Farm, Wakefield Road, Robin Hood</p> </div>	School site not now justified as a result of allocations being deleted from the Green Belt																				
95	CD1/1i Page 443	Employment Proposals for Outer South ¶ 3.8.18	<p>Delete sentence 'There are no proposed allocations for general employment in Outer South- (policy EG2)' and insert</p> <p>POLICY EG2 – GENERAL EMPLOYMENT ALLOCATIONS, OR MIXED USE ALLOCATIONS WHICH INCLUDE GENERAL EMPLOYMENT USE.</p> <p>1) THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR GENERAL EMPLOYMENT</p>	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 64. Factual correction. The site was listed																				

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>OR MIXED USE INCLUDING GENERAL EMPLOYMENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH CORE STRATEGY POLICY SP9.</p> <p>2) ANY SPECIFIC SITE REQUIREMENTS ARE DETAILED UNDER THE ALLOCATION CONCERNED IN SECTION 3.</p> <p>THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER SOUTH THESE ALLOCATIONS ARE:</p> <p>Plan Ref: MX2-14 Address: Aberford Road (77/79), Oulton Area: 1.33 ha Capacity: 1.33 (ha) Allocated for general employment total 1.33</p>	<p>solely under Policy HG2. As a mixed use site Policy EG2 also applies. (As also detailed in M/7/ih)</p>
96	CD1/1i Page 445	HMCA plan for Outer South Green space site G870 Rothwell Pastures Part 2	Delete green space site G870 Rothwell Pastures Part 2	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 65. The site has planning permission for agriculture/equestrian uses which are not green space
97	CD1/1j Page 448	HG1-317	<p>Amend Policy HG1 to delete site:</p> <p>HG1-317 2 Brigshaw Lane, Allerton Bywater, capacity 8</p>	For effectiveness to reflect that the site is now considered to be undeliverable by the landowner.
98	CD1/1j Page 450 and 451	HG2-124 Stourton Grange Farm South, Selby Road,	Delete site HG2-124 Stourton Grange Farm	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
		Ridge Road, Garforth		unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
99	CD1/1j Page 456 and 157	HG2-127 Newtown Farm, Micklefield	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-127 Newtown Farm, Micklefield	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
100	CD1/1j Page 458 and 459	HG2-128 Selby Road/Leeds Road, Kippax	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-128 Selby Road/Leeds Road, Kippax	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
101	CD1/1j Page 464	HG2-131 Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-131 Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason																				
				release of Green Belt land.																				
102	CD1/1j Page 465 and 466	HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax</p> <p>Amend ¶3.9.11 and Policy HG4 as follows:</p> <p>“ThreeTwo housing allocations have easy access to Local Centres in Outer South East...”</p> <p>and</p> <p>Delete ‘HG2-132 Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax’ from Policy HG4.</p>	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector’s Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.																				
103	CD1/1j Page 475	Section 3: Outer South East ¶ 3.9.10	<p>Amend ¶3.9.10, table within Policy HG3, as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="533 938 1384 1334"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="533 938 674 991">Plan Ref</th> <th data-bbox="680 938 1099 991">Address</th> <th data-bbox="1106 938 1227 991">Area ha</th> <th data-bbox="1234 938 1384 991">Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 995 674 1075">HG3-18</td> <td data-bbox="680 995 1099 1075">Selby Road, Garforth</td> <td data-bbox="1106 995 1227 1075">18</td> <td data-bbox="1234 995 1384 1075">500</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1080 674 1160">HG3-19</td> <td data-bbox="680 1080 1099 1160">Moorgate, Kippax</td> <td data-bbox="1106 1080 1227 1160">40.4</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1080 1384 1160">166</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="533 1165 674 1244">HG3-20</td> <td data-bbox="680 1165 1099 1244">Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater</td> <td data-bbox="1106 1165 1227 1244">40.6</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1165 1384 1244">950</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="3" data-bbox="533 1249 1227 1281" style="text-align: right;">Safeguarded land total</td> <td data-bbox="1234 1249 1384 1329">1,616 1,450</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Plan Ref	Address	Area ha	Capacity	HG3-18	Selby Road, Garforth	18	500	HG3-19	Moorgate, Kippax	40.4	166	HG3-20	Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater	40.6	950	Safeguarded land total			1,616 1,450	New modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3)
Plan Ref	Address	Area ha	Capacity																					
HG3-18	Selby Road, Garforth	18	500																					
HG3-19	Moorgate, Kippax	40.4	166																					
HG3-20	Park Lane /Doctor’s Lane (land off) Allerton Bywater	40.6	950																					
Safeguarded land total			1,616 1,450																					

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
104	CDR1/1 j Page 481	EG1-35 Hawks Park, North Newhold, Aberford Road, Garforth	Policy EG1. Revise capacity of site EG1-35 from 12.99ha to 8.43ha.	To reflect uncertainty regarding the deliverability of the portion of the site to the north of the proposed HS2 line. As detailed in STA13.
105	CDR1/1 j Page 481	EG1-36 Hawks Park, North Newhold, Aberford Road, Garforth	Policy EG1. Revise capacity of site EG1-36 from 4.08ha to 1.52ha.	To reflect uncertainty regarding the deliverability of the portion of the site to the north of the proposed HS2 line. As detailed in STA13.
106	CD1/1k Page 485	Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-327 Barkly Road, capacity 25	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is no longer available for residential use.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
107	CD1/1k Page 486	Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-344 Albert Road, Morley, capacity 40	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, site is no longer suitable for residential use.
108	CD1/1k Page 506 and 507	Section 3: Outer South West	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-144 Westfield Farm, Drighlington	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
109	CD1/1k Page 508 and 509	Section 3: Outer South West	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-145 Bradford Road/Wakefield Road Gildersome Amend ¶3.10.12 as follows: "Section 2 ¶ 2.64 explains that where land is needed for provision of a school or schools, or extension to a school, these sites are identified on the plan at the end of the section. In Outer South West there are two is one sites where part of a housing site is to be retained for a school. These This sites are: HG2-145 Bradford Road/Wakefield Road Gildersome HG2-150 Churwell (land to the east of)	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. To reflect fact that school is proposed to be

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>Amend Policy HG5 as follows:</p> <p>POLICY HG5</p> <p>THE SITE ALLOCATIONS PLAN ALLOCATES SITES FOR SCHOOL USE. THESE ARE SHOWN ON THE POLICIES MAP. IN OUTER SOUTH WEST THIS THESE SITES IS ARE:</p> <p>HG5-8 BRADFORD ROAD, EAST ARDSLEY HG5-9 LAND NORTH WEST OF BIRCHFIELD PRIMARY SCHOOL GILDERSOME</p>	<p>delivered on a standalone site rather than as part of an allocation for housing</p>
110	CD1/1k Page 512 and 513	Section 3: Outer South West	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-147 Highfield Drive/Harthill Lane (land off), Gildersome</p>	<p>To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.</p>
111	CD1/1k Page 514 and 515	Section 3: Outer South West	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-148 Gelderd Road/M621, Gildersome</p>	<p>To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
112	CD1/1k Page 517	HG2-149 Lane Side Farm Morley	Insert Education site requirement to state: ‘Education Provision: Part of the site should be retained for provision of a school, unless the school is already delivered on site HG2-150. ‘	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 69. The recently approved Lane Side Farm (HG2-149) planning application includes provision of a 2 FE primary. If implemented, this will address the schools requirement proposed on the nearby allocation at East of Churwell (HG2-150). Site requirement to be added for school provision
113	CD1/1k Page 518	HG2-150 Churwell (Land to the east of)	Amend capacity from 205 to 223	Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
114	CD1/1k Page 519	HG2-150 Churwell (Land to the east of)	Education site requirement to be amended so that it reads 'Part of the site should be retained for provision of a school, unless the school is already delivered on site HG2-149. In the event that the school is already delivered, the capacity would be adjusted accordingly. '	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 70. In response to the planning permission on HG2-149. See above.
115	CD1/1k Page 521	HG2-153 Albert Drive, Morley	<p>Amend the Highways Access and Highway Local Network site requirements:</p> <p>Highways Access to Site:</p> <p>Traffic management measures will be required in the streets to the south and west for should be reviewed on Albert Road, Peel Street and Clough Street and further measures introduced as necessary to the benefit of road safety.</p> <p>Local Highway Network:</p> <p>The proposed development is required to improve pedestrian linkages to Morley railway station upgrading existing definitive footpaths 62 and 128.</p>	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions To provide further information and clarity on the mitigation measures required to address the Highways Access and Local Network concerns.
116	CD1/1k Page 523	HG2-155 Joseph Priestley College	<p>Amend Conservation Area site requirement as follows:</p> <p>"The site is within, or affects the setting of a proposed Conservation Area. When adopted, aAny development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, when adopted. The building is identified as a positive historic building in the draft appraisal and is currently viewed as a Non-Designated Heritage Asset. Any development of the site would need to retain the existing building and convert it for residential use in a sensitive way. The loss, rather than conversion of the building, would need strong justification."</p> <p>Add new 'Heritage' site requirement to state: Heritage:</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 71.</p> <p>For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>The building is identified as a positive historic building in the draft Conservation Area appraisal and is a Non-Designated Heritage Asset. Any development of the site would need to retain the existing building and convert it for residential use in a sensitive way. The loss, rather than conversion of the building, would need robust justification.”</p>	
117	CD1/1k Page 529	HG2-158 Tingley Mills, Tingley Common, Morley	<p>Amend Conservation Area site requirement to state: “The site is within, or affects the setting of, a proposed Conservation Area. When adopted, aAny development should preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the Conservation Area, when adopted. The site includes a number of historic buildings that have been identified as positive buildings in the draft appraisal. The buildings are considered to be Non-Designated Heritage Assets and their loss through demolition would require strong justification.”</p> <p>Add new Heritage Site Requirement to state: ‘Heritage: The site includes a number of historic buildings that have been identified as positive buildings in the draft Conservation Area appraisal. The buildings are Non-Designated Heritage Assets and their loss through demolition would require robust justification.”</p>	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 72.</p> <p>For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users.</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
118	CD1/1k, Page 539	HG2-167 Old Thorpe Lane, Tingley	<p>Amend boundary on plan and capacity from 619 to 207 and area from 28ha to 9.2ha.</p> <p>Delete site requirement relating to New Local Centre</p> <p>Amend Local Highway Network site requirement to delete reference to 'or new link road', as follows:</p> <p>".....The development will be required to fund appropriate mitigation measures in the form of a realigned junction or new link road. There is also.....junction improvements."</p> <p>Delete site requirement relating to Listed Buildings</p> <p>Delete last sentence of ¶3.10.3 as follows:</p> <p>For policies and guidance referring to centre boundaries, Primary Shopping Areas and proposals within protected shopping frontages, please refer to Section 2 of this document. These policies must also be read in conjunction with the Retail and Town Centres section of the Core Strategy. In addition to the designated centres outlined above, the site requirements for the housing site at Land at Old Thorpe Lane, Tingley (HG2-167) set out that a new centre should be delivered as part of this development.</p>	<p>To reflect change in capacity of site. To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land. Site requirement no longer justified as a result of reduction in site size.</p>
119	CD1/1k, Page 542	HG2-168 Haigh Wood, Ardsley	<p>Amend wording of Local Highway Network site requirement, as detailed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Highway Network: <p>The proposed development will cause a cumulative impact on the congested junction of the A650 with Common Lane. The development will be required to contribute to appropriate mitigation measures in the form of junction capacity improvements. There is also a cumulative impact upon Tingley roundabout.</p> <p>To mitigate this impact a contribution will be required towards any improvements as agreed with Highways England, taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area. The proposed development is also likely to impact on congested parts of the A653 including within the district of Kirklees. Kirklees Metropolitan Council will be consulted on the transport</p>	<p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 22). Amended wording to provide further clarity about the need for consultation with Kirklees Metropolitan Council</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
			<p>implications of any future planning applications on the site. The development will be required to assess impacts taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area and fund appropriate mitigation measures including road and junction improvements.</p>	<p>about the transport implications that planning applications would have upon the Local Highway Network.</p>
120	CDR1/1k, Page 544	HG2-169 Haigh Wood, Ardsley	<p>Amend wording of Local Highway Network site requirement, as detailed:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Local Highway Network: <p>The proposed development will have a direct impact on the congested junction of the A650 with Common Lane. The development will be required to contribute to appropriate mitigation measures in the form of junction capacity improvements. There is also a cumulative impact upon Tingley roundabout. To mitigate this impact a contribution will be required towards any improvements as agreed with Highways England, taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area. In addition, a cumulative impact is also likely at the A650 / Rein Rd junction and contributions towards mitigating measures will also be required here. The proposed development is also likely to impact on congested parts of the A653 including within the district of Kirklees. Kirklees Metropolitan Council will be consulted on the transport implications of any future planning applications on the site. The development will be required to assess impacts taking into account the cumulative impact of other allocated sites in the area and fund appropriate mitigation measures including road and junction improvements.</p>	<p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16th July, question 22). Amended wording to provide further clarity about the need for consultation with Kirklees Metropolitan Council about the transport implications that planning applications would have upon the Local Highway Network.</p>
121	CD1/1k Page 545 and 546	Section 3: Outer South West	<p>Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements:</p> <p>HG2-170 Land off Haigh Moor Road</p>	<p>To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary</p>

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason																								
				release of Green Belt land.																								
122	CD1/1k Page 547	Section 3: Outer South West	<p>HG2-171 - Amend site capacity from 195 to 35 and area from 8.68 to 1.3 hectares and amend boundary of site.</p> <p>Delete Local Highway Network site requirement.</p>	To reflect change to boundary and capacity and highway requirement no longer justified as a result of change to site size.																								
123	CD1/1k Page 554	Section 3: Outer South West ¶ 3.10.10	<p>Amend ¶3.10.10, table within Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>HG3-21</td> <td>Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley</td> <td>11.6</td> <td>315</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-22</td> <td>Manor House Farm, Churwell</td> <td>2.9</td> <td>80</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HGF3-23</td> <td>Tingley Station</td> <td>43.1</td> <td>1050</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-24</td> <td>Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley</td> <td>9.7</td> <td>218</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-25</td> <td>New Lane, East Ardsley</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>90</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Safeguarded Land total:</td> <td></td> <td>1753 1220</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	HG3-21	Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley	11.6	315	HG3-22	Manor House Farm, Churwell	2.9	80	HGF3-23	Tingley Station	43.1	1050	HG3-24	Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley	9.7	218	HG3-25	New Lane, East Ardsley	3.8	90		Safeguarded Land total:		1753 1220	<p>Deletion of HG3-24 is new modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3)</p> <p>Deletion of HG3-21 in light of Inspector's Post Hearing Note and plan to 2023</p>
HG3-21	Gelderd Road (land to the north of),- Wortley	11.6	315																									
HG3-22	Manor House Farm, Churwell	2.9	80																									
HGF3-23	Tingley Station	43.1	1050																									
HG3-24	Bradford Road (land off), East Ardsley	9.7	218																									
HG3-25	New Lane, East Ardsley	3.8	90																									
	Safeguarded Land total:		1753 1220																									

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
124	CD1/1k Page 563	HG7-1 – West Wood, Dewsbury Road, Tingley	Amend site boundary to exclude areas of flood risk. Amend site area from 0.68ha to 0.39ha	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 73. To reflect the conclusion of the Flood Risk Sequential Test exercise for the Gypsy and Traveller sites as detailed in HD5. (See plan attached).
125	CD1/1k	Policy EG1 EG1-48 Opposite Ravell Works, Geldered Road, Wortley	Revise capacity of EG1-48 from 5.02 to 3.19ha	For justification to exclude land which is proposed to be used to extend neighbouring cemetery.
126	CD1/1k Page 567	Policy EG1 EG1-55	Delete EG1-55 Adj Ravenheat Ltd, Chartists Way, Morley	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 75. Factual correction – UDP E3B:8 was not saved; site is below allocation threshold of 0.2ha.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
127	CD1/1k Page 573	EG2-19 Land off Topcliffe Lane, Morley and to the North of Capitol Park	Delete Culverts and Canalised Watercourses site requirement Delete Conservation Area site requirement Add new Heritage Site Requirement to state: Heritage: Some buildings at Topcliffe Farm at end of Topcliffe Lane are Non-Designated Heritage Assets based upon the existing buildings' age and local architectural and vernacular character, and their loss through demolition would require justification.	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 76. The culvert is very small and goes under the road at Topcliffe Lane. There would be no significant benefit from opening it. (As detailed in STA5) Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 77. For clarity, consistency and to assist plan users. (As detailed in STA5)

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
128	CD1/1k Page 574	EG2-20 Fall Lane, East Ardsley	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: EG2-20 Fall Lane, East Ardsley 0.59ha	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 78. The landowner has confirmed the site will not be made available for employment use.
129	CD1/1k Page 581	HMCA plan for Outer South West Green space site G655 Main Street (site of old pub)	Delete site G655 Main Street (site of old pub)	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 79. HG1-389 overlaps G655. The remaining area is <0.2ha therefore too small to designate. (Planning permission granted 31/10/2016 covers G655 entirely.)
130	CD1/1l Page 584	Policy HG1 HG1-131 Pollard Lane	Amend capacity from 179 to 120 Amend not started from 59 to 0	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 80. Factual correction
131	CD1/1l Page 585	Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11. 6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-155 Elder Road/Swinnow Road, capacity 25	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, the owner has confirmed that the site is no longer available for residential use.
132	CD1/11 Page 585	Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-157 Elder Road, capacity 22	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, the owner has confirmed that the site is no longer available for residential use.
133	CD1/11 Page 586	Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.6	Amend Policy HG1 to delete site HG1-163 Vernon Place, capacity 8	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 18). As detailed in Update of EX2C, permission implemented for non residential use.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
134	CD1/11 Page 594	Section 3: Outer West HG2-54 Upper Carr Lane (land off), Calverley	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-54 Upper Carr Lane (land off), Calverley	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
135	CD1/11 Page 595 and 596	Section 3: Outer West HG2-55 Calverley Lane, Calverley	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-55 Calverley Lane, Calverley	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
136	CD1/11 Page 597 and 598	Section 3: Outer West HG2-56 Rodley Lane, Calverley Lane, Calverley	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-56 Rodley Lane (land at), Calverley Lane, Calverley	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
137	CD1/11 Page 601 and 602	Section 3: Outer West HG2-59 Land at Rodley Lane	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-59 Land at Rodley Lane	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
138	CD1/11 Page 621	HG2-72 Land off Tyersal Court, Tyersal	Amend capacity from 40 to 46	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 th July, question 26.) Error in calculation of capacity of site in making allowance for area for school provision.
139	CD1/11 Page 629 and 630	Section 3: Outer West HG2-76 Hough Side Road, Pudsey	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-76 Hough Side Road, Pudsey	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
140	CD1/11 Page 633 and 634	Section 3: Outer West HG2-80 Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey	Delete the following site schedule, plan and site requirements: HG2-80 Acres Hall Avenue, Pudsey	To plan positively and for effectiveness taking the Inspector's Post Hearing Procedural Note into account and to avoid unnecessary release of Green Belt land.
141	CDR1/1 I, Page 644	HG2-204 Wood Nook, Pudsey	Amend the Highways Access to Site site requirement relating to the existing footpath network link at Site HG2-204 as detailed: Highways Access to Site: The site would need to be linked to the existing footpath network to the northern boundary of the site from New Pudsey Station to the Owlcotes Shopping Centre and to the north-west corner of the site in order to reach local facilities and public transport.	New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 July, question 24). To provide clarity as to the footpath network improvements required.
142	CD1/11 Page 646	HG2-205 Stonebridge Mills, Farnley	Amend 'Highway Access to Site' site requirement to state: 'Public transport improvements on Stonebridge Lane. Significant alteration to Ring Road roundabout to provide vehicular access to the site unless suitable alternative access to	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 82.

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason																
			<p>Stonebridge Lane can be gained.'</p> <p>Insert Flood Risk site requirement:</p> <p>'A small part of the site is affected by flood risk. A sequential approach should be taken to the layout of the site so that no housing or other more vulnerable development is located in the zone 3 high flood risk part of the site'.</p>	<p>Update following representation received. (As also detailed in M7/ik Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 83. Factual correction, to be consistent with approach to flood risk across the Plan.</p>																
143	CD1/11, Page 648	HG2-206 Heights Lane, Armley	<p>Amend the Highways Access to Site site requirement on Site HG2-206 as detailed:</p> <p>Highways Access to Site:</p> <p>Nearside footway required — will affect trees. Review of TRO's and Traffic Management measures. A footway should be provided along the Heights Lane site frontage. The existing traffic calming measure may need alteration to accommodate the site access.</p>	<p>New modification in response to Inspectors Actions EX52 (week commencing 16 July, question 24).</p> <p>To provide clarity as to the footpath network improvements required.</p>																
144	CD1/11 Page 653	Section 3: Outer West ¶ 3.11.10	<p>Amend ¶3.11.10, table within Policy HG3 as follows:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="544 1203 1599 1410"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="544 1203 696 1273">Plan Ref</th> <th data-bbox="696 1203 1263 1273">Address</th> <th data-bbox="1263 1203 1413 1273">Area Ha</th> <th data-bbox="1413 1203 1599 1273">Capacity</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="544 1273 696 1310">HG3-14</td> <td data-bbox="696 1273 1263 1310">Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13</td> <td data-bbox="1263 1273 1413 1310">1.6</td> <td data-bbox="1413 1273 1599 1310">50</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="544 1310 696 1380">HG3-15</td> <td data-bbox="696 1310 1263 1380">Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley</td> <td data-bbox="1263 1310 1413 1380">17.8</td> <td data-bbox="1413 1310 1599 1380">415</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="544 1380 696 1410">HG3-16</td> <td data-bbox="696 1380 1263 1410">Land off Gamble Lane</td> <td data-bbox="1263 1380 1413 1410">4.5</td> <td data-bbox="1413 1380 1599 1410">120</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Plan Ref	Address	Area Ha	Capacity	HG3-14	Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13	1.6	50	HG3-15	Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley	17.8	415	HG3-16	Land off Gamble Lane	4.5	120	<p>Deletion of HG3-17 is new modification to update the Plan to delete HG3 safeguarded sites and insert them into Policy HG1, as these sites have</p>
Plan Ref	Address	Area Ha	Capacity																	
HG3-14	Rodley (land at), Leeds LS13	1.6	50																	
HG3-15	Kirklees Knowl (land at), Bagley Lane, Bagley	17.8	415																	
HG3-16	Land off Gamble Lane	4.5	120																	

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason												
			<table border="1"> <tr> <td>HG3-17</td> <td>Low Moor Side, New Famley</td> <td>5.7</td> <td>130</td> </tr> <tr> <td>HG3-29</td> <td>Land off Gamble Lane</td> <td>7.6</td> <td>200</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Safeguarded Land total:</td> <td></td> <td>915 465</td> </tr> </table>	HG3-17	Low Moor Side, New Famley	5.7	130	HG3-29	Land off Gamble Lane	7.6	200		Safeguarded Land total:		915 465	subsequently received planning permission at appeal, as detailed in EX8a (Appendix 3)
HG3-17	Low Moor Side, New Famley	5.7	130													
HG3-29	Land off Gamble Lane	7.6	200													
	Safeguarded Land total:		915 465													
145	CD1/11 Page 659	HG7-2 – Land on the Corner of Tong Road and Lakeside Road, Wortley	Delete 'Highways' site requirement	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 84. Site requirement not required or justified following further feasibility work. (As detailed in M8/1)												
146	CD1/11 Page 669	Section 3 ¶3.11.21	<p>Add new ¶3.11.21 as follows:</p> <p>“The Habitat’s Regulations Assessment has concluded that measures will be required regarding the provision and enhancement of green spaces within the HMCA so as to help avoid visitor pressure on the South Pennine Moors SPA/SAC. The Council will monitor these through monitoring indicator 24 of the Council’s Monitoring Framework which supports preparation of the Authority Monitoring Report. This will quantify the delivery of green space and green infrastructure delivered in the area along with the amount of commuted sums collected and spent on space projects. Moreover, for the purposes of monitoring this measure the AMR will also report on specific improvements to green spaces in this HMCA, which arise as a result of the North West Leeds Green Gateways and Country Park project.”</p>	To reflect conclusions within the Habitats Regulations Assessment.												

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
147	CD1/11 Page 670	HMCA plan for Outer West Green space site G1430 Chaucer Avenue (rear of)	Delete site G1430 Chaucer Avenue (rear of) from Outer West site allocations plan.	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 85. The northern part of the site has planning permission for stables and is not in a green space use. The remaining southern part is under the 0.2ha threshold for designation.
148	CD1/11 Page 676	Appendix 1 Schedule of the UDP Saved Policies	Appendix revised and updated. To be submitted separately.	Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 86. Appendix 1 updated to make explicit where saved UDP policies contain site requirements and whether any of these have been superseded as detailed in EX24 Further Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 Hearings, 9 February

Draft Mod. No.	CD ref and Page No.	Site Ref. / Reference in Plan	Proposed modification	Reason
				2018 Hearing Day 4 27 Oct Q3.
149	CD1/11 Page 688	New Appendix 2 to the Plan	Add the Infrastructure Delivery Plan as Appendix 2 to the Plan.	<p>Modification previously detailed in EX9b as modification 87.</p> <p>As detailed in EX21 Response by the Council to Actions from Stage 1 hearings, 14th December 2017, Hearing Day 2 25 Oct 2017, question 1</p>

Appendix 1 – Consequential changes to tables as a result of Main Modifications in the schedule above

Modification No. 5

Table 1: Housing Distribution by Housing Market Characteristic Area (HMCA)

Housing Market Characteristic Area	Core Strategy Housing target up to 2028	Core Strategy Housing target up to 2023	Percentage (Core Strategy SP7)	Delivery up to 2028					Delivery up to 2023				Residual Delivery 2023 to 2028		
				Existing supply ('Identified sites')	Non Green Belt Allocations	Green Belt Allocations	Total	Performance up to 2028	Non Green Belt delivery to 2023	Green Belt delivery up to 2023	Delivery up to 2023	Performance up to 2023	Non Green Belt delivery 2023 to 2028	Green Belt delivery 2023 to 2028	Delivery 2023 to 2028
Aireborough	2,300	1,444	3	965	77	475	1,517	-783	1,042	425	1,467	23	0	50	50
City Centre	10,200	6,781	15.5	5,259	6,379	0	11,638	1,438	8,086	0	8,086	1,305	3,553	0	3,553
East Leeds	11,400	7,489	17	6,133	3,311	248	9,706	-1,694	7,590	248	7,838	349	1,869	0	1,869
Inner Area	10,000	6,569	15	8,961	3,951	0	12,912	2,912	6,875	0	6,875	306	6,037	0	6,037
North Leeds	6,000	3,941	9	4,095	484	575	5,154	-846	3,577	548	4,125	184	1,002	27	1,029
Outer North East	5,000	3,500	8	1,711	1,544	892	4,147	-853	3,255	0	3,255	-245	0	0	0
Outer North West	2,000	1,314	3	1,146	474	87	1,707	-293	1,288	87	1,375	61	332	0	332
Outer South	2,600	1,750	4	612	134	735	1,481	-1,119	746	599	1,345	-405	0	136	136
Outer South East	4,600	3,063	7	1,500	431	1,173	3,104	-1,496	1,931	83	2,014	-1,049	0	0	0
Outer South West	7,200	4,813	11	2,882	1,883	1,137	5,902	-1,298	3,846	1,104	4,950	138	919	33	952
Outer West	4,700	3,087	7	2,686	1,016	630	4,332	-368	2,647	584	3,231	144	1,055	46	1,101
Total	66,000	43,750	100	35,950	19,684	5,952	61,600	-4,400	40,883	3,678	44,561	811	14,766	292	15,058

Modification No. 9

Table 2: Comparison of Housing Allocations against Core Strategy Policy SP7

Level	Type	No. of sites	Capacity	Core Strategy Target	+/- target	% difference
City Centre	Infill	449 116	42,214 11,940	10,200	+2,044 +1,740	20 17
Main Urban Area	Infill	388 378	31,173 30,932	30,000	+1,173 +932	4 3
Main Urban Area	Extension	36 30	4,383 3,228	3,300	+1,083 -72	33 2
Major Settlement	Infill	87 -85	4,023 3,952	4,000	23 -48	4 - 1
Major Settlement	Extension	23 17	7,254 4,950	10,300	-3,046 -5,350	-30 - 52
Smaller Settlement	Infill	73 - 72	2,506 2,524	2,300	+206 +224	9 10
Smaller Settlement	Extension	32 18	3,429 2,204	5,200	-1,771 -2,996	-34 - 58
Other Rural	Infill	48 - 17	422 382	100	+322 +282	322 - 282
Other Rural	Extension	5 6	195 325	600	-405 -46	-68 - 46
Other	Other	5	2,224 1,163	0	-2,224 +1,163	-0

Modification No. 11

Table 3: Greenfield / Brownfield split across HMCA

HMCA	Greenfield capacity	Brownfield capacity	% greenfield	% brownfield
Aireborough	1,148 651	866	57 43	43 57
City Centre	195	11,714 11,443	2	98
East Leeds	8,006 8,006	1,680	83	17
Inner Area	1,451 1,366	11,591 11,546	11	89
North Leeds	2,193 1,362	3,765 3,775	37 27	63 73
Outer North East	4,524 3,691	476 456	90 89	10 11
Outer North West	1,266 1,226	489 481	72	28
Outer South	2,046 1,183	388 321	84 79	16 21
Outer South East	3,476 2,003	902 1,101	79 65	21 35
Outer South West	4,918 3,980	2,051 1,939	71 67	29 33
Outer West	2,054 1,822	2,618 2,504	44 42	56 58
Total	31,277 25,488	36,540 36,112	46 41	54 59

Modification No. 17

Table 4: The distribution of safeguarded land designations across Leeds

HMCA	Total capacity of Safeguarded Land sites	% of HMCA target as Safeguarded Land	% of 6,600 total Safeguarded Land target
Aireborough	360 0	16	5
City Centre	0	0	0
East Leeds	0	0	0
Inner Area	0	0	0
North Leeds	0	0	0
Outer North East	4,350 1,156	27	21
Outer North West	540 260	27	8
Outer South	220 115	8	3
Outer South East	1,616 1,450	35	24
Outer South West	1,753 1,220	24	27
Outer West	945 465	19	14
Total	6,763 4,666	-	-