

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

Area	School	What was proposed?	Comments / responses from consultation (including #s)	Local Authority catchment area recommended in policy
<b>Ardsley Tingley</b>	Thorpe Primary	The proposed change would move the boundary in the east and reduce the number of children who would have this school as a priority compared to the nearest shape. This would be more aligned with the school's Published Admission Number (PAN).	14 responses - 13 opposing and 1 neither support nor oppose.  All respondents who are opposed to the proposal live on the Winthorpe Estate and feel this should remain part of the Thorpe catchment due to difficulties getting to other schools.	Amend from proposal.  The proposal was to balance the number of priority children with the number of places available, however historically children have received either a preference offer or a placement offer within a reasonable distance. The objections and comments from respondents with local knowledge have resulted in the amendment to include the Winthorpe Estate in the catchment priority area for the school.
<b>Armley / Wortley / Farnley</b>	Lower Wortley Primary  Cobden Primary	The proposals reduce the size of the nearest area for Lower Wortley by moving the boundary between Cobden and Lower Wortley. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for Lower Wortley with the number of places available.	4 comments received all opposing the changes. Comments received suggested that this proposal would negatively impact on families living on the Dunlop's/Kirkdale estate.	Same as consulted on.
<b>Bramley</b>	Hollybush Primary	Extend boundary to the east to meet the railway line. Reduce boundary to the	1 comment received from a Ward member strongly supports the changes	Same as consulted on.

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

		north to end at the A65. Slightly increases number of children that have this school as a priority.		
	Raynville Primary	Extend boundary to the east to meet the railway line. Slightly increases number of houses that have this school as a priority.	No comments received	
<b>Cookridge / Adel</b>	Adel Primary	The proposed change would move the boundary to the east of Church Lane and reduce the number of children who would have this school as a priority compared to the nearest polygon. This would be more aligned with the school's PAN.	4 comments received, 3 opposing and 1 supporting the proposal. Comments received suggested that this proposal would negatively impact on families within Adel and changes in demographics could result in more children being placed at schools outside of Adel.	Same as consulted on.
<b>Burmantofts</b>	Shakespeare Primary	Extend boundary on all sides to reflect new location and increase of school's PAN to 90.	1 comment received expressing strong support whilst also requesting the following changes:  North end - extend catchment area along Bayswater Road to include "The Edware's"  South end - stop the catchment area at A64	Amended as per consultation response to extend catchment along Bayswater Road to the North and end the catchment area at the A64 in the South.

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

<b>Harehills</b>	Bankside Primary	Reduce boundary to north to align with Harehills Avenue and extend to the south.	No comments received.	Same as consulted on for all schools except Bankside Primary where changes to the Shakespeare catchment area have a small impact.
	Hovingham Primary	The proposed change would move the boundary in on the NW to align with Roundhay Road and reduce the number of children who would have this school as a priority compared to the nearest shape. This would be more aligned with the school's PAN.		
	Bracken Edge	Extend boundary to include some houses that previously had Hovingham and Bankside as their priority school. Aligning with Harehills Avenue and Roundhay Road as above.		
	Broadgate Primary	The proposal is to adjust the boundary on the South to align with Broadway.	24 comments were received in relation to the Horsforth area and schools within. 17 opposed the proposal, 6 supporting and 1 neither supported nor opposed it. 7 comments were specifically about Newlaithes (1 support / 6 oppose), 2 about West End (both oppose).	Four schools remain as consulted, change to Newlaithes proposal. The proposed boundary near to the Riverside development to be adjusted so that all of the new housing is included in the priority area, by amending the boundary to
	Newlaithes Primary	The proposal would increase the size of the catchment area for the school by including the area around Low Hall Road (Riverside developments). This is to give a more meaningful		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

<b>Horsforth</b>		priority for the residents of the Riverside developments.	The remaining were general comments about the LS18 area with a common theme suggesting that if you have an LS18 postcode you should have priority for a Horsforth school.	follow the river on the West side.
	West End Primary	The proposals remove an area around Low Hall Road from the catchment for West End. This is to reduce the number of children with priority for West End to better align with the number of places available and to give a more meaningful priority for the residents of the Riverside developments		
	Featherbank Primary	The proposal reduces the size of the nearest area for Featherbank by moving the boundary between the Featherbank and St Margaret's to follow Broadway. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for Featherbank with the number of places available		
	St Margaret's C of E Primary	The proposals increase the size of the catchment for this school by extending the southern boundary to Broadway. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for both Featherbank/st Margarets		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

		with the number of places available.		
<b>Headingley</b>	Weetwood Primary	The proposed change would reduce the area in size and therefore the number of children within the catchment to be more aligned with the school's PAN. The boundary of the current nearest area which is to the West of St Chads C of E Primary School would be moved by approx. a third of a mile to the West of St Chads C of E.	There were 4 comments that mentioned Weetwood, 2 supporting, 1 opposing and 1 neither supporting nor opposing.	Same as consulted on.
	Spring Bank Primary	Area increased to include southern end of Becketts Park area and south of St Anne's Road. This is to increase the number of children who would have a priority for this school which is currently much lower than the PAN of the school.	There were 3 comments that mentioned Spring Bank and Shire Oak all opposing the proposal.  Two objections were received from parents who would now have a nearest priority for Spring Bank Primary, rather than Shire Oak, stating they felt this wasn't a safe walking route.	Same as consulted on.
	Shire Oak C of E Primary	The changes proposed to Spring Bank reduces the proposed catchment area of Shire Oak C of E to create a balance of children who would have a priority of this school and make numbers of children in these two areas more balanced.		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

<p><b>Kirkstall / Burley / Hawksworth</b></p>	<p>Kirkstall Valley Primary Beecroft Primary Burley St Matthias C of E Primary Hawksworth Wood Primary</p>	<p>Slight changes to boundaries around these schools to balance number of children in each proposed catchment area and to better align with geographical areas.</p>	<p>3 comments received. One mentioned Kirkstall Valley and was neither supporting nor opposing the proposal. One mentioned Hawksworth Wood and was opposing the proposal. One comment was generally about the Burley area and was in support.</p>	<p>Same as consulted on</p>
<p><b>Meanwood / Chapel Allerton</b></p>	<p>Chapel Allerton Primary</p>	<p>Slight extension to boundary to align with Gledhow Road</p>	<p>5 comments received, with 3 opposing and 2 in support.</p>	<p>Same as consulted on for all schools except Chapel Allerton Primary and Carr Manor Primary where changes to the Moor Allerton Hall and Moortown Primary catchment areas have a small impact.</p>
	<p>Millfield Primary</p>	<p>As part of changes to Shire Oak C of E Primary in Headingley, Millfield Primary School's catchment increases to the East and aligns around the roads but would also increase the number of children with a nearest priority for this school.</p>	<p>The 3 opposing comment are objecting to changes due to not being a safe walking route and would now be within Millfield catchment.</p>	
	<p>Carr Manor Primary Carr Manor Community</p>	<p>Slight expansion to North West of nearest area to align boundary around housing estate.</p>		
<p><b>Morley</b></p>	<p>Victoria Primary</p>	<p>The proposed changes mean that Victoria would lose a small area to the north which would increase the nearest priority area for Asquith and at the same time, Fountain Primary School would lose an area to the east which would then become part of Victoria'</p>	<p>19 comments received. 14 supporting, 4 opposing and 1 neither supporting nor opposing the proposals.</p> <p>Of those supporting; 9 commented that the proposal allows for a distribution of children in</p>	<p>Same as consulted on.</p>
	<p>Asquith Primary</p>			
	<p>Fountain Primary</p>			

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

		catchment. The rationale was to ensure a demographic balance of children nearest to each of these schools and align better with PAN's	each catchment that is aligned with each schools PAN.  Objections raised were that the changes would increase car journey for some. Also that the nearest school by distance, would not necessarily be their catchment school.	
<b>Otley</b>	Ashfield Primary	Boundary extended over border as there was some Otley housing that fell on N. Yorks side, and to take account of the river whilst re-balancing numbers. Followed the river (slightly wide of) in the inner Otley area so that those who reside near the bridge have priority for Ashfield.	6 comments received, 4 opposing, 1 supporting and 1 neither supporting nor opposing the proposal. Some comments mention that the river should be the natural boundary for north/south split.	Same as consulted on with regard to the North/South divide. If we do not make these changes we risk leaving too many children in Westgate's catchment and those living to the West may be placed an unreasonable distance.  Amend from proposal for East/West boundary between All Saints and Westgate which will now follow Bridge Street/Kirkgate/Station Road making the boundary more clearly defined.
	Otley All Saints	Boundary extended up to river and in line with the bridge as a natural boundary, then continuing South.		
	Otley The Whartons	Extended boundary into N. Yorks to include the school and housing that sits over the border, adjusted boundary to the South in line with the river to the bridge,		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

		following Billams Hill and Newall Carr Road.		
	Westgate Primary	Adjusted boundary to follow the river until it reaches Westgate to the NE of the school. The Guycroft area and streets near to St Joseph's to be included in Ashfield's catchment.		
<b>Rothwell / Robin Hood / Woodlesford</b>	Rothwell Haigh Road Rothwell Victoria Junior	Extend boundary to West to meet M1	2 comments received both opposing the proposal. However, both seem to have misunderstood as commenting on schools where we have not made changes (St Mary's and Woodlesford).	Same as consulted on.
	Carlton Primary	Extend boundary to North West to meet M1		
	Robin Hood Primary	Extend boundary to North West to meet M1. Reduced boundary to North East to align number of priority children with schools PAN.		
<b>Alwoodley</b>	Allerton C of E Primary Alwoodley Primary	The proposals reduce the size of the nearest area for Alwoodley by moving the boundary between the Alwoodley and Allerton C of E to the north of the polygons. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for Alwoodley with the number of places available.	8 comments were received, 7 opposed the proposed change, 1 was in support. Comments mainly related to the desire to remain in the Alwoodley catchment due to outstanding Ofsted and the negative impact the changes could have on house prices. There was also some concerns that their only available choice would be a faith school.	Same as consulted on.



**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

<p><b>Roundhay / Wigton / Moortown</b></p>	<p>Gledhow Primary</p>	<p>Boundary moved to the East to align with Gledhow Road which would move some houses into the Chapel Allerton catchment. Boundary extended to the north to include some houses that would previously have had MAH or Talbot as their nearest school.</p>	<p>105 responses were received for these areas. 5 neither supported nor opposed, 68 opposed the proposed changes and 32 were in support.</p> <p>There were comments relating to the proposed changes to each of the schools in this area, both in support and opposing these proposals. There were also a number of suggestions made for how we could modify some of the boundary changes.</p>	<p>Amend from proposal.</p> <p>Although there were 105 responses within this area, there are over 2000 under 5's in this area.</p> <p>Many of the responses were based on individual circumstances and in setting a catchments proposal city-wide we are seeking to balance numbers to give a more meaningful priority for places to more families.</p> <p>Modelling suggests that the catchments as proposed in this area will not have a detrimental impact, and would provide a better balance between the number of available places at each school versus the numbers of children with a priority for that school in each catchment area.</p> <p>We acknowledge that due to the numbers of siblings in the Talbot area who would continue to have a priority for places initially, that the</p>
	<p>Moor Allerton Hall Primary</p>	<p>Boundary change to the south to align with Gledhow. Boundary extended to the north to take in some areas that were previously 'nearest' to Moortown, Highfield and Talbot. This is to increase the number of children who have priority for the school to align with new PAN of 90.</p>		
	<p>Moortown Primary</p>	<p>Boundary moved on NW to align with Scott Hall road. Boundary to north moved to align with ring road. Boundary to east reduced which will place some houses into MAH catchment. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for Moortown with the number of places available.</p>		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

	<p>Highfield Primary</p>	<p>Boundary reduced to NW to align with Harrogate road then follows Harrogate Road down to the Ring Road which will extend the SW area of the catchment taking in some houses that were previously 'nearest' to Moortown. To the south boundary reduced to align with Ring Road which will mean some houses will become part of the MAH catchment. Boundary to East extended along Shadwell Lane to take in an area that previously had priority for Wigton Moor.</p>		<p>impact of the proposed changes would take effect over a few years. However parents will be advised of their 5 nearest schools and for families living nearest to Talbot this would include Moor Allerton Hall Primary which has recently increased places from 60 to 90.</p> <p>Amend from proposal -the boundary between the Moortown and Moor Allerton Hall catchments to be adjusted following High Moor Crescent/Avenue to Street Lane, continuing to follow Street Lane to the West to Harrogate Road, continuing on Harrogate Road to Gledhow Valley Rd, joining back to Allerton Grange Way. This would include houses on Allerton Grange Close, Allerton Grange Rise, Allerton Grange Vale.</p> <p>This would also result in a small change to the Gledhow Primary catchment boundary.</p>
	<p>Wigton Moor Primary</p>	<p>Boundary reduced to NW to align with Harrogate road. Boundary to south reduced to align with Shadwell Lane which now gives the houses in this area a Highfield catchment priority.</p>		
	<p>Talbot Primary</p>	<p>Boundary to north reduced in line with proposed changes to MAH. Boundary to south reduced in line with proposed changes to Gledhow. This is to better align the number of children who have priority for Talbot</p>		

**APPENDIX E – summary of consultation proposal rationale and recommended catchment in policy for 2020/21**

		with the number of places available.		
--	--	--------------------------------------	--	--

DRAFT