

# Equality, Diversity, Cohesion and Integration Screening

As a public authority we need to ensure that all our strategies, policies, service and functions, both current and proposed have given proper consideration to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

A **screening** process can help judge relevance and provides a record of both the **process** and **decision**. Screening should be a short, sharp exercise that determines relevance for all new and revised strategies, policies, services and functions. Completed at the earliest opportunity it will help to determine:

- the relevance of proposals and decisions to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.
- whether or not equality, diversity, cohesion and integration is being/has already been considered, and
- whether or not it is necessary to carry out an impact assessment.

<b>Directorate:</b> Citizens and Communities	Service area: Entertainment Licensing
Lead person: Susan Duckworth	Contact number: 0113 395 1863

1. Title:		
Is this a:		
X Strategy / Policy	Service / Function	Other
If other, please specify		

### 2. Please provide a brief description of what you are screening

Under Section 349 of the Gambling Act 2005 the Licensing Authority is required to prepare a statement of principles that they propose to apply in exercising their functions under this Act. This process is to be repeated every three years from 31<sup>st</sup> January 2007.

The consultation process is laid out clearly in the Gambling Act 2005, the Gambling Act 2005 (Licensing Authority Policy Statement)(England and Wales) Regulations 2006 and the Guidance to Licensing Authorities issued by the Gambling Commission (www.gamblingcommission.gov.uk).

## 3. Relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

All the council's strategies/policies, services/functions affect service users, employees or the wider community – city wide or more local. These will also have a greater/lesser relevance to equality, diversity, cohesion and integration.

The following questions will help you to identify how relevant your proposals are.

When considering these questions think about age, carers, disability, gender reassignment, race, religion or belief, sex, sexual orientation. Also those areas that impact on or relate to equality: tackling poverty and improving health and well-being.

Questions	Yes	No
Is there an existing or likely differential impact for the different		Х
equality characteristics?		
Have there been or likely to be any public concerns about the policy or proposal?	Х	
Could the proposal affect how our services, commissioning or procurement activities are organised, provided, located and by whom?		Х
Could the proposal affect our workforce or employment practices?		Х
Does the proposal involve or will it have an impact on		Х
<ul> <li>Eliminating unlawful discrimination, victimisation and harassment</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Advancing equality of opportunity</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>Fostering good relations</li> </ul>		

If you have answered no to the questions above please complete sections 6 and 7

If you have answered **yes** to any of the above and;

- Believe you have already considered the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 4.**
- Are not already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration within your proposal please go to **section 5.**

### 4. Considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration

If you can demonstrate you have considered how your proposals impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you have carried out an impact assessment.

Please provide specific details for all three areas below (use the prompts for guidance).

• How have you considered equality, diversity, cohesion and integration? (think about the scope of the proposal, who is likely to be affected, equality related information, gaps in information and plans to address, consultation and engagement activities (taken place or planned) with those likely to be affected)

The concerns relate to gambling and vulnerable people. Vulnerable is defined in the policy as:

The Gambling Commission, in its Guidance to Local Authorities, does not seek to offer a definition for the term "vulnerable people" but will, for regulatory purposes assume that this group includes people:

*"who gamble more than they want to, people who gamble beyond their means, elderly persons, and people who may not be able to make informed or balanced decisions about gambling due to a mental impairment, or because of the influence of alcohol or drugs."* 

The Department of Health document "No Secrets" offers a definition of a vulnerable adult as a person:

"who is or may be in need of community care services by reason of mental or other disability, age or illness; and who is or may be unable to take care of him or herself, or unable to protect him or herself against significant harm or exploitation."

Vulnerable people, especially the elderly, people with disabilities, people who are ill can be considered as having protected characteristics and have been forefront when reviewing the policy.

#### Key findings

(think about any potential positive and negative impact on different equality characteristics, potential to promote strong and positive relationships between groups, potential to bring groups/communities into increased contact with each other, perception that the proposal could benefit one group at the expense of another)

The impact on vulnerable people specifically relates to gambling premises which are embedded within the community such as betting shops and adult gaming centres. Betting shops in particular are plentiful and on every high street. They also have fixed odds betting terminals which are considered to be highly addictive gaming machines, offering casino style games. The increase in the availability of these machines is of concern nationally. Additional requirements under the Gambling Commission's Licence Conditions and Codes of Practice (LCCP) seek to address risks to vulnerable people.

#### • Actions

(think about how you will promote positive impact and remove/ reduce negative impact)

This is the fifth review of the Gambling Act 2005 Statement of Licensing Policy. At this review the council does not seek to remove any of the protections included in other versions of the policy. It does seek to include additional requirements and suggested measures for licence applicants to consider when completing the local area risk assessment and making their application. These measures specifically address concerns for vulnerable people.

**5.** If you are **not** already considering the impact on equality, diversity, cohesion and integration you **will need to carry out an impact assessment**.

Date to scope and plan your impact assessment:	
Date to complete your impact assessment	
Lead person for your impact assessment (Include name and job title)	

<b>6. Governance, ownership and approval</b> Please state here who has approved the actions and outcomes of the screening			
Name	Job title	Date	
Nicola Raper	Section Head	9 <sup>th</sup> June 2021	
	Entertainment Licensing		
Date screening completed		9 <sup>th</sup> June 2021	

#### 7. Publishing

Though **all** key decisions are required to give due regard to equality the council **only** publishes those related to **Executive Board**, **Full Council, Key Delegated Decisions** or a **Significant Operational Decision**.

A copy of this equality screening should be attached as an appendix to the decision making report:

- Governance Services will publish those relating to Executive Board and Full Council.
- The appropriate directorate will publish those relating to Delegated Decisions and Significant Operational Decisions.
- A copy of all other equality screenings that are not to be published should be sent to <u>equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk</u> for record.

Complete the appropriate section below with the date the report and attached screening was sent:

For Executive Board or Full Council – sent to <b>Governance Services</b>	Date sent: 9 <sup>th</sup> June 2021
For Delegated Decisions or Significant Operational Decisions – sent to appropriate <b>Directorate</b>	Date sent:
All other decisions – sent to <u>equalityteam@leeds.gov.uk</u>	Date sent: