

Appendix 1: Table of Submission Housing Allocations on UDP Green Belt and their proposed change

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Aireborough	HG2-01	New Birks Farm, Ings Lane, Guiseley	160	2	99%	Green Belt site. Site is well contained. Development would round off the settlement.	Green Belt site. The site relates well to the urban area and is contained by adjacent development, including the school to the north west and existing features. Residential development would round off the settlement. Built development to the west of the site constrained by flood risk. Highways mitigation measures would be required.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives, however a number of negative or significant negative scores including ecology, accessibility and flood risk. Can be mitigated by site requirements e.g. flood risk (identifying developable area). Positive effects on greenspace accessibility.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-1	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base and comparison with other sites determines that site is sequentially preferable to other Phase 2 sites.
Aireborough	HG2-02	Wills Gill, Guiseley	133	2	100%	Green Belt site. The site is well connected to the urban area. The site is located within the Conservation Area but it is considered that mitigation measures can be put in place to protect the setting and character of the Conservation Area.	Green Belt site. The site is well connected to the urban area and is contained by properties to the north.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives, however a number of negative or significant negative scores including built heritage (SA21). Can be mitigated by site requirement. Positive effect on flood risk.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-2	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base and comparison with other sites determines that site is sequentially preferable to other Phase 2 sites.
Aireborough	HG2-03	Shaw Lane (land at), Guiseley and Banksfield Mount, Yeadon	234	2	98%	Green Belt site which after splitting, site B relates well to the existing settlement.	Green Belt site. The site relates well to the settlement.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Positive or significant positive scores include accessibility to primary health and greenspace; and flood risk. Negative scores include ecology which can be mitigated by site requirement.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-7	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base shows that site has greater impact in Green Belt terms compared to other sites because whilst it relates well to the settlement there is no defensible boundary in Green Belt terms; thus creating a greater protrusion into land to the north of the settlement than alternative sites in the HMCA. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Aireborough	HG2-04	Hollins Hill and Hawkstone Avenue, Guiseley	80	2	100%	Green Belt site. Development would form an extension to the existing residential area on the eastern boundary. Proximity of Listed Building and its setting would prevent unrestricted urban sprawl.	Green Belt site. Development would form an extension to the existing residential area. The character and setting of the Listed farm building acts as a strong boundary to prevent urban sprawl.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include landscape and built heritage, which could be mitigated by site requirement or consideration at planning application stage. Positive scores include flood risk.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-4	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base and comparison with other sites determines that site is sequentially preferable to other Phase 2 sites.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Aireborough	HG2-05	Land at Coach Road, Guiseley	83	2	98%	Green Belt site. Relates well to urban area. Site boundaries follow existing tree lined field boundaries which currently provide a partial boundary that will help contain development and limit the potential that it might otherwise have had to lead to sprawl.	Green Belt site. The site relates well to the urban area. Traffic calming in Silverdale Estate would be required. Part of the site should be retained for the provision of a school.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Negative or significant negative scores, include accessibility, landscape and heritage. Positive and significant positive scores include access to education, primary health facilities and greenspace; and flood risk.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-8	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base shows that site has greater impact in Green Belt terms compared to other sites lying to the south of the strong Green Belt boundary of Coach Road. Site is therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Aireborough	HG2-09	Land at Victoria Avenue, Leeds	102	2	100%	Green Belt site. Self-contained between existing housing and airport runway. Development would constitute rounding off of settlement.	Green Belt site. Self-contained between existing housing and airport runway. Development would constitute rounding off of settlement. A suitable access solution onto the A658 will be required to take account of the proximity of the airport runway tunnel structure, the safety of vehicles emerging from the tunnel and vehicle speed on the main road. The access solution may require land outside the site boundary.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Small number of negative or significant negative scores include ecology and built heritage, which could be mitigated by site requirements. Positive scores include access to public health facilities and greenspace; and flood risk.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-9	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base and comparison with other sites determines that site is sequentially preferable to other Phase 2 sites.
Aireborough	HG2-10	Gill Lane, Yeadon	155	2	100%	Green Belt site. Well contained site with strong connections to the urban area. Site performs well against the purposes of Green Belt.	Green Belt site. This site is well contained and relates well to the urban area, with no highways objections raised.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Negative or significant negative scores, include landscape and built heritage which could be mitigated by site requirements. Positive and significant positive scores include access to education, primary health facilities and greenspace; transport network and flood risk	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-9	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Whilst the site has less impact on Green Belt purposes compared to other sites the site has outstanding issues with built heritage (Historic England want reduction in the site area and capacity) and is therefore sequentially less preferable. Site is therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Aireborough	HG2-12	Woodlands Drive, Rawdon	25	2	100%	Green Belt site. Adjacent to residential development and industry to the north. Surrounded on two sides by development. Adjacent to conservation area. Within special landscape area but site is relatively limited and set against backdrop of existing development.	Green Belt site adjacent to residential development to the east and industry to the north despite being set away from the main urban area.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include access to health facilities, ecology, land stability, landscape and built heritage. Limited number of positive scores for flood risk and mineral resources.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-12	All sites in HMCA are phase 2, so there are no alternatives in sequentially preferable phases. Evidence base shows that site has less impact on Green Belt purposes compared to other sites because it is not as well related to the existing settlement as other sites and therefore is sequentially less preferable in terms of the sustainability appraisal. It also with a special landscape area. Site is therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
East	HG2-119	Red Hall Playing Offices & Playing Field	50	1	14%	Only a small part of the site is Green Belt, currently in use in relation to the nursery. Assessed on its own, its development would not be connected to the settlement, but it would only come forwards alongside the rest of the site and the ELOR as part of the East Leeds Extension, at which time it would not have a great impact against the purposes and characteristics of the Green Belt.	Majority of site is a UDP employment allocation (key business park) with a small part along the northern boundary being Green Belt. It is now contrary to national and local policy to locate offices away from city and town centres and so it is more appropriate to identify Red Hall as suitable for housing (incorporating on site greenspace provision) than for any other use. The identification of the site as suitable for an allocation is consistent with the long-standing planning principle for its development stretching back over many years. A development brief for the wider site (including HG1-284) is in the latter stages of production, which establishes key development principles.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Significant negative scoring for greenspace, however this can be mitigated through site requirements. Positive scores for flood risk.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-119	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable phases. Development of site can be accommodated without incursion into the small percentage of the site that is UDP Green Belt.
East	HG2-123	Colton Road East	14	2	57%	The site has a road frontage and is well related to the existing settlement form with minimal potential for further urban sprawl and retention of openness across Temple Newsam.	Partial Green Belt site on the edge of the Main Urban Area. The site relates well to the settlement and benefits from a tree line providing a defensible boundary. Development would not set a precedent for sprawl.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. Significant negative scoring for landscape, however this can be mitigated through site requirements. Positive scores for education, health, greenspace, transport and flood risk.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-123	Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Site needed to maintain contribution of HMCA to overall target.
East	HG2-174	Wood Lane – Rothwell Garden Centre	31	2	100%	See Outer South for reasoning	See Outer South for reasoning	See Outer South for reasoning	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-174	Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Site needed to maintain contribution of HMCA to overall target.
East	MX2-38	Barrowby Lane, Manston	150	2	100%	Green Belt site. The site is contained by strong defensible boundaries to the north and south east (major roads) so release of the site would not set a precedent for further Green Belt sprawl.	Green Belt site. The site is contained by strong defensible boundaries to the north and south east (major roads and railway) so release of the site would not set a precedent for further Green Belt sprawl. Site allocated for a mix of housing and employment, to reflect the strategically advantageous location adjacent the M1 Motorway.	Mixed scoring across SA objectives with significant negative scoring for access which can be addressed through development and site requirements. Positive scores for flood risk, contaminated land and minerals safeguarding.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	MX2-38	Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Site needed to maintain contribution of HMCA to overall target. NB: Also contains 10 ha of employment land.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
North	HG2-36	Alwoodley Lane, Alwoodley,	285	2	100%	Relates well to urban area. Fairly well contained site reducing impact for further sprawl. Consider strengthening boundary to west of site.	Green Belt site. Development of the site would constitute 'rounding off' of the existing settlement and is well related to the existing urban area.	This site scores generally neutral or positive on most of the SA objectives. The site scores positively in relation to Transport Network and Flood Risk, however the site scores negatively in relation to Community Participation, Access to greenspace/existing greenspace and Ecology. It is noted that the site scores significantly negative in relation to Landscaping however it is considered that the negative scores can be overcome with mitigation.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-36	Phase 2 site which is considered to be sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites have been assessed.
North	HG2-38	Dunstarn Lane (land south), Adel	68	2	100%	Strong links to urban area and would partially round off settlement - negating the risk of further sprawl. Boundary treatments would need to be well thought out as the boundaries to the south and east are poorly defined.	Green Belt site. Development of the site could be considered to 'round off' the existing built up area. Access would need to be through adjacent site HG1-74 or property needs to be acquired. Suitable in principle for residential.	This site scores generally neutral or positive on most of the SA objectives. The site scores positively in relation to Access to Primary Health Facilities, Access to Greenspace/Existing Greenspace, Flood Risk. It is noted that the site scores significantly negatively in relation to Landscape.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-38	Phase 2 site which is considered to be sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites have been assessed.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
North	HG2-41	South of A65 from Horsforth and Rawdon RA to crematoria	777	1	96%	A large Green Belt site. However, the site is well contained by industrial units, the Clariant site, Ring Road, Rawdon Road and natural boundaries. The site includes Low Hall (group of listed buildings) and there are a number of residential properties peppered along Calverley Lane.	Green Belt site. Large site would result in significant expansion to the area. The site boundary has been amended to incorporate additional land to the east and south to provide additional flexibility which enables development to be in the least sensitive areas of the site. The site is well contained by roads, trees and other development reducing potential for further sprawl. Development will allow infrastructure improvements including highway improvements and the provision of a new school. A comprehensive development brief for the development of a new sustainable community, including consideration of the need to provide for retention of open land along the northern and eastern boundaries of the site providing for substantial landscape/planting should be developed.	This site scores generally neutral or positive on most of the SA objectives. The site scores positive in relation to Community Participation, Flood Risk and Transport Network. However the site scores significantly positively in relation to Access to Greenspace, Greenhouse Emissions and Local Needs. Nonetheless, it is acknowledged that the site scores significantly negatively in relation to Community Cohesion and Landscape which could be overcome by mitigation.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-14	Phase 1 sites are generally sequentially preferable for allocation. However, it is the capacity of this site rather than its specific site attributes which place it within Phase 1. Whilst site is well contained with clearly defined boundaries and well related to the main urban area, development would result in significant expansion to the area, and exceptional circumstances are required. It is not considered necessary nor appropriate to allocate a smaller parcel of land for development as this would not bring necessary mitigation and infrastructure identified in the sustainability appraisal. Considered that the site is only required post 2022/23 as there are alternative Phase 1 / sequentially preferable Green Belt allocations within this HMCA which will help to deliver housing numbers required to meet the SAP trajectory to 2022/23.
North	HG2-42	Broadway and Calverley Lane, Horsforth	18	2	100%	Green belt site with strong links to the urban area. Well contained site eliminating potential for further sprawl.	Green Belt site, adjoining the main urban area with strong links to Horsforth. A main road borders the site to the west, residential development to the east and the former college site/housing allocation HG2-43 to the south, so the site is well contained and development would not set a precedent for further sprawl.	This site scores generally neutral or positive in relation to most of the SA objectives; the site scores positive in relation to Access to Educational and Primary Health Facilities, Community Participation, Access to Greenspace/Existing Greenspace and Flood Risk. The site scores significantly positively in relation to Greenhouse Emissions, Transport Network and Local Needs.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-42	Phase 2 site which is considered to be sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites have been assessed.
North	HG2-43	Horsforth Campus	134	2	100%	Substantial part of the wider site is brownfield development which has recently obtained planning permission for residential use. The site (inc HG1 element) is well contained by Ring Road and natural boundaries. Consequently low potential to lead to unrestricted sprawl. Overall the site is not considered to	Green Belt site. The site forms part of the curtilage of Horsforth Campus, the brownfield element of the campus has planning permission. The site is well connected to the existing area with a wide range of local services and facilities. The site is well contained by the Ring Road, Swaine Wood and Horsforth Cemetery.	This site scores generally neutral or positive in relation to most of the SA objectives. The site scores positive in relation to Access to Greenspace/Existing Greenspace and Flood Risk. The site scores negatively in relation to Ecology and significantly negatively in relation to Land Stability and Landscape which can be overcome by mitigation.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-43	Phase 2 site which is considered to be sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites have been assessed.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
						have a significant impact on the openness and permanence of the green belt.					
North	HG2-46	Horsforth (former waste water treatment work)	53	1	100%	Contained site (Kirkstall Forge will sit to the east) reducing potential for further sprawl. Relates well to the urban area.	Green Belt site. The site is contained by a main road to the north, the river to the south, residential to the west and the Kirkstall Forge site to the east, so relates well to the urban area and development would be well contained and not set a precedent for further Green Belt sprawl	This site scores generally neutral or positive in relation to most of the SA objectives; the site scores positively in relation to Access to Educational and primary health facilities, Community Participation, Greenhouse Emissions, Local Needs and Air Quality. However, the site scores negatively in terms of Ecology and Heritage and significantly negatively in relation to Access to Greenspace, Flood Risk and Landscape however constraints can be overcome via mitigation.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-46	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation.
North	HG2-49	Land Off Weetwood Avenue, Headingley, Leeds	30	2	100%	Strong links to main urban area. Well contained site (tree lined boundaries and housing) reducing potential for further sprawl. Site performs important open space function.	Green Belt site. The site is well related to the urban area, bounded by residential development to the west and south and trees to the north and east. A large part of the site was designated as protected playing pitch (N6) on the UDP and is also in an urban green corridor and conservation area. The site is in private ownership and has not been in active playing field use for some years. Development of the site would have little or no impact on the purposes of Green Belt and it is separated from the major local area of green space, Meanwood Park, by a strong tree belt.	This site scores generally neutral or positive in relation to most of the SA objectives; the site scores significantly positive in relation to Access to greenspace/existing greenspace. However the site scores negatively in relation to Community Participation and Cohesion, Ecology, Greenhouse Emissions, Local Needs, Land Instability and Historic Environment. The site scores significantly negatively in relation to Culture, Leisure and Recreation, Flood Risk, Access to Greenspace, and Landscape.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-15	Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites have been assessed. Based on Green Belt assessment potential for encroachment; role served as open space and negative scores in sustainability appraisal this site is less preferable to other sequentially preferable sites. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sequentially preferable allocations to help meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer North East	HG2-24	Former Sacrament Church, Keswick Lane, Bardsey	10	3	100%	Green Belt site. The site would constitute a small extension into the Green Belt which is well related to the existing settlement and would have limited impact on openness.	The site would constitute a small extension into the Green Belt which is well related to the existing settlement. The development would also be well contained by the existing significant boundary treatment. It is noted that the site contains some high quality agricultural land, however the site is presently not in agricultural use and the area of land involved is very small. Overall the site is not considered to have a	The site achieves mainly neutral impacts overall. The site achieves positive impacts in terms of its low flood risk and transport network impact. The site scores major adverse impacts relating to agricultural land, green space and landscape. In terms of the agricultural land impact, the site is brownfield and not in agricultural use. The brownfield nature of the site also mitigates the landscape impact. In terms	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-4	Phase 3 site, which is less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sequentially preferable allocations to help meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
							significant impact on the openness of the Green Belt.	of green space the site lies adjacent to an area of green space however the site itself does not function as green space.			
Outer North East	HG2-25	Farfield House, Bramham	14	3	100%	Green Belt site which is related to existing residential development and would effectively round off the settlement.	Small site which is well related to the existing settlement of Bramham. It is noted that the site includes some best and most versatile agricultural land. However, the site is very small and is not currently in agricultural use. Overall, the site is considered to constitute a small rounding off the settlement and will not have an unacceptable impact on the openness of the Green Belt.	The site achieves positive scores in terms of contamination, flood risk and health objectives. The site scores a major adverse impact in terms of agriculture. However, the site is currently a residential dwelling and is not in agricultural use.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-5	Phase 3 site, which is less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sequentially preferable allocations to help meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer North East	HG2-26	Scarcroft Lodge	100	1	100%	If the site was removed from the Green Belt it would create an isolated development which is not well related to the urban area. However, it is a large brownfield site within the Green Belt, which is well screened and separated (but not unduly distant), from the built up area of Scarcroft. The site could be developed for residential purposes and have no greater impact on the Green Belt and conservation area than the existing development which presently has a significant impact on openness of the countryside. The site will also help to promote the re-use of brownfield land. On balance, given the sites brownfield nature it is considered to represent a major redevelopment opportunity in the Green Belt, which is not considered to have an unacceptable impact on the openness of the Green Belt. However, the site should not be removed from the Green Belt.	Large brownfield site situated within the Green Belt and Scarcroft conservation area. The site is close to the built up area of the settlement and could be developed for residential development whilst not having a greater impact on the openness of the Green Belt and character and appearance of the conservation area than the existing significant development. Site to remain washed over with Green Belt.	The site achieves major positive impacts in terms of its brownfield nature, low flood risk, contamination and transport network impact. The site scores major adverse impacts relating to employment, agricultural land and landscape. In terms of the agricultural land impact, the site is brownfield and not in agricultural use. The brownfield nature of the site also mitigates the landscape impact along the site requirement which states no major increase in the developed proportion of the site.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-26	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer North East	MX2-39	Land at Parlington	792	1	100%	It is considered that a significant proportion of the supply of new development (housing and employment) in the Outer North-East HMCA is best achieved through the planning of a purpose built new settlement. The new freestanding settlement could be planned to meet garden village principles and provides a unique opportunity to deliver comprehensive large scale development including high quality new homes, local employment opportunities, new community green spaces and a range of supporting community services and infrastructure within an attractive environment. The allocation of a new settlement as part of the overall portfolio of allocations is considered to represent the best way of achieving sustainable development whilst meeting the identified development needs of the Outer North East HMCA. Phase 1 of a potentially larger settlement will be delivered within the plan period; however the wider site could help to ensure the stable delivery of housing in the longer term.	Development of the site would create an incursion within the Green Belt and the site performs an important role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. The site is adjacent to some heritage assets and lies close to Aberford and Barwick which are historic settlements. The site encompasses a well contained landform which is surrounded by mature woodland areas with further scope for significant landscaping works to be incorporated into any development scheme, which will help to assimilate the development and reduce the visual impact from neighbouring historic settlements. The Outer North-East area is already characterised by a pattern of free standing settlements. If developed the new settlement would be situated equi-distance from neighbouring villages of Aberford and Barwick-in-Elmet and is separated from Garforth to the south by the M1. As such no merging of settlements will occur, but it would reduce the greenbelt gap between settlements, but not to a significantly detrimental degree. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site would have an impact on the purposes of Green Belt, Paragraph 82 of the NPPF identifies large scale developments such as new settlements are examples where new Green Belt boundaries could be established in exceptional circumstances.	The site adverse impacts across a range of SA objectives, however these can be largely mitigated through the creation of a sustainable new settlement with supporting services. A range of site requirements and the requirement for a Design Brief will further help to mitigate any negative impacts.	Retain housing allocation on revised boundary with revised capacity of 792 homes	MX2-39	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Noted that the scale of site places it in Phase 1. It is also noted that the site has significant Green Belt, heritage and sustainability implications. Given that there are insufficient suitable brownfield or alternative Green Belt allocations which can deliver at scale within this HMCA to deliver housing numbers required to meet the SAP trajectory to 2022/23, an amount of housing is required to remain allocated as a release from the Green Belt in the form of a small new settlement, including small scale employment provision and supporting infrastructure. The site is split into MX2-39 and BL1-42 with amended site requirements for MX2-39. The remainder of the site (BL1-42) and potential expansion of the settlement will only be required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP and is changed to a broad location. NB: Also contains 5 ha of employment land.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer North East	MX2-39	Land at Parlington	1058	1	100%	It is considered that a significant proportion of the supply of new development (housing and employment) in the Outer North-East HMCA is best achieved through the planning of a purpose built new settlement. The new freestanding settlement could be planned to meet garden village principles and provides a unique opportunity to deliver comprehensive large scale development including high quality new homes, local employment opportunities, new community green spaces and a range of supporting community services and infrastructure within an attractive environment. The allocation of a new settlement as part of the overall portfolio of allocations is considered to represent the best way of achieving sustainable development whilst meeting the identified development needs of the Outer North East HMCA. Phase 1 of a potentially larger settlement will be delivered within the plan period; however the wider site could help to ensure the stable delivery of housing in the longer term.	Development of the site would create an incursion within the Green Belt and the site performs an important role in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. The site is adjacent to some heritage assets and lies close to Aberford and Barwick which are historic settlements. The site encompasses a well contained landform which is surrounded by mature woodland areas with further scope for significant landscaping works to be incorporated into any development scheme, which will help to assimilate the development and reduce the visual impact from neighbouring historic settlements. The Outer North-East area is already characterised by a pattern of free standing settlements. If developed the new settlement would be situated equi-distance from neighbouring villages of Aberford and Barwick-in-Elmet and is separated from Garforth to the south by the M1. As such no merging of settlements will occur, but it would reduce the greenbelt gap between settlements, but not to a significantly detrimental degree. Whilst it is acknowledged that the site would have an impact on the purposes of Green Belt, Paragraph 82 of the NPPF identifies large scale developments such as new settlements are examples where new Green Belt boundaries could be established in exceptional circumstances.	The site adverse impacts across a range of SA objectives, however these can be largely mitigated through the creation of a sustainable new settlement with supporting services. A range of site requirements and the requirement for a Design Brief will further help to mitigate any negative impacts.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-42	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Noted that the scale of site places it in Phase 1. It is also noted that the site has significant Green Belt, heritage and sustainability implications. Given that there are insufficient suitable brownfield or alternative Green Belt allocations which can deliver at scale within this HMCA to deliver housing numbers required to meet the SAP trajectory to 2022/23, an amount of housing is required to remain allocated as a release from the Green Belt in the form of a small new settlement, including small scale employment provision and supporting infrastructure. The site is split into MX2-39 and BL1-42 with amended site requirements for MX2-39. The remainder of the site (BL1-42) and potential expansion of the settlement will only be required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP and is changed to a broad location. NB: Also contains 5 ha of employment land.
Outer North West	HG2-15	Green Acres and Equestrian Centre, Bramhope	42	3	100%	Green Belt site. Development of the site could constitute rounding off of the settlement to parallel the southern boundary of new development to the east of Moor Road.	Green Belt site. Part greenfield, part brownfield. Development of the site would constitute rounding off of the settlement in parallel with existing development to the east of Moor Road. No Highways concerns.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives, however a number of negative or significant negative scores including accessibility, heritage and landscape. Positive effects include access to primary health facilities and flood risk.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-2	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient sequentially preferable alternative allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer North West	HG2-16	Creskeld Lane, Bramhope – land to the rear of no.45	23	3	58%	Part of the site is within the Green Belt. Development of the site would effectively 'round off' the settlement.	Part of the site is within the Green Belt. Redevelopment of the site would require demolition of one property. Development of the site would effectively 'round off' the settlement.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives, however a number of negative and significant negative scores including ecology, heritage and landscape. Positive effects include flood risk.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-3	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient sequentially preferable alternative allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer North West	HG2-17	Breary Lane East, Bramhope	87	3	20%	The south east part of the site falls within the Green Belt. The western and larger area of the site is an existing PAS site. Whilst having no defensible Green Belt boundary to the east it is relatively contained by the road to the south. Urban sprawl will need to be controlled by a strong GB boundary to the east.	The south east part of the site falls within the Green Belt. The western and larger area of the site is an existing UDP Protected Area of Search (PAS) site. Whilst having no defensible Green Belt boundary to the east it is relatively contained by the road to the south. Urban sprawl will need to be controlled by a strong Green Belt boundary to the east. Part of the site should be retained for provision of a school.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives, however negative effects include ecology and accessibility. Positive effects include access to greenspace, and flood risk	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-17	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Outline planning permission (13/05134/OT) granted (Appeal Dec 2016)) for up to 380 Homes on non-Green Belt part of wider site. The Green Belt part of the site provides a potential capacity of 87 units, helps meet the HMCA target for Green Belt allocations and enables comprehensive development of wider site (including potential provision of a school) much of which already has planning permission.
Outer South	HG2-173	Haighside, Rothwell	578	2	100%	Green Belt site to the north west of Rothwell. The site is well connected to the urban area. There is no strong defensible boundary between the site and urban area. The site is contained to the west by Wakefield Road and to the south by established field boundaries and a beck.	Green Belt site. The site is connected to the urban area and has established field boundaries. On balance, this site is preferable to other Green Belt alternatives.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. However, it scores a double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land instability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-31	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient sequentially preferable alternative allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South	HG2-174	Wood Lane – Rothwell Garden Centre LS26	52	2	100%	Green Belt site. Mixed brown and greenfield site in Rothwell. The site relates well to the settlement being essentially an infill site between existing developments and would not set a precedent for sprawl. The site is at least partly contained by trees to the west. The western half is already developed and presently has a significant impact on the character of the Green Belt.	Green Belt site on the edge of a Major Settlement (Rothwell). Mixed brown and greenfield site. The site relates well to the settlement being essentially an infill site between existing developments. Development would not set a precedent for sprawl.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. None of the objectives scored a double negative or double positive.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-174	Site also falls into East Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Small Green belt 'infill site' with existing development on either side. Development would have little impact on Green Belt purposes.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South	HG2-175	Bullough Lane – Haigh Farm (land adjacent to), Rothwell LS26 0JY	222	2	100%	Green Belt site. The site relates well to the settlement pattern and development would be contained by Rothwell Country Park to the north.	Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing settlement pattern and is contained by Rothwell Country Park to the north.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. However, it scores a double negative on SA10 (access to greenspace), SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and 18d (land instability).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-175	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites.
Outer South	HG2-177	Alma Villas (site at), Woodlesford LS26 8PW	12	1	12%	Approximately 12% of site in Green Belt. The site is well contained by the canal, railway and surrounding development. There is low potential for sprawl.	Part of the site is within the Green Belt (approximately 12%). The site is well related to the existing settlement pattern, and is well contained by the canal and railway. The site is considered suitable in principle for housing.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. However, it scores a double negative on SA10 (access to greenspace), SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and SA19 (landscape).	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-177	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation.
Outer South	HG2-179	Fleet Lane/Eshald Lane (land at), Oulton LS26 8HT	40	2	100%	The site is well contained by Eshald Road to the east and green space to the north. Low potential to lead to sprawl.	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by Eshald Road to the east and by green space (ref G1009 in the Site Allocations Plan) to the north. The site adjoins housing to the west.	The site scores neutral or positive on most SA objectives. It scores a double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-179	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites.
Outer South	HG2-180	Land between Fleet Lane and Methley Lane, Oulton	322	2	100%	Green Belt site. The site is well connected to the urban area and, when considered alongside site HG2-179, could be regarded as a partial 'rounding off'. The site has defined field boundaries.	Green Belt site. The site is well connected to the urban area and, when considered alongside site HG2-179, could be regarded as a partial 'rounding off'.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield), SA14 (flood risk), SA19 (landscape) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-180	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites.
Outer South	HG2-181	Land at Leadwell Lane, Robin Hood	60	3	100%	Green Belt site. The site relates well to the settlement pattern and joins an isolated property with the urban area.	Greenfield site to the east of Robin Hood. The site wraps around the back of a group of houses to the south. Site is connected to residential area to west, and fields to east. Most of the boundary is clearly defined, eastern boundary cuts across centre of field.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-32	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South	HG2-183	Swithens Lane, Rothwell	85	2	100%	Green Belt site to the south of Rothwell. The site is connected to housing to the north and to the east. Development would partially 'round off' settlement.	Green Belt site. Development of site would provide an opportunity for limited expansion and would partially 'round off' settlement.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-183	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites.
Outer South	HG2-184	Westgate Lane, Lofthouse	50	3	75%	Green Belt site. The site is well contained, reducing the potential for sprawl and minimising the impact on the Green Belt. Development would constitute a partial	Green Belt site. The site is well contained, reducing the potential for sprawl and minimising the impact on the Green Belt. There are no physical constraints on the site.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double negative on SA11 (greenfield/brownfield) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-33	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
						rounding off of the settlement.					
Outer South	HG2-185	Church Farm, Lofthouse	188	3	91%	Majority of the site relates well to the settlement. East of the site is brownfield, with existing commercial developments (Ouzlewell Green). Development of the site would extend the urban area, however development would be well contained by the motorway to the south. The commercial development already has a significant impact on the character of the Green Belt, as a result the impact of housing development could be neutral.	Green Belt site. The site is within a residential area and is well contained by the M62. Development will have minimal impact on the purposes of the Green Belt.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double negative on SA14 (flood risk), SA18d (land instability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-34	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA
Outer South	HG2-186	Main Street, Hunts Farm, Methley	25	3	19%	Strong links to settlement. Well contained site with low potential for further sprawl given defined boundaries. Northern section currently provides access to countryside.	Roughly 20% of the site is Green Belt. The site relates well to the settlement pattern and is contained by a wooded area to the north.	The site scores neutral on most SA objectives. It scores double positive on SA15 (transport network), and double negative on SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-186	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Whilst sequentially less preferable for allocation, the site is less than 20% in Green Belt and development is considered to offer the potential to improve the visual amenity of the area.
Outer South East	HG2-124	Stourton Grange Farm South, Selby Road, Garforth	1090	1	100%	Very large site that would result in significant encroachment in the Green Belt. Well contained by roads/railway around the site but would reduce separation between Garforth and Micklefield. Notwithstanding this, a masterplan including provision of a landscape buffer to the east will help retain physical separation of Garforth and Micklefield.	Very large Green Belt site, dependent on comprehensive development with master-planning. A large site will improve opportunity for securing new facilities/services compared to smaller sites to the west and north of Garforth. Site capacity reduced to allow for build out rates in the plan period. The railway line to the north and existing roads to east and south create strong defensible boundaries. Development to include a green corridor/community park to west of the site and substantial landscaping/planting along the eastern boundary to help retain physical separation of settlements. Part of the site must be reserved for provision of schools.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there are major adverse impacts relating to community cohesion, greenfield/brownfield land, agricultural land and land instability.	Retain housing allocation on revised boundary with revised capacity of 1,090 homes	HG2-124	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Noted that the scale of site places it in Phase 1. It is also noted that the site has some Green Belt and sustainability implications which require comprehensive development. Given that there are insufficient brownfield or alternative Green Belt allocations which can deliver at scale within this HMCA to deliver housing numbers required to meet the SAP trajectory to 2022/23, an amount of housing is required to remain allocated as a release from the Green Belt. The site adjoins a major settlement and is in line with the Core Strategy. This will require site to be split into HG2-124 and BL1-40 with amended site requirements for HG2-124. The remainder of the site (BL1-40) will only be required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP and is changed to a broad location.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South East	HG2-124	Stourton Grange Farm South, Selby Road, Garforth	1224	1	100%	Very large site that would result in significant encroachment in the Green Belt. Well contained by roads/railway around the site but would reduce separation between Garforth and Micklefield. Notwithstanding this, a masterplan including provision of a landscape buffer to the east will help retain physical separation of Garforth and Micklefield.	Very large Green Belt site, dependent on comprehensive development with master-planning. A large site will improve opportunity for securing new facilities/services compared to smaller sites to the west and north of Garforth. Site capacity reduced to allow for build out rates in the plan period. The railway line to the north and existing roads to east and south create strong defensible boundaries. Development to include a green corridor/community park to west of the site and substantial landscaping/planting along the eastern boundary to help retain physical separation of settlements. Part of the site must be reserved for provision of schools.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there are major adverse impacts relating to community cohesion, greenfield/brownfield land, agricultural land and land instability.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-40	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Noted that the scale of site places it in Phase 1. It is also noted that the site has some Green Belt and sustainability implications which require comprehensive development. Given that there are insufficient brownfield or alternative Green Belt allocations which can deliver at scale within this HMCA to deliver housing numbers required to meet the SAP trajectory to 2022/23, an amount of housing is required to remain allocated as a release from the Green Belt. The site adjoins a major settlement and is in line with the Core Strategy. This will require site to be split into HG2-124a and HG2-124b with amended site requirements for HG2-124a (see Appendix 1b). The remainder of the site (HG2-124b) will only be required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP and is changed to a broad location.
Outer South East	HG2-126	Micklefield Railway Station Car Park (land to north of), Micklefield	18	3	85%	Development would slightly extend the settlement. Adjacent to railway station. Well contained with low potential for further sprawl	Green Belt site, low potential for sprawl as well contained by residential development to the north, railway station and railway line to the south, and the A1(M) to the east. Development would effectively constitute rounding off of the settlement north of the railway. Access would only be possible through the adjacent site HG1-306 to the north east.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there are major adverse impacts relating to greenfield/brownfield land and agricultural land	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-126	Phase 3 site. Whilst phased later on the basis of its smaller settlement location development of the site has low Green Belt impact and would round off adjacent housing development on an adjacent site which is under construction.
Outer South East	HG2-127	Newtown Farm, Micklefield	42	3	97%	Due to the location within between existing houses and the 'boundary' of the A1M, there would be limited impact on the Green Belt.	Green Belt site, but due to the location between existing houses and the A1(M), would have limited impact on the Green Belt. Consideration needs to be given to whether ongoing agricultural use would be possible on adjacent site 4200A if HG2-127 restricts farm vehicle access.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there are major adverse impacts relating to access to greenspace, greenfield/brownfield land and agricultural land	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-41	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South East	HG2-128	Selby Road/Leeds Road, Kippax	40	3	100%	Relates well to settlement, however, boundaries to north and east are poor and could lead to further sprawl.	Green Belt site in agricultural use. Development would not result in coalescence of Garforth and Kippax. Existing development to the west and south so relates well to the existing settlement pattern and has suitable access and strong defensible boundaries.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there is a major adverse impact relating to greenfield/brownfield land.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-39	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South East	HG2-131	Whitehouse Lane, Great Preston	40	3	3698%	About a third of site in Green Belt. Performs a limited role in safeguarding countryside from encroachment. Development could be contained through appropriate design and landscaping.	37% in Green Belt, 63% within existing settlement. Well related to the settlement.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. However, there are major adverse impacts relating to greenfield/brownfield land, flood risk, agricultural land	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-37	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South East	HG2-132	Brigshaw Lane (land to east of), Kippax	76	3	100%	Set to the south of settlement but is linked to the north and west. No defensible boundary to south could lead to further sprawl.	Green Belt site, agricultural field with road frontage. Set to the south of settlement which could lead to further sprawl due to no clear boundary, but is linked to the north and west so relates well to the existing settlement. Adjacent to a Site of Ecological and Geological Importance (SEGI) to the east.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. There are major adverse impacts relating to greenfield/brownfield land, land instability and agricultural land. There are major positive impacts relating to greenhouse emissions, transport network and local needs.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-38	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South East	HG2-133	Ninevah Lane, Allerton Bywater	65	3	100%	Strong links to settlement. Well contained by tree to west reducing potential for further sprawl.	Green Belt site with strong links to the settlement, connected to residential development to the east and the north and well contained on all sides. Trees line the western boundary creating a natural buffer that would prevent further sprawl. Contains a mix of uses, part greenfield and part brownfield.	Neutral effects for majority of SA objectives. There is a major adverse impact relating to greenfield/brownfield land. There are major positive impacts relating to greenhouse emissions, transport network and local needs.	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-133	Phase 3 site. Whilst phased later on the basis of its smaller settlement location development of the site has low Green Belt impact and would have potential to significantly improve the visual amenity of this site which is a mix of greenfield and brownfield land.
Outer South West	HG2-136	Whitehall Road (south of) - Harpers Farm	279	2	94%	Relates well to main urban area. Potential for sprawl as no defensible boundary to south	Green Belt site. Relates well to main urban area. Existing development on three sides of site, so relatively well contained	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA21 (Historic Environment) and a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-136	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South West	HG2-144	Westfield Farm, Drighlington	17	3	100%	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by existing housing and road frontages and is effectively an infill site.	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by existing housing and road frontages and is effectively an infill site.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA3 (Education) and SA21 (historic environment) and a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability), and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-24	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South West	HG2-145	Bradford Road/Wakefield Road Gildersome	393	3	9600%	The site rounds off the settlement of Gildersome, and creates a logical boundary.	Green Belt site adjoining residential development to the east and south, with a cricket ground to the north. The site is relatively well contained and would have relatively limited impact on the Green Belt providing a comprehensive development. Whilst release of the site would be of significant scale, development could effectively 'round off' the settlement.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives and a double positive on SA10 (Greenspace). However, it does score a negative on SA1 (Employment), SA2 (Economic Growth) SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), and SA19 (Landscape) or a double negative on SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-25	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South West	HG2-147	Highfield Drive/Harthill Lane (land off), Gildersome	76	3	100%	Development would partially round off the existing settlement.	Green Belt site. Development would partially round off the existing settlement.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives and a double positive on SA10 (Greenspace). However, it does score a negative on SA12 (Biodiversity/Geology) or a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/ brownfield), SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-26	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South West	HG2-148	Gelder Road/M621, Gildersome	203	3	100%	The site provides separation between Gildersome and Drighlington and links with the existing settlement and performs an important role in safeguarding from encroachment.	Green Belt Site. Reduced site size retains the separation between Gildersome and Drighlington and links with existing settlement.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives and a double positive on SA13 (Greenhouse emissions) and SA16 (Local needs met locally). However, it does score a negative on SA12 (Biodiversity/Geology) and a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) SA19 (Landscape) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-27	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South West	HG2-150	Churwell (land to the east of)	205	2	100%	Development would round off settlement. No defined boundary to south which could result in further sprawl.	Green Belt site. The site has a road frontage, bounded by the railway to the east and residential development to the west. Development would effectively 'round off' development on Churwell Hill. Retention of the current allotment use on northern corner of the site would need to be considered in any detailed design.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA19 (Landscape) or a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-150	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Site is within the South Leeds Regeneration Priority Area, however was in Phase 2 so as to ensure delivery of transport infrastructure in a timely manner within the HMCA. Such matters can be dealt with at the planning application stage.
Outer South West	HG2-153	Albert Drive Morley	121	1	40%	Some potential to lead to unrestricted sprawl as there is a limited defensible boundary to the north east of the site. There is a railway line providing some defence running west to east.	Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing residential area and development would round off the built up area.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives and a double positive on SA15 (Transport Network) However, it does score a negative on SA1 (Employment), SA2 (Economic Growth) SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield) and SA12 (Biodiversity), or a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA18d (land stability) and SA19 (Landscape).	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-153	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Only 40% of the site is within UDP Green Belt.
Outer South West	HG2-159	Sissons Farm, Middleton LS10	222	1	100%	The site encapsulates a portion of the adjacent woodland. There are some concerns over the practicality of this site for development. It is very much a strip of development land so the site design would be challenging.	Green Belt site which would round off the settlement. Site is part of a wider regeneration scheme for this area and development would contribute towards greenspace improvements as part of a wider community benefits package.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA19 (Landscape) and SA12 (Biodiversity), or a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA22a (agricultural land) and SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield).	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-159	Site is in phase 1 and is a major urban area extension. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Falls within the South Leeds Regeneration Area.
Outer South West	HG2-165	Thorpe Hill Farm, Lingwell Gate Lane, Thorpe	57	1	100%	Brownfield site with existing employment use. Strong links to settlement, well contained site.	Green Belt site. Brownfield site, well contained, with road frontage. Development would have limited impact on the openness of the Green Belt as the site is previously developed.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives and a double positive on SA14 (Flood risk). However, it does score a negative on SA2 (Economic growth), SA6 (Culture) SA17 (Waste) or a double negative on SA1 (Employment) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-165	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Falls within the South Leeds Regeneration Area.
Outer South West	HG2-166	Long Thorpe Lane (land off), Thorpe, Wakefield WF3 3BZ	17	1	100%	Well contained site with good links to the settlement. Does not provide access to the countryside.	Green Belt site. Unused area of land adjacent to M1 motorway. Land to north has recently been developed for residential. Development of the site would be a continuation of existing development. No constraints. The site is well contained with existing defensible boundaries.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA18b (Air pollution) and SA18d (land stability) or a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-166	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. Falls within the South Leeds Regeneration Area.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South West	HG2-167	Old Thorpe Lane (land at), Tingley	207	3	100%	Well contained site with strong links to the settlement.	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by the motorway network, resulting in a low potential for further sprawl. The site is well related to the existing settlement.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA18b (Air pollution) and SA21 (historic environment) or a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1. Reduce former site and capacity to 207 homes.	HG2-167	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Whilst phase 3 sites are sequentially less preferable for allocation, to meet the housing trajectory to 2022/23 in this HMCA, retention of the western part of the site is considered justified. The site is readily parcelled into two separate sites. The western site (to be retained as HG2-167) has more limited impact on Green Belt, has strong links to the settlement being opposite the primary school and is well contained by existing roads to the north and east. The eastern part of the site (BL1-28) is considered to be less sequentially preferable than other. BL1-28 therefore only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA. The requirement for a local centre can be re-assessed if/when the Broad Location is considered through review of the SAP at a later point.
Outer South West	HG2-167	Old Thorpe Lane (land at), Tingley	412	3	100%	Well contained site with strong links to the settlement.	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by the motorway network, resulting in a low potential for further sprawl. The site is well related to the existing settlement.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA18b (Air pollution) and SA21 (historic environment) or a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-28	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Whilst phase 3 sites are sequentially less preferable for allocation, to meet the housing trajectory to 2022/23 in this HMCA, retention of the western part of the site is considered justified. The site is readily parcelled into two separate sites. The western site (to be retained as HG2-167) has more limited impact on Green Belt, has strong links to the settlement being opposite the primary school and is well contained by existing roads to the north and east. The eastern part of the site (BL1-28) is considered to be less sequentially preferable than other. BL1-28 therefore only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA. The requirement for a local centre can be re-assessed if/when the Broad Location is considered through review of the SAP at a later point.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer South West	HG2-170	Land off Haigh Moor Road, Tingley	41	3	67%	Development of the site would represent partial infill development and would retain the openness between the built up area and reservoir.	Green Belt site. Development of the site would represent partial infill development and would retain the openness between the built up area and reservoir.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA21 (historic environment) and a double negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA18d (land stability) SA19 (landscape) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-30	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South West	HG2-171	Westerton Road East Ardsley	195	3	85%	The site is well connected to the existing built up area.	Part Green Belt site. The site has a long road frontage and relates well to the existing settlement. Southern area of the site is bounded by tree line. Appropriate boundary treatment required to reinforce Green Belt boundary.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA18d (land stability) and a double negative on SA10 (Greenspace), SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield), SA19 (landscape) and SA22a (agricultural land).	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-29	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer South West	HG2-233	Land at Moor Knoll Lane East Ardsley	11	3	100%	Well contained site that does not provide access to the countryside. Site rounds off the settlement.	Brownfield site, well contained, with road frontage. Development would have limited impact on the openness of the Green Belt as the site is currently brownfield.	The site scores positive or neutral on most objectives. However, it does score a negative on SA11 (Greenfield/brownfield) and a double negative SA22a (agricultural land)	Retain housing allocation. Change to Phase 1.	HG2-233	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Whilst phase 3 sites are sequentially less preferable for allocation, this is a small brownfield site with minimal impact on Green Belt and development will link with existing new development to the north. For these reasons, the site is to be retained as an allocation.
Outer West	HG2-53	Calverley Cutting / Leeds Liverpool Canal, Apperley Bridge	32	1	99.97	Site is well contained by trees and canal which would effectively prevent future sprawl into Green Belt and contain the site.	Green Belt site. Site adjoins Site HG1-130 (1337) Stylo House, Harrogate Road, Apperley Bridge which is undergoing residential development. This site offers the opportunity to expand site HG1-130 (1337) further, and would be accessed through this adjacent site. The site is adjacent the canal to the north and woods to the east which would effectively prevent further sprawl into Green Belt and contain the site.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include access to health facilities, ecology, landscape, flood risk and heritage. Positive and significant positive scores include highway network.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-53	Site is in phase 1. Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation.
Outer West	HG2-54	Upper Carr Lane (land off), Calverley	18	3	99.99	Well contained site with strong links to the settlement, low potential for sprawl.	Green Belt site. Situated between an employment site, residential dwellings and a recent flat conversion, development here would round off the settlement. As the boundary does not project beyond the existing urban area its impact on the surrounding countryside is significantly reduced.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include accessibility and landscape. Positive and significant positive scores include access to greenspace, health facilities highway network, and flood risk.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-16	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer West	HG2-55	Calverley Lane, Calverley	18	3	100%	Well contained site with strong links to settlement. Tree lined boundary to east prevents further sprawl.	Development of the site would effectively 'round off' the existing settlement pattern and be well contained by existing development to the north west and north east and by the main road to the west. Highways mitigation required.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include landscape, heritage, accessibility and accessibility, site access and local highway network. Heritage and site access can be mitigated by site requirements. Positive and significant positive scores include access to greenspace, health facilities and flood risk and highway network but Highways do not support as poor public transport.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-43	Phase 3 site. Less sequentially preferable than Phase 1 or 2 sites. Site only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer West	HG2-56	Rodley Lane (land at) - Calverley Lane	53	2		Development would reduce separation between Calverley and Rodley, though relates well to settlement it is contained by tree lined boundaries to west of site.	Green Belt site. Site is related to the existing properties in Rodley and has a well-defined field boundary to the west.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include landscape and heritage. Positive and significant positive scores flood risk and access to greenspace.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-17	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Considered to be sufficient alternative Phase 1 and Phase 2 sequentially preferable sites. Site performs role in separating Calverley and Rodley. Site therefore only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer West	HG2-59	Land at Rodley Lane	17	2	100%	Well contained site with strong connections to urban area. Would not harm green belt if developed.	Green belt site adjacent to main urban area. Road frontage runs along the southern boundary. Surrounded by residential development to east and south, good access to services. Canal to the north encloses the site.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include landscape and ecology. These can be mitigated through site requirements. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk, accessibility, highway network and access to greenspace, education and health facilities.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-18	Phase 2 site. Phase 2 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation after suitable Phase 1 sites. Considered to be sufficient alternative Phase 1 and Phase 2 sequentially preferable sites. Site therefore only required post 2022/23 as there are sufficient, more sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer West	HG2-63	Woodhall Road (land adjoining) - Gain Lane, Thornbury BD3	196	1	100%	Development would reduce separation between Calverley and Rodley, though relates well to settlement it is contained by tree lined boundaries to west of site.	Green Belt site, situated on the boundary with Bradford and with existing residential uses to the north west and south east, so relatively well contained and development would not set a precedent for further Green Belt sprawl. Flat site. Achievable access.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include ecology, landscape and heritage. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk, accessibility and access to health facilities.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-63	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer West	HG2-65	Daleside Road, Thornbury,	89	1	100%	Site has strong links to urban area and is well contained. Would reduce the separation between Leeds / Bradford, although there are already existing links directly to the north.	Green Belt site. Development of the site would relate well to the existing settlement and consolidate development being surrounded on three sides by existing residential development. Access possible from Daleside Road but would require extension of existing footway and removal of a number of trees.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include poor access to greenspace, ecology and landscape. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk and access to health facilities.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-65	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.
Outer West	HG2-68	Waterloo Road (land at), Pudsey LS28	28	1	100%	The site is well contained limiting potential for sprawl. Has strong links and is well connected to the settlement.	Green Belt site. The site is well contained by trees along the boundary and this limits the potential for unrestricted sprawl into the Green Belt. The site has a road frontage. No Highways issues raised.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include ecology. Positive and significant positive scores include accessibility, flood risk, highways and access to education, greenspace and health facilities.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-68	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.
Outer West	HG2-69	Dick Lane, Thornbury	206	1	94%	Strong links to urban area with existing development to north, south and west. Site is well contained by railway line to south, and sloping landform to east will help to contain development and prevent further sprawl.	Majority Green Belt Site. Southern part of site is Brownfield. Strong links to urban area with existing development to north, south and west. Site is well contained by railway line and sloping landform beyond site which will help to contain development and prevent further sprawl into the Green Belt.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include ecology and landscape. These can be mitigated through a site requirement. Positive and significant positive scores include accessibility/highways, flood risk and access to greenspace and health facilities.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-69	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.
Outer West	HG2-71	Land off Tyersal Road, Pudsey	33	1	100%	Green Belt site. Fairly well connected to Tyersal settlement but could set precedent for further sprawl if the site boundary is not suitably landscaped or defined.	Green Belt site. Fairly well connected to Tyersal settlement. A strong Green Belt boundary will be required to prevent urban sprawl.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include access to health facilities and accessibility. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk and access to greenspace and education facilities.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-71	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.
Outer West	HG2-72	Land off Tyersal Court, Tyersal	40	1	100%	Links to settlement along northern boundary. High potential for further sprawl to south if boundary of development is not suitably defined or landscaped.	Green Belt site, relates relatively well to the existing settlement. Part of the site should be retained to enable the expansion of Pudsey Tyersal Primary School.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include heritage, access to health facilities, accessibility/transport network. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk.	Retain housing allocation.	HG2-72	Phase 1 sites are sequentially preferable for allocation. The site forms part of the Leeds Bradford regeneration corridor (CS policy SP4) and therefore is a priority for development.

HMCA	Site Ref.	Address	Homes	Phase	Green Belt	Green Belt Conclusion	Site Assessment Conclusion	Sustainability Appraisal Summary	Proposed Change	Revised Site Ref	Reasoning
Outer West	HG2-76	Hough Side Road Pudsey	200	1	100%	Site has strong links to the settlement and is well contained as it is surrounded by trees on the southern boundary. Low potential for further sprawl.	Green Belt Site. Site has strong links to the settlement and is well contained with trees and rising land on the southern boundary. Low potential for further sprawl.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include accessibility/highway network. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk and access to greenspace.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-19	Whilst site is in Phase 1 there are sufficient Phase 1 sites to meet the Plans housing needs for this HMCA up until 2022/23 and the evidence base shows that this site is sequentially less preferable than other sites given its topography which makes the site more visually prominent than others. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.
Outer West	HG2-80	Acres Hall Avenue Pudsey	62	1	100%	Well connected to the existing residential area. Site has good links to the built up area and is well contained by the road to the west and south. Public right of way to north of site of site provides access to countryside but could be maintained through development. Development may lead to further sprawl to the east as there is no defensible boundary and this would need to be addressed through landscaping. Listed building within the site, though impact on setting and special character could be mitigated through appropriate detailed design.	Green Belt site. The site is well related to the existing urban area. There is a Listed Building adjacent to the site which would need to be taken into consideration at detailed design stage. Good access from Troydale Lane.	Neutral effects from the SA for objectives. Negative or significant negative scores include heritage and ecology. Positive and significant positive scores include flood risk and access to education and health facilities.	Designate as Broad Location	BL1-20	Whilst site is in Phase 1 there are sufficient Phase 1 sites to meet the Plans housing needs for this HMCA up until 2022/23 and the evidence base shows that this site has greater impact in Green Belt terms compared to other sites because whilst it relates well to the settlement there is no defensible boundary in Green Belt terms; thus creating a greater protrusion into the Green Belt than alternative sites in the HMCA. Site therefore only required post 2022/23, pending review of CSSR and SAP, as there are sufficient sequentially preferable allocations to meet the SAP housing trajectory to 2022/23 for this HMCA.