

Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	<input type="checkbox"/> Key Decision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Significant Operational Decision	<input type="checkbox"/> Administrative Decision
Approximate value	<input type="checkbox"/> Below £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £500,000 to £1,000,000 <input type="checkbox"/> over £1,000,000	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £100,000 to £500,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Over £500,000	<input type="checkbox"/> below £25,000 <input type="checkbox"/> £25,000 to £100,000
Director¹	Director of City Development		
Contact person:	Gurnam Shergill	Telephone number: 0113 378 2235	
Subject²:	Design & Cost Report for S278 Highway Works Associated with the Housing Development at Stonebridge Mills, Stonebridge Lane, Farnley, Leeds		
Decision details³:	<p>What decision has been taken? (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)</p> <p>The Chief Officer (Highways and Transportation):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) noted the detail of the highway works as outlined in section 3 of this report and shown on plans ref: 114134-1001-C and 114134-1101-B attached at Appendix 3 of this report; b) gave authority to negotiate the terms of and enter into an agreement with the developer under the provisions of Section 278 of the Highways Act 1980; whereby the works associated with the development are carried out by the developer and overseen by the Council; c) authorised the City Solicitor to advertise a Draft Traffic Regulation Order to amend the existing TRO on Stonebridge Lane to accommodate the new development site access and existing access on the opposite side of the road and shown on plan ref: 114134-1010 attached at Appendix 5 of this report; and if no valid objections are received, to make, seal and implement the Orders as advertised; and d) gave authority to incur expenditure of £10,000 TRO costs and 		

^f Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

1 If the deci

ion is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

2 Simply refe

to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

3 Include det

	<p>£5,000 staff checking and inspection fees to be fully funded by a developer through a Section 278 Agreement.</p>
	<p>A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)</p> <p>To enable the change of use of mill buildings to provide 30 dwellings and the construction of 82 new dwellings (112 dwellings in total) with associated access at Stonebridge Mills approved through the planning process, overseen by the Council checking the design and construction with step in rights. To enable the developer to construct pedestrian crossing points on Stonebridge Lane and Tong Road in order to safely accommodate pedestrian movements on the highway within vicinity of the site and linking to the proposed development. To enable the developer to amend the road markings / lining to enable the construction of a new site access. To enable the city solicitor to make, seal and implement a Traffic Regulation Order to accommodate the alterations on the highway and to enable the financing of those Orders and the checking and inspection of the works required by the planning consent for the development.</p>
	<p>Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision</p>
Affected wards:	Farnley & Wortley
Details of consultation undertaken⁴:	<p>Executive Member: Councillor L Mulherin 11/01/21</p> <p>Ward Councillors Consulted 14.11.2020</p> <p>Others Emergency services and WYPTE were consulted 12.11.2020</p>
Implementation	<p>Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation</p> <p>Gillian Macleod – the works must be implemented prior to the development being brought into use.</p>
List of	Date Added to List:-

⁴Is of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

4 See Executi

Forthcoming Key Decisions⁵	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision	
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval Signature _____ Date _____	
Publication of report⁶	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:	
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval Signature _____ Date _____	
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
	If exempt from call-in , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:	
Approval of Decision	Authorised decision maker ⁸ Gary Bartlett – Chief Officer, Highways & Transportation	

⁵ and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁵ See Executi

⁶ and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁶ See Executi

⁷ and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.