Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

| Decision type | Key Decision | Significant | Administrative | | |
|------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | Operational Decision | Decision | | |
| Approximate | Below £500,000 | ⊠ below £25,000 | below £25,000 | | |
| value | 2500,000 to | £25,000 to £100,000 | £25,000 to £100,000 | | |
| | £1,000,000 | £100,000 to £500,000 | | | |
| | over £1,000,000 | Over £500,000 | | | |
| Director ¹ | Director of Communities, Housing and Environment | | | | |
| Contact person: | | | Telephone number: | | |
| | Sharon Coates, Service Improvement Manager | | 01133787810 | | |
| | | | | | |
| Subject ² : | Safer Stronger Communities Team – Portfolio and Management | | | | |
| | Arrangements – updated on the Sub Delegation Scheme for 21-22 | | | | |
| | | - | | | |
| Decision | What decision has been taken? | | | | |
| details ³ : | (Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in | | | | |
| details". | relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | The Director of Communities, Housing and Environment approved | | | | |
| | staffing realigning in the area of Safer Stronger Communities, in the sub- delegation scheme set out at Appendix 1. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | The new service has realigned Senior Management portfolios to ensure | | | | |
| | that best use of resources are being achieved, allowing opportunities to | | | | |
| | reduce duplication and explore efficiencies across the new service area. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | A brief statement of the reasons for the decision | | | | |
| | (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | Appropriate officers were of | consulted. | | | |

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

| | Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision | | | | |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 1 – No change to current interim arrangements – these did not align services | | | | |
| | most affectively | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2 – Initial Draft – following feedback from staff the initial draft was amended to | | | | |
| | create the proposed | | | | |
| Affected wards: | All | | | | |
| Affected wards. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Details of | Executive Member | | | | |
| consultation | Briefings have been held throughout 2021 | | | | |
| undertaken4: | Ward Councillors | | | | |
| | n/a | | | | |
| | Others | | | | |
| | Staff and union consultation from January 2021 onwards | | | | |
| Implementation | Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation | | | | |
| | Paul Money (Chief Officer Safer Stronger Communities), backdated to 1 st April | | | | |
| | 2021 | | | | |
| List of | Date Added to List:- | | | | |
| Forthcoming | | | | | |
| Key Decisions⁵ | If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision | | | | |
| | If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval | | | | |
| | Signature Date | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Publication of | If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the | | | | |
| | reason why not possible: | | | | |
| report ⁶ | | | | | |
| | If published late relevant Executive member's approval | | | | |
| | Signature Date | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. ⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only ⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

| Call In | Is the decision available ⁷ for call-in? | Yes | 🗌 No | | |
|-------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | If exempt from call-in, the the council or the public: | e reason why call-in would p | prejudice the interests of | | |
| Approval of | Authorised decision maker ⁸ | | | | |
| Decision | James Rogers, Director of Communities, Housing and Environment | | | | |
| | Signature | | Date: | | |
| | Aug | | 3/12/21 | | |

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.