## **Delegated Decision Notice (DDN)**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision		nt	☐ Administrative	
		Operational [	Decision	Decision	
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	below £25	,000	☐ below £25,000	
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	☐ £25,000 to	£100,000	£25,000 to £100,000	
	over £1,000,000	⊠ £100,000 t	to £500,000		
		☐ Over £500	,000		
Director <sup>1</sup>	Director of Children and Families				
Contact person:	Vera Claxton		Telephone number:		
			0113 237 854	125	
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Request to extend 12 x Enhanced Youth Work Grants Projects DN559053.				
Decision	What decision has been taken?				
details <sup>3</sup> :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in				
	relation to exempt information, exemption from call-in etc.)				
	The Director of Children and Families approved the decision to extend 12 x				
	grant agreements for delivery of Enhanced Youth Work projects with a total				
	value of £174,456.00.				
	The grants are to be extended for the period 1 April 2023 to 31 March 2024.				
	Grants are between £5,000 and £20,000 per annum and have been				
	allocated through a robust evaluation, which considered the quality of the				
	applications, fair distribution of funds across the localities and the provision				
	of a varied offer in each war.				
	The providers are: -				
	Barca Leeds; BHI Black Health Initiative; CFYDC (Chance); Getaway Girls;				
	Hamara HLC; Health for All; LS14 Trust; New Wortley Community Centre;				
	Reestablish; Shantona; St Luke's Community and Regeneration; and The				
	Youth Association.				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

A brief statement of the reasons for the decision

(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)

## **Background**

Delivery of Enhanced Youth Work is focused in 12 wards with the highest indices of deprivation. This is in recognition that young people living in the most deprived neighbourhoods have lower attainment at school, are more likely to be NEET (not in education, employment, or training) post 16, and are more likely to be involved in crime and anti-social behaviour.

The decision to award these grant agreements was made on 10 December 2021; with the option to extend by a further 12 months to ensure that young people living in the most deprived wards have access to good quality youth work from April 2022 in line with the findings of the youth work review and the recommendations of the Executive Board report. The youth work review highlighted many areas of good practice across the city and demonstrated the difference youth work makes to the lives of young people in Leeds. In Leeds, youth work is delivered as a preventative and early intervention service for young people.

This decision followed on from the review of targeted youth work. Extensive consultation took place with a wide range of key stakeholders as part of this review, including on what services were in scope and the timetable for procurement. Further consultation was undertaken in June 2021 with young people, practitioners, and Elected Members to inform the specific needs and priorities for the project brief.

## Reason for extension

The new model for youth work delivery helps achieve the priority of inclusive growth by supporting more young people to be active citizens that are able to participate in education, employment, or training. The providers are delivering a good quality of service which is value for money and a very much needed service.

All 12 providers have confirmed that they want to continue with delivery for the next 12 months and have submitted reports to evidence delivery against the outcomes.

	Legal, Procurement, finance				
	The award report included a reference for a 12-month extension option.				
	The budget for 2022/23 was £200,000 but only £174,456 was allocated following a robust evaluation of quality and price against published criteria. There is a confirmed budget in place for this provision.				
	The £25k unallocated funding from the original £200k grant allocation has been taken as a saving.				
	There will be a further saving for the 2022/23 financial year of £4,500 as Shantona were unable to deliver due to recruitment issues during part of Quarter 2 and Quarter 3.				
	Duiof details of any alternative entires against and rejected by the				
	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision maker at the time of making the decision				
	assistent maker at the time of making the decicion				
	N/A				
Affected wards:	Beeston & Holbeck, Hunslet & Riverside, Middleton Park, Temple Newsam Armley, Bramley & Stanningley, Farnley & Wortley, Kirkstall, Burmantofts & Richmond Hill, Chapel Allerton, Gipton & Harehills, Killingbeck & Seacroft				
Details of	Executive Member				
consultation					
undertaken <sup>4</sup> :	Ward Councillors				
	Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>				
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. <sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology
<sup>6</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings.

	<u> </u>					
	Others					
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation					
List of	Date Added to List: -  If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is					
Forthcoming						
Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	impracticable to delay the decision					
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
	Signature Date					
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason					
report <sup>8</sup>	why not possible:					
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature Date					
Call-in	Is the decision available Yes No					
	for call-in?					
	<b>If exempt from call-in</b> , the reason why call-in would prejudice the interests of the council or the public:					
Approval of	Authorized designer maker 10					
Decision	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>					
Decision	Julie Longworth, Director of Children and Families					
	Signature Date: 24/3/23					
	I mie longworth.					
	( mix long works.					
	9 0					

See Executive and Decision-Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only
 See Executive and Decision-Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 See Executive and Decision-Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call-in. Key decisions are always available for call-in unless they have been exempted from call-in under rule 5.1.3. <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.