## **Delegated Decision Notice**

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision			☐ Administrative		
		Operational Decision		Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000		☐ below £25,000		
value	£500,000 to £1,000,000	£25,000 to £100,000		£25,000 to £100,000		
	over £1,000,000	£100,000 t	to £500,000			
		☑ Over £500,000				
Director <sup>1</sup>	Director of Communities, Housing and Environment					
Contact person:	Richard Stokes		Telephone nu	Telephone number:		
			0113 378 5977			
Subject <sup>2</sup> :	Housing District Heating Clusters: award of a construction contract for the scheme					
	to install a communal Ground Source Heat Pump system at The Claytons					
Decision	What decision has been taken?					
details <sup>3</sup> :	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in					
	relation to exempt information	, exemption fron	n call in etc.)			
	The Director of Communities, Housing and Environment has approved the award					
	of a construction contract for the installation of a communal Ground Source Heat Pump system to provide heating and hot water and a fire suppression sprinkler					
	system, to Clayton Court and Grange for the sum of £3,294,317.93, to Cenergist					
	Limited.					
	The contract will start on the 4th September 2023 and end on the 31st March 2024.					
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision					
	(Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having					
	consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)					
	This scheme to install new heating and hot water with a communal ground source					
	heat pump will reduce resident's fuel costs, improve their ability to control heating,					
	improve the energy performance of the flats and reduce carbon emissions.					
	The use of the <i>Procurement for Housing</i> framework was deemed best value on the					
	basis of the framework having been vetted and approved by the Council's legal team in Procurement and Commercial Services. Organisations on the framework					
	completed a vetting process and OJEU compliant competitive procurement					
	exercise which ensures all contractors will have the required technical capabilities,					
	financial standing and capacity to complete the contract.					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.
<sup>2</sup> If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that

used in the list <sup>3</sup> Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

	Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision					
	maker at the time of making the decision					
	Do nothing – rejected on basis of need to improve energy performance and					
	reduce carbon omissions.					
	2. Issue works to ISP – rejected in consultation with Head of Leeds Building					
	Services on basis of specialist nature of the works.					
	3. A standalone competitive procurement – rejected on basis of previous					
	successes through the chosen framework in line with Contract Procedure					
	Rules.					
Affected wards:	Kirkstall					
Details of	Executive Member					
consultation	Cllr. J Lennox, Executive Member for Housing					
undertaken <sup>4</sup> :	Ward Councillors					
	Cllr H Bithell					
	Cllr A Rontree					
	Cllr F Venner					
	Chief Digital and Information Officer <sup>5</sup>					
	n/a					
	Chief Asset Management and Regeneration Officer <sup>6</sup>					
	n/a					
	Others					
	Chief Officer, Housing					
Implementation	Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation					
	R Stokes, Capital Programme Project Manager					
	Contract Start: September 2023					
	Contract End: March 2024					
List of	Date Added to List:- 23/03/23					
Forthcoming	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is					
Key Decisions <sup>7</sup>	impracticable to delay the decision					
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval					
	J I					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given. <sup>5</sup> See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CDIO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's use of digital technology

6 See Officer Delegation Scheme (Executive Functions) CAMRO must be consulted in relation to all matters relating to the Council's

land and buildings.

7 See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only

	Signature		Date			
Publication of report <sup>8</sup>	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the reason why not possible:					
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval					
	Signature		Date			
Call In	Is the decision available9	☐ Yes		⊠ No		
	for call-in?					
	If exempt from call-in, the council or the public:	reason why ca	all-in would pre	judice the interests of the		
Approval of	Authorised decision maker <sup>10</sup>					
Decision	James Rogers, Director of Communities, Housing and Environment					
	Signature		Date			
	Ny	- ,	29/8/23			

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only
 <sup>9</sup> See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.
 <sup>10</sup> Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.