

Report of The Head of Parks and Countryside

Report to North West Inner Area Committee

Date: 27th October 2011

Subject: Annual Report – for Parks and Countryside Service in North West Inner Area Committee

Are specific electoral Wards affected?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s):		
Headingley		
Hyde Park & Woodhouse		
Kirkstall		
Weetwood		
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number:		
Appendix number:		

Summary of main issues

1. The report provides an area profile of key assets, information on park usage and a customer based perspective of the quality of the assets and services provided.
2. It highlights the current progress towards Leeds Quality Park (LQP) status for community parks in the area. It provides the costs of achieving and retaining LQP status in community parks up to the year 2020.
3. The report details capital improvements in community parks, sport pitches and fixed play in the area for the last 12 months and expected improvements in the next 12 months.
4. It gives a detailed breakdown of events and volunteering in the area.
5. It provides a perspective on actions contained in the 2008 - 2011 area delivery plan.

Recommendations

6. The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised

1 Purpose of this report

- 1.1 This report seeks to further develop the relationship between the Parks and Countryside service and the North West Inner Area Committee, as agreed at Executive Board.
- 1.2 It provides an overview of the service and sets out some of the challenges faced along with key performance management initiatives. In addition it seeks to provide a positive way forward for delivering the extended role of the Area Committee ensuring that the benefits of the revised roles are secured.
- 1.3 In particular it sets out at an area level progress made in attaining Leeds Quality Park standard. It also sets out investment needs to attain LQP standards and to retain them.

2 Background information

Service Description

- 2.1 Leeds City Council has one of the largest fully inclusive local authority Parks and Countryside services, managing almost 4,000 hectares of parks and green space.
- 2.2 This includes 7 major parks, 62 community parks and 95 recreation grounds and 391 local green spaces, which include 144 playgrounds and 500 sports facilities ranging from skateboard parks to golf courses, and which play host to 600 events annually. The service also manages a nursery which produces over 4 million bedding plants each year, 96 allotment sites, over 800km of Public Right of Way (PROW), and 156 nature conservation sites, as well as 22 cemeteries and 3 crematoria.
- 2.3 The 2009 Parks and Countryside residents survey showed that the service attracts almost 68 million visits each year from Leeds' residents alone, and that approximately 96% of these are regular park users. These range from anybody using a park for informal recreation (e.g. walking, observing nature) to people who take part in formal activities (e.g. football clubs, conservation volunteers or to attend events). The user surveys also evidenced that 10m visits are made to our green space by Young People (12-19) compared to 3.6m by Children (5-11).

Description of Priority Advisory Function

- 2.4 The priority advisory function for Area Committees relates to community parks provision that have a wide range of facilities, including general recreation, sports pitches, play and formal and informal horticultural facilities.
- 2.5 Where developments are less significant or only impact on one site then ward members and community groups will be informed and consulted using established procedures. It is important to note that good levels of engagement with ward members exist and this function seeks to enhance this engagement.

3 Main issues

Area Profile of the Service

3.1 The following table summarises community green space assets managed by Parks and Countryside in the North West Inner Area Committee:

Asset	Quantity
Community parks	7
Playing Pitches:	
Cricket	1
Football	17
Rugby League	1
Rugby Union	2
Bowling greens	6
Playgrounds	14
Multi-use games areas	4
Skate parks	2

Community Parks

3.2 Analysis from the 2009 residents survey was carried out relevant to the 9 community parks in the area which are;

Site Name	Annual Number of Visits	Total Annual Visits to North West Inner Community Parks is 3.95m approx.
Becketts Park	819,629	
Burley Park	376,929	
Hartley Avenue Park	10,059	
Lovell Park	30,178	
Tennant Hall POS	70,608	
The Hollies	349,266	
Woodhouse Moor	2,306,066	

3.3 The residents survey provides significant insight into the users of community parks, demographics of users, how they get there and what they do. A detailed insight of each community park is given in appendix 1. The key analysis points are;

- Approximately 76% of visitors are adults with 24% children.
- There are a wide range of reasons for visiting but nearly all visitors at some point go for relaxation or exercise. Other popular reasons are for play, enjoying the surroundings and family outings.
- 85% of visitors travel to the park on foot which is higher than most other areas of these 68% take less than 10 minutes to travel there.
- Of the 9% who visit by car 50% take less than 10 minutes to get there.
- 53% of visitors go to community parks either every day or on most days, whilst 82% go at least once a week.

3.4 Parks and Countryside provide annual pitch hire for sports teams in the area. The table below shows the number of teams with current bookings playing on pitches in the area; (*note this excludes clubs who have a long term lease in place*)

Age Group	No of Teams
Open Age	6
Juniors	2

Volunteering in the Parks and Countryside Service

3.5 Since the last report to Area Committees the service has focused resources for a community outreach team to increase the number of volunteers and value of activities which take place with the following key actions;

- Seeking a large increase in corporate volunteering due to enhanced marketing and communication.
- Continued and improved involvement with the many “in bloom” groups in Leeds.
- It is an ambition is to have a volunteer group for every community park.

3.6 It is estimated that volunteers across all groups contribute 1,002 days of voluntary work in the north west inner area over a 12 month period. The tables below give details of works undertaken in North West Inner since December 2010 and the active groups in the Area Committee;

Work undertaken by volunteers working with the Rangers;

Site	Group / Organisation	Task
The Hollies	Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	Path/ditch repair
Ridge Plantation	Meanwood Valley	Tree Planting
Woodhouse Moor	Social responsibility in action	Planting shrubs, wildflower sowing and litterpick
Woodhouse Ridge	WRAG	Woodland Management Footpath maintenance General tidy up of site
Hawksworth Wood		Litter pick

Corporate volunteer actions;

Organisation	Site	Task	Number of Volunteers
Social Responsibility in Action	Woodhouse Moor	Shrub planting & litter	38
Leeds Ahead – Premier Farnell	Woodhouse Moor	Painting benches	30
UK Border agency	Kirkstall Abbey	Himalayan balsam and flower bed maintenance	52

Summary of the groups who are active in the North West Inner area;

Group Name	Number of Volunteers	Estimated Volunteer Days
Hawksworth Wood Community Association	1	0
Kirkstall Abbey Park User Forum	Unk	0
University of Leeds	6	0
Friends of Woodhouse Moor	6	0
Meanwood Valley Action partnership	30	0
Woodhouse Ridge Action Group	30	240
Leeds Parks Volunteers	4	78
Leeds Voluntary Footpath Rangers	6	130
Leeds Wildlife Volunteers	12	216
Total	95	664

Existing in bloom groups within the North West Inner area;

In Bloom Group	Number of Volunteers	Estimated Volunteer Days
Headingley	30	600
Total	30	600

Events

- 3.7 The bookings and licensing team has introduced improvements to the application process for events that occur on parks. They are providing greater assistance in helping community groups organise events with particular emphasis on ensuring legal and safety requirements are met but do not deter groups and organisations from organising activities. The table below shows a list of events held in the north west inner area so far in 2011:

Site Name	Month	Event	Total
Cragstone Rec	June	Positive Futures - Sports Activities	1
	August	Hawksworth Wood Cons. Club Positive Futures - Sports Activities	1
Hanover Sq	May	Picnic in the park	1
	June	Football Tournament with BBQ	1
Kirkstall Abbey	March	Frankenstien	1
	April	Daybreak Filming - Ben Hepworth	1
	May	Kirstall Deli Market	1
	June	Deli Market	1
		Schools concert in the cloisters	1
		Sponsored Walk - Nick Flood	1
		Volunteer market	1
	July	Deli Market	1
		Funfair (Albert Evans)	1
		Hollybush Primary School Fun Day	1
		Kirkstall Festival	1
		Mass at the Abbey	1
	August	Shakespearean Plays	3
		Breeze Event	1
Deli Market		1	
	Vintage Car Rally	1	

Site Name	Month	Event	Total
	September	Fantasia	1
		Kaiser chiefs	2
		Memory walk	1
	October	Deli Market	1
Sandford Road	August	Gibert & Sandford Res Ass	1
Woodhouse Moor	March	(Funfair Atha)	1
		Run in the park (occurs every Saturday morning)	1
		Daybreak Productions - Car parking	2
	May	2nd - 9th Moscow State Circus	1
		Extreme Events Stunt Show	1
		Promo vehicle	1
	June	Barefoot in the Park	1
		Redbull - Catch 22	1
	July	Dagmar Woods	1
		Unity Day	1
	August	Hyde Park Harriers Run	1
	September	(7th & 8th) Circus Starr	1
		5th -19th Funfair (Pullen)	1
		Beach Break Promo Vehicle	1
		Caring Together Sponsored Stroll	1
October	(4th - 11th) Zippo's Circus	1	
Becketts Park	July	Airienteers	1
	September	Airienteers	1
Burley Park	June	Band in the Park	1
The Hollies	April	Woodland Mgnt - Leeds Wildlife Vol's	1
Total			50

Community Parks – Leeds Quality Park Status

3.8 The Parks and Green Space Strategy was approved at Executive Board in February 2009 and sets out the vision and priorities to 2020. One of the key proposals contained in the strategy is the aspiration for all community parks to meet the Green Flag standard for field based assessment by 2020. The Green Flag Award Scheme represents the national standard for parks and green spaces. It has been developed around eight key criteria as follows;

- **A welcoming place** - how to create a sense that people are positively welcomed in the park
- **Healthy, safe & secure** - how best to ensure that the park is a safe & healthy environment for all users
- **Clean & well maintained** - what people can expect in terms of cleanliness, facilities & maintenance
- **Sustainability** - how a park can be managed in environmentally sensitive ways
- **Conservation & heritage** - the value of conservation & care of historical heritage

- **Community involvement** - ways of encouraging community participation and acknowledging the community's role in a park's success
- **Marketing** - methods of promoting a park successfully
- **Management** - how to reflect all of the above in a coherent & accessible management plan or strategy and ensure it is implemented.

3.9 The Parks and Countryside service reports annual performance against two local indicators based upon the Green Flag Award scheme;

- *The percentage of Parks and Countryside sites assessed that meet the Green Flag standard.*
- *The percentage of Parks and Countryside community parks which meet the Green Flag standard. Performance against these indicators is illustrated in section 3.24.*

3.10 The indicator includes an assessment of each community park which has particular relevance to Area Committee engagement. The scheme is known as the Leeds Quality Park (LQP) standard. The following table provides a summary of these assessments for the North West Inner Area Committee.

Site	Year Assessed	Welcoming Place	Healthy, Safe, Secure	Clean, Well Maintained	Sustainability	Conservation / Heritage	Community Involvement	Marketing	Meets Standard?
Becketts Park	2008								No
Burley Park	2010								No
Hartley Avenue Park	2010								No
Lovell Park	2010								No
Tennant Hall POS	2010								No
The Hollies	2009								Yes
Woodhouse Moor	2010								No

Notes – Assessments due in 2011 (2008 inspections above) are currently taking place but have not yet been recorded in full so no data will be shown in this report.

Key:

Meets Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria	
Below Leeds Quality Park Standard on average for this key criteria	

3.11 From this table, there is 1 park identified that meet the Leeds Quality Park Standard in the area, with 6 not reaching the standard. This is a reduction of 1 pass since the last Area Committee report (In 2007 Tennant Hall passed).

3.12 The residents survey in 2009 enables an assessment of visitor numbers and satisfaction rating (scored out of 10) for a number of criteria for each park, set out in the following table:

Site	Design and Appearance	Cleanliness and Maintenance	Ease to Get Around	Range of Facilities	Horticultural Maintenance	Nature Conservation	Facilities for Families	Sports Facilities	Overall Impression
Becketts Park	6.0	6.0	8.3	4.1	5.9	6.3	3.4	5.5	5.6
Burley Park	6.3	6.8	8.3	5.8	7.3	6.1	6.2	6.5	6.5
The Hollies	9.1	8.8	8.9	6.9	9.1	9.4	6.4	7.6	9.1
Woodhouse Moor	7.7	6.9	8.7	5.4	7.8	6.4	6.3	6.0	7.5

Note – Hartley Avenue Park, Lovell Park and Tennant Hall had insufficient responses to be able to accurately produce satisfaction data

Key:

Generally meets LQP expectations	7.0 - 10	
Generally below LQP expectations	0.0 – 6.9	

This table broadly correlates with the professional audit undertaken for the Leeds Quality Parks assessments. In particular scores and visitor numbers are higher for the parks that meet the LQP standard. There are however issues identified with the range of facilities and facilities for families offered in many of the parks.

Playing Pitches

- 3.13 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate sport facilities in parks. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating of Sports facilities	2009 (North West Inner)	2006 (North West Inner)
Fair to very good	73.4%	67%
Poor or very poor	26.6%	33%

The results show an increase in those who felt sports facilities were of at least fair standard. This data is related to the table set out in paragraph 3.12.

Fixed Play

- 3.14 The residents survey in 2009 allowed respondents to rate facilities for children and their parents. The results are shown in the table below;

Rating facilities for children	2009 (North West Inner)	2006 (North West Inner)
Fair to very good	71.4%	72.9%
Poor or very poor	28.6%	27.1%

Results show little change in those who rated facilities as fair or better.

3.15 Improvements to community parks during 2011 are as follows;

- Becketts Park – Removal of old cricket pavilion and landscaping. Installation of new bins.
- Hartley Avenue Park – Welcome signage and new bins and benches.
- Woodhouse Moor – Planting of wildflower borders, Refurbishment of part of the tennis courts, installation of new MUGA and new fencing installed at the allotments.

3.16 With regards to sports pitches in the last 12 months the following works have been undertaken in the area;

- Archie Gorden Complex – Installation of new 3G artificial surface.
- Tinsill Rec Ground – Site works continue on development of football pitches.

3.17 The following play areas have been refurbished during 2011;

- Sandford Road – New play area installed and ball wall.

3.18 The following table provides a perspective on the minimum level of investment required to achieve the LQP standard for the five remaining parks. It also includes the level of reinvestment required across all the community parks in order to sustain the LQP pass up to 2020;

Site Name	Cost to Achieve (excluding fixed play)	Reinvestment (excluding fixed play)
Becketts Park	£39,140	
Burley Park	£261,063	
Hartley Avenue Park	£123,000	
Lovell Park	£150,000	
Tennant Hall POS	£10,000	
Woodhouse Moor	£263,550	
Total to achieve LQP	£846,753	
Average annual reinvestment		£30,774
Total reinvestment to 2020		£276,962
Overall Total Investment to 2020		£1,123,715

3.19 Reinvestment levels are estimated according to the expected lifespan of equipment and infrastructure as set out below;

Description	Timescale for Recurring Investment
Signage and interpretation	5 years
Fixed play (including MUGA's/skate parks)	10 years
Bins and benches	15 years
Paths and infrastructure	25 years
Landscaping	25 years

3.20 Planned improvements for the next 12 months are;

- Burley Park – Plans totalling £300k have been developed which include a MUGA, new artificial cricket wicket, work on parks infrastructure, new play area and signage.
- Woodhouse Moor – Installation of fencing around the Wellington statue area. Working in partnership with the university and friends of Woodhouse Moor to gain external funding for heritage interpretation on site.
- Lovell Park – Concept plans have been developed for the park.

3.21 In terms of fixed play, work has been undertaken to set out refurbishment requirements over a 10 year rolling programme in support of the outcomes of the Fixed Play Strategy. The average cost of a new playground is currently about £120k; Multi-use games areas and skate parks are slightly cheaper on average at about £90k each. The table below shows the capital investment required on an ongoing basis to fund the area committees existing fixed play sites;

Fixed Play Type	No.	Total Replacement Cost £'s	Required Average Annual Spend £'s
Play Areas	14	1,680,000	168,000
Multi Use games Areas	4	360,000	36,000
Skate Parks	2	180,000	18,000
Totals		2,220,000	222,000

Area Committee funding for additional on site gardeners

3.22 North West Inner Area Committee provided additional funding for enforcement officers at Woodhouse Moor with funding totalling £15,894 this year.

A number of area committees also provide additional funding for gardeners to increase site based presence at parks in the area.

Analysis shows that complaints to both Ward Councillors and the Parks and Countryside Service have declined on sites with increased daily presence. In addition, the service has observed an increase in the number of residents using parks and open spaces which is backed up by the residents survey data.

The site based gardeners increase working relationships with users, local residents and community groups. These site based staff further increase users satisfaction and support the aspiration to increase volunteer groups working within parks.

3.23 The following table summarises actions identified in the Area Delivery Plan (2008 – 2011) and a commentary from a service perspective. During the development of the 2011-2015 Business Plan by Area Management these will be reviewed;

Ref.	Action	Comments
E9	Implement tennis court	Works carried out as detailed in

Ref.	Action	Comments
	improvements at Woodhouse Moor	this report.
E9	Implement play area improvements at Becketts Park	New play area has been installed in 2010.
E9	Undertake improvements to play provision at; Sandford Road, Cragside Rec, West Park and Tinshill Garth.	Cragside Rec has been refurbished. Sandford road completed this year.
E15	Appoint enforcement officers for Woodhouse Moor.	Funding shown within this report.

3.24 The following table highlights key performance indicators relevant to the service;

PI Code	Description	2009/10 Actual	2010/11 Actual	2011/12 Target	2012/13 Target
LKI-GFI / CP-PC50 / EM38	The percentage of parks and countryside sites assessed internally that meet the Green Flag criteria	23% (Target 21%)	23% (Target 23%)	26.2%	29.4%
LKI-PCP 22	Overall user satisfaction with Parks and Countryside (from the user survey)	7.37 (Target 7)	N/A	N/A	7
New	The percentage of parks and countryside community parks which meet LQP status	n/a	33.9%	40%	47.5%

4 Corporate Considerations

4.1 Consultation and Engagement

4.1.1 Close liaison with community and ward members is already in existence, utilising a variety of mechanisms, for example through residents' surveys, multi-agency meetings and community forums. In addition volunteers, Friends of groups and local residents are regularly consulted on local projects with input on design and physical implementation of a wide range of site improvements.

4.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration

4.2.1 This report does not have an impact on equality and diversity. Further information is available on analysis of the residents survey 2009 specifically regarding equality issues on request.

4.3 Council Policies and City Priorities

4.3.1 The contents of this report set out how the Executive Board requirements can be met by taking a more proactive approach to involve and engage Area Committees in matters relating to community parks.

4.3.2 The information within the report contributes significantly to the sustainable economy and culture city priority plan.

4.4 Resources and Value for Money

- 4.4.1 The central government's Comprehensive Spending Review has had significant impact on local government budgets and it is anticipated that the budget allocation for Parks and Countryside will continue to be very challenging.
- 4.4.2 The service undertakes to sustain and develop the services provided to the public and has traditionally used a number of sources of financial support to achieve developments. These include grants from bodies such as Green Leeds Ltd, Sustrans, Natural England, National Lottery funding and developer contributions via section 106 (S106) funds.

4.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In

- 4.5.1 This report has no legal implications and is not subject to call in. There is no information which is confidential or exempt.

4.6 Risk Management

- 4.6.1 There are no significant risk management issues contained within the report, its conclusions and recommendations.

5 Conclusions

- 5.1 Community green space contributes in many ways to the delivery of the Corporate Priority Plan. They provide places for relaxation, escape, exercise and recreation. They bring communities together and make a positive contribution to the local economy, education, improve public health and well-being, and generally make a better place to live, work and visit.
- 5.2 Improvements to community parks, fixed play and playing pitches remain a priority, and there already has been investment made to deliver improvements along with further schemes identified. Issues are being addressed through the Parks and Green Space Strategy along with implementation of the Fixed Play Strategy and Playing Pitch Strategy.
- 5.3 Community engagement remains a key activity for the service with regular correspondence, attendance at meetings and briefings, along with more localised consultation where required. The principle consultation through the residents survey to 35,000 households is scheduled to take place again in 2012.
- 5.4 A programme of activities is planned for which updates and reports can be provided to the Area Committee to help inform, consult and influence community green space management.

6 Recommendations

- 6.1 The Area Committee is requested to note the content of the report and to communicate priorities for investment in community parks, playing pitches and fixed play facilities in light of the issues raised.

7 Background documents

- 7.1 Area Committee Roles, Inner North West Area Committee, 4th July 2011
- 7.2 Annual Report for Parks and Countryside Service in North West Inner Area Committee, Inner North West Area Committee, 16th December 2010
- 7.3 Parks and Greenspace Strategy, Executive Board, February 2009
- 7.4 Play Strategy, Executive Board, September 2007

Appendix 1: Detailed Residents Survey Information

1.1 Total Number of Annual Visits

	Community Parks	Other P&C Sites	Total
North West Inner	3,962,735	1,823,419	5,786,154

1.2 Reasons for Visiting – respondents select their five main reasons (The 24 choices have been grouped in this table)

Reason	Becketts Park %	Burley Park %	The Hollies %	Woodhouse Moor %	North West Inner Total %
Exercise	90%	70%	100%	77%	85%
Play	33%	48%	24%	60%	52%
Dog walking	57%	0%	18%	5%	13%
Enjoy the surroundings	29%	61%	100%	36%	49%
Family outings	33%	35%	24%	83%	62%
Relaxation	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
See Wildlife	19%	9%	24%	7%	12%
Sport related	29%	35%	0%	25%	25%
Other	14%	43%	0%	29%	27%
Events	0%	9%	0%	22%	15%

1.3 Age Profile of Visitors

Site	Age 20 – 39	Age 40 – 59	Age 60+
Becketts Park	43%	33%	24%
Burley Park	52%	38%	10%
The Hollies	24%	29%	47%
Woodhouse Moor	83%	13%	4%
North West Inner Total	65%	23%	12%

How visitors get to the parks and how long it takes to get there

1.4 Visitors on Foot – Journey Time

Site	% of visitors on foot	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins	30+ mins
Becketts Park	86%	78%	17%	5%	0%
Burley Park	90%	89%	11%	0%	0%
The Hollies	81%	61%	23%	8%	8%
Woodhouse Moor	84%	60%	33%	6%	1%
North West Inner Total	85%	68%	26%	5%	1%

1.5 Visitors by Car - Journey Time

Site	% of visitors by car	Less than 10 mins	10–20 mins	20-30 mins
Becketts Park	10%	100%	0%	0%
Burley Park	10%	100%	0%	0%
The Hollies	19%	33%	67%	0%
Woodhouse Moor	7%	29%	71%	0%
North West Inner Total	9%	50%	50%	0%

1.6 How long do visitors stay. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

Time	Summer Stay		Winter Stay	
	Weekend	Weekday	Weekend	Weekday
Less than 30 Minutes	8%	16%	34%	50%
30 minutes to 1 hour	30%	43%	34%	33%
1 to 2 hours	26%	29%	10%	7%
2 to 4 hours	22%	7%	6%	0%
4 or more hours	10%	2%	0%	0%
Do not visit	5%	3%	15%	11%

1.7 How often do visitors go. (Detailed information on each community park is available on request).

	Summer	Winter
Every Day	21%	14%
Most Days	32%	16%
Once or Twice a week	29%	25%
Once every two weeks	9%	14%
Once a month	9%	20%
Seldom or never	0%	12%

1.8 Information taken from comments made in the survey.

Site	General satisfaction comments	What would make you stay longer or encourage more use	Any other comments
Becketts Park	Concern over play area continually damaged. Many people felt more features would improve the park.	New and improved play area. (Carried out since survey).	Feeling that the park is not up to the standard of other parks.
Burley Park	The park has several facilities but they need improving. Rubbish is sometimes an issue.	Many facilities want improving.	Issues of teenagers driving mopeds and motorcycles around the park.
The Hollies	Many positive comments about the site. There are some negative comments about Meanwood park mixed in perhaps members of the public see them as part of the same park.	Direction signs in the woods. Open the toilet facilities.	Tennis courts excellent. Perhaps some heritage information could be provided.
Woodhouse Moor	Poor quality toilets. Tennis courts need improving. In busy periods there is a lot of littering. Bins not emptied quick enough.	BBQ area/picnic area. Refreshments. More live music events. No BBQ's.	A suitable community park.