CALL IN REQUEST - Option (a)

A Call In request may be made by:

Any five non-executive Members of council¹

Date of decision publication: 13 April 2012.
Delegated decision ref:
Executive Board Minute no:242or
Area Committee Name and decision ref:
Decision description: Annual Consultation on Adamsson Arrangements
N 2013
EXEC BORZ MINUTE 242
Discussion with Decision Maker: Prior to submitting a Call In, a nominated signatory must first contact the relevant officer or Executive Member to discuss their concerns and their reasons for wanting to call in the decision. Part of this discussion must include the Member ascertaining the financial implications of requesting a Call In.
Please identify contact and provide detail. Director/author of delegated decision report. Executive Board Member
Detail of discussion (to include financial implications) (((r) Alan Land) Space with Wigel Richardson and Vivienne Buckland on 18 April 2012 to discuss his concerns. It was confirmed during this consessation that there cand be no financial mylications of calling in the decision.

¹ In the case of decisions made by Area Committees, a Member cannot count as one of the two/five signatures if they are a member of that Area Committee.

Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

Reasons for Call In:
All requests for Call In must detail why, in the opinion of the signatories, the decision
was not taken in accordance with the principles set out in Article 13 of the Council
constitution (decision making) (principles set out in Article 13 of the Council
constitution (decision making) (principles of decision making) or where relevant issues
do not appear to be taken into consideration. Please tick the relevant box(es) and
give an explanation.
Decreation of the state of the
Proportionality (ie the action must be
proportionate to the desired outcome)
Due consultation and the taking of
professional advice from officers
Respect for human rights
A presumption in favour of openness
Clarity of aims and desired outcomes
An explanation of the options considered and
details of the reasons for the decision
Positive promotion of equal opportunities
Natural justice
Fundamention Covering County by and by the force school and because S
Explanation (Aucerts advise to five sector (every sector)
splinhealty consultation on the decision, which concilloss believe
was insufficient. Also concerns that the new preferrice suglew
ansverselats the choices available to parents and concerns and
how the data will be used to destitue the western to
Explanation Concerns around the more to five school preferences specifically consultation on the decision, which concillus believe was insufficient. Also concerns that the new preference size time answeresents the clusters available to purents and concerns around how the data will be used to justify the position in relation to school choice.

Leeds City Council Scrutiny Support Unit

The following signatories request that the above decision be called in:

1) Signature
Print name ALAN LAMB
2) Signature PJS WMV
Print name PAUL WAPSWONTH
3) Signature Bun Junion Print name Bany Anninson
4) Signature La La Harry. Print name CRAHM WATTY.
Print name CRAHAM WATTY:
5) Signature
Print name

This form should be submitted to the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development (Scrutiny Support Unit, 1st Floor West, Civic Hall) by **5.00pm on the fifth working day after the decision publication date**. The office is open from 9.00am to 5.00pm.

(For further information on the Call In procedure please refer to the Scrutiny Support Unit intranet site, or contact the Unit on 39 51151).

For office use only: (box A)				
Received on behalf of the Head of Scrutiny and Member Development by:(signature)				
Date:19	2	Time: 13.60 SSU ref: 3.911-12.7342-56		
For office use only: (box B)				
Exemption status checked:		Call In authorised: (es)/ No		
Date checked:		Signed: P. W.		
Signatures checked:		Date: \A 4.12.		
Receipts given:				
Validity re article 13				
Receipt details:				