

**Report of Assistant Chief Executive (Planning, Policy and Improvement)**

**Report to South Leeds (Inner) Area Committee**

**Date: Wednesday 16th May 2012**

**Subject: Wellbeing Report**

Are specific electoral Wards affected? If relevant, name(s) of Ward(s):	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Beeston & Holbeck City & Hunslet Middleton Park
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for Call-In?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, Access to Information Procedure Rule number: Appendix number:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**Summary of main issues**

This report seeks to provide Members with:

1. Confirmation of the 2010/11 carry forward figure and 2011/12 revenue allocation.
2. Confirmation of the 2012/13 revenue allocation and the proposed 2011/12 carry forward figure.
3. An update on both the revenue and capital elements of the Well being budget.
4. Details of revenue funding for consideration and approval
5. Details of revenue projects agreed to date (Appendix 1)
6. Members are also asked to note the current position of the Small Grants Budget

**Recommendations**

Members of the Inner South Area Committee are requested to:

- a) Note the contents of the report.
- b) Note the position of the Well being Budget as set out at 3.0.
- c) Consider the points raised under the ring fencing arrangements in 3.3

- d) Note the Well being revenue projects already agreed as listed in Appendix 1.
- e) Consider the project proposals detailed in 4.0
- f) Note the Small Grants situation in 5.0

## **1.0 Purpose of this report**

This report seeks to provide:

- 1.1 Confirmation of the 2010/11 carry forward figure and 2011/12 revenue allocation.
- 1.2 Confirmation of the 2012/13 revenue allocation and the proposed 2011/12 carry forward figure.
- 1.3 An update on both the revenue and capital elements of the Well being budget.
- 1.4 Details of revenue funding for consideration and approval
- 1.5 Details of revenue projects agreed to date (Appendix 1)
- 1.7 Members are also asked to note the current position of the Small Grants Budget

## **2.0 Background information**

- 2.1 Each Area Committee has been allocated a Well being Budget which it is responsible for administering. The aim of this budget is to support the social, economic and environmental well being of the area by using the funding to support projects that contribute towards the delivery of local priorities.
- 2.2 Well being funding cannot be paid retrospectively. An application form must be submitted and approved by the Area Committee before activities or items being purchased through Well being funding are completed or purchased.
- 2.3 Members are reminded that due to the timescales required for submission to Area Committee the deadline for receipt of completed application forms is five weeks before an Area Committee to allow for processing the necessary paperwork.

## **3.0 Well being Budget Position**

Members should note the following points: -

### **3.1 Revenue funding 2011/12**

- 3.1.1 The approved revenue budget for 2011/12 was **£224,520.00** with a carry forward amount **£175,708.00**. The total commitment for the year 2011/12 was £ £180,890.59

### **3.2 Revenue funding available for 2012/13**

- 3.2.1 The revenue budget approved by Executive Board for 2012/13 is **£224,520.00**.
- 3.2.2 **Appendix 1** shows the projects funded by the Area Committee up to and including the March meeting. The carry forward figure is still awaiting final confirmation from central finance, and will be taken in to account at the June meeting. Indications are the figure will be **£219,337.41**.

3.2.3 Therefore the total amount of revenue funding available to the Area Committee for 2011/12 is **£ 443,857.41**.

3.2.4 Members are asked to note that the Area Committee has already approved as allocations of this funding against the 2012/13 Well being Revenue Budget as detailed in the report by Ward.

### 3.3 **Revenue funding 2012/13**

#### Priority Neighbourhood Worker

3.3.1 Members are reminded that at the 21<sup>st</sup> September 2011 Area Committee meeting funding was allocated to the Priority Neighbourhood Worker Project in Cottingley. This agreement was initially for two years, £6,349.08 for 6 months in year one and £13,601.58 for year two. £13,601.58 will be included in the committed funds section for Beeston & Holbeck for 2012/13.

#### Community Safety

3.3.2 At the March meeting Members approved a ring-fence of £27,000.00 for community safety projects in 2012/13. This approval was on the basis that additional information was provided to confirm the ward split and location of the projects. The information was circulated to members following the meeting and projects have now been confirmed.

3.3.3 Each of these projects will received detailed monitoring on a regular basis and Members will be updated at times through the year at Ward Briefings and Area Committee meetings.

#### Children & Young People's Working Group

3.3.4 Following the establishment of the Children & Young People's Working Group, Members at the March Area Committee approved the allocation of £60,000 to this area of work. The group brings together representatives from the statutory and community & voluntary sector who work with and for children & young people, their families and carers in the Inner South. By working together the group will support, coordinate and monitor partner's respective delivery arrangements, to ensure that the Area Committee funding produces added value in provision and enhance the positive impact of this work on the lives of children and young people in the Inner South.

3.3.5 The working group will act in an advisory capacity to the Area Committee. On behalf of the Area Committee the group will review current work in this sector, identify gaps in service provision and confirm priority areas for action. The group will seek to secure more coherent delivery, an enhanced level of service delivery and increased value for money through ensuring that providers consult colleagues on their proposals, allowing more influencing and change to reflect priorities agreed by the group. In short, members of the group will be encouraged to act as 'critical friends' to their partner colleagues. As the work develops proposals that require Wellbeing funding will be brought back to the committee for approval.

3.3.6 The Area Committee is asked to note that the Children & Young People's Working Group face a considerable challenge in rolling out this work. The group has already set itself a provisional work programme to map as much activity as possible. At the same time the group has explored widening its original brief and given concerns around the levels of

NEET in the Inner South, the group is planning to explore NEET issues with a view to developing a more joined up approach to tackling priorities in this sector. It is hoped that this approach will provide a more coherent view of all activity and allow a more transparent and targeted approach in future. The Area Committee at a future meeting will be asked to agree a refreshed Terms of Reference for the group that will reflect this thinking.

3.3.7 At its last meeting the group acknowledged the possible disruption to service that could be caused by this pause and asked officers to bring forward interim proposals to the Area Committee so as to minimise any disruption to service. These proposals are detailed later in the report and relate to opportunities to enhance NEET provision, while testing new methods being developed by IGEN, some Summer activities as well as generic youth work that has become a feature in parts of Inner South. The group accepted that the interim proposals would go direct to the Area Committee.

3.3.8 To date the Area Committee have approved the following schemes for 2012/13. This provision will be assessed by the Working Group in line with its remit. The outcome of this work will impact on the development of 2013/14 programmes:

Project		Costs	Timescale/impact
South Leeds Superstars	Young People	£9,329.00	Approved at the March 2012 Area Committee – 6 month project
St Lukes Cares	Young People	£28,301.00	Approved at the February 2012 Area Committee - 6months funding with further discussions at the C&YP working group for the remaining 6 months.
Friday Night Project	Young People	£1,500.00	Approved at the November 2011 Area Committee meeting. One year funding but will need to be included in next years discussions
South Leeds Youth Theatre	Young people	£6,800.00	Approved at the November 2011, One year funding but will need to be included in next years discussions
Hunslet Club	NEET	£30,890.00	Approved at the February 2012 Area Committee, Planning for the project has already begun. Sessions will start in September and run for the full school year.
<b>TOTAL</b>		<b>£76,820.00</b>	

- 3.3.9 Funding already allocated to this area of work reflects similar amounts to that invested last year. That is the £60,000 together with projects listed above. However, discussions have begun to focus in on several key priorities in this sector which have been considered in early meetings of the Working Group and are referred to above. Members are invited to consider the relative standing of these issues and given the severe pressure on all funding pots, how the Area Committee would want to further target funding.
- 3.3.10 For example, the Area Support Team will continue to receive speculative requests for funding that will require the usual scrutiny in terms of our legal and financial responsibilities. In addition, officers will consider the merits of each funding bid against priorities framed in the Area Committee Business Plan. Often this does not allow the team sufficient guidance to act for the Area Committee as well as support groups delivering valuable work. It has been the case and is more so in times of austerity that the Area Committee cannot support all funding requests and will want to target its resources better to make a real impact against priorities.
- 3.3.11 Two recent applications for funding which are listed below are examples of this potential conflict. Members are asked to acknowledge this and support officers in undertaking further scrutiny of funding bids that would allow a better understanding of the proposal in relation to Area Committee Business Plan priorities, while exploring the scope of projects, including value for money against other work being delivered in the area.
- 3.3.12 The following summaries are presented for information only. Members are asked to note these and ask officers and the Children & Young Peoples Working Group to explore these proposals further with a view to addressing the issues in 3.3.11. Members are then asked to receive a further report to its next meeting which will detail the outcome of this review.

### **St. Lukes Cares**

- 3.3.13 **Project Summary:** HITBase  
**Total Project Cost:** £12,500/£15,250 (depending upon option approved)  
**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2012/2013:** £12,500/£15,250 (Revenue)  
**Wards Covered:** All three wards  
The aim of this project is to improve the IT skills of long term unemployed people in the two Wards so that they can gain a recognised qualification which will assist them in accessing meaningful employment.

HITBase (based at St. Lukes Church, Malvern Road, Beeston) offers a range of qualifications at different levels including the European Community Driving Licence (ECDL) which is widely recognised by employers as a valuable qualification. HITBase will also help improve long term employed people's online job search skills and help with advice on CVs and teach people how send an email with an attachment which is an essential skills as many employers only accept job applications by email and most require a CV to be attached. HITBase will also work with young people who are not in employment, education or training to gain these computer qualifications.

Over the course of the one year project, St. Lukes intend 20 people to gain an entry level qualification, 12 people to gain a level 1 qualification, 8 people to pass the ECDL, 2 people to gain a Level 2 qualification. They intend to help 200 people to have their job-related IT skills improved up (e.g. sending a CV with an email) and have a CV written or improved upon.

The wellbeing funding requested is to pay for the staff costs for running and co-ordinating the project for two/two and a half days a week for the year, management fee, course registration fees, printing and office costs. This funding would allow HITBase to offer the qualifications for no cost to the clients – at other centres clients have to pay. Three options are proposed for Members to determine, in all three options the majority of days provided for the provision would be at HITBase’s centre at St. Luke’s Church, Malvern Road, with satellite provision being developed in Middleton Park Ward in two options. The three options are:

Option	No. of days at HITBase/ week	No. of days in Middleton Park Ward/ week	B&H Ward £	B&H Ward £	MP Ward £	Total £
A	2		6,250	6,250		12,500
B	1.5	0.5	4,875	4,875	2,750	12,500
C	2	0.5	6,250	6,250	2,750	15,250

### **Hamara Healthy Living Centre**

#### **3.3.14 Project Summary: Enhancing Learning and employability skills**

**Total Project Cost:** £12,370

**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2012/2013:** £12,370 (Revenue)

**Wards Covered:** All three wards (£4,123 each ward)

The aim of this project are: to help raise the educational attainment levels of young people; assist with improving the employability skills of the target group by achieving accredited qualifications and moving into apprenticeships or employment; and improve relations among disparate groups of young people from different backgrounds. Many of the participants will be young people who would not access mainstream provision.

A partnership will be formed comprising Hamara, Leeds United Community Foundation and the White Rose Shopping Centre to encourage cross boundary/cross ethnic participation in the project and to deliver these aims across the three wards by:

- Offering out of school learning opportunities at the existing Learning Centres at each of the three agencies
- Increase employability skills through workshops and training run at each of the three organisations, and this will link to apprenticeship opportunities at Leeds United and at the White Rose shopping centre
- Remove barriers to integration by offer chances to take part in social events (e.g. football matches, events, cultural activities).

If approved, the funding will be used to pay for the establishment of a six months pilot project to use as evidence to establish a longer term project. The specific costs to be met

are: staffing costs, tutors/trainers; training, workshop materials and accreditation costs; publicity and marketing, contribution to revenue costs, costs of a celebration event.

### 3.3.15 Remaining budget

Allocations already agreed and the decisions made at the March meeting result in the following budgets being available for the 2012/13 financial year:

Project	Total	B&H	C&H	MP
Allocated Budget 2012/13	<b>£224,520.00</b>	£74,840.00	£74,840.00	£74,840.00
Carry Forward	<b>£219,337.41</b>	£83,346.17	£90,034.61	£45,032.06
Available Budget	<b>£443,857.41</b>	£158,186.17	£164,874.61	£119,872.06
Projects carried forward form 2011/12	<b>£113,966.04</b>	£39,866.51	£39,543.49	£34,555.04
Available budget for 2012/13	<b>£329,891.37</b>	<b>£118,627.85</b>	<b>£125,639.31</b>	<b>£85,625.21</b>
Small grants	<b>£15,000.00</b>	£8,000.00	£4,000.00	£3,000.00
Skips	<b>£3,500.00</b>	£1,500.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00
Communications budget	<b>£3,000.00</b>	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00
Festivals 2013	<b>£17,000.00</b>	£5,500.00	£5,500.00	£6,000.00
Environmental Budget	<b>£3,000.00</b>	£1,000.00	£1,000.00	£1,000.00
Community Safety Budget	<b>£27,000.00</b>	£9,000.00	£9,000.00	£9,000.00
Children & Young People Budget	<b>£60,000.00</b>	£20,000.00	£20,000.00	£20,000.00
Festivals 2012 (additional funding)	<b>£2,880.00</b>	£483.33	£317.67	£2,080.00
South Leeds Superstars	<b>£9,329.00</b>	£2,943.00	£3,610.00	£2,776.00
South Leeds Life	<b>£9,000.00</b>	£3,000.00	£3,000.00	£3000.00
Marlborough Green Roofs	<b>£5,995.00</b>		£5,995.00	
Hunslet Library	<b>£1,300.00</b>		£1,300.00	
Priority Neighbourhood Worker	<b>£13,601.58</b>	£13,601.58		
<b>2012/13 projects approved</b>	<b>£170,649.58</b>	<b>£66,042.91</b>	<b>£55,735.67</b>	<b>£48,871.00</b>
<b>Total remaining balance 2012/13</b>	<b>£159,241.79</b>	<b>£52,584.94</b>	<b>£69,903.64</b>	<b>£36,754.21</b>

3.3.16 Members will note that the remaining budget for Middleton Park is lower than that of the other wards. This is due to the level of spend in previous years and as a consequence less funding being rolled forward.

### 3.4 Capital funding available for 2010/11

3.4.1 Of the **£700,000** capital funding allocated to the Area Committee for 2004/10 a total of **£700,300** has been committed to date leaving an overspend of **£300**

3.4.2 Members are asked to note the capital allocation by Ward. The spend broken down by Ward is as follows:

	Beeston & Holbeck	City & Hunslet	Middleton Park
Total Allocation 2004-11	£233,333.33	£ 233,333.33	£ 233,333.34
Allocation to date	£230,951.99	£232,120.28	£240,551.42
Balance	£2,381.34	£1,213.03	0.00

3.4.3 Members are asked to note that as the capital expenditure report (Appendix 2 in previous reports) has not changed significantly of late, therefore it will not be included as part of this report going forward. Copies of the document are available upon request.

#### 4.0 Wellbeing Projects for approval

4.1 It is possible that some of the projects in **Appendix 1** may not use their allocated spend. This could be for several reasons including the project no longer going ahead, the project not taking place within the dates specified in the funding agreement or failure to submit monitoring reports. Due to this the final revenue balance may be greater than the amount specified in 3.2.4.

4.2 Members are asked to note that the deadline for receipt of completed application forms is five weeks before an Area Committee to allow for processing the necessary paperwork.

4.3 Members are asked to consider the following projects:

4.4 **Project Title:** Youth Service Summer Programme  
**Name of Group or Organisation:** LCC Youth Service  
**Total Project Cost:** £16,078  
**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2011/2012:** £3,928 (Beeston & Holbeck Ward: £2,078; City & Hunslet Ward: £1,850)  
**Ward Covered:** Beeston & Holbeck, City & Hunslet  
**Project Summary:** LCC youth service currently run a series of sessions with targeted young people in the Beeston & Holbeck and City & Hunslet wards. This proposed summer programme will provide positive activities to engage challenging young people aged 13 plus. The funding applied for is to pay for the costs of various trips and a residential to further enhance the experiences of the young people – these would be by invite only:

The locations for the proposed six weeks summer programme are:

- Beeston Village Community Centre – activities plus trip to Alton Towers
- Cottingley Community Centre – activities plus Go Karting trip
- Holbeck Youth Club – activities plus Bumpy motorbike visit
- Watsonia – activities plus trip ‘Ariel extreme’



Old Cockburn – sports, football project and bowling trip  
New Beverley Community School: activities plus Go karting trip  
Hunslet Carr Youth club: activities plus trip to Alton Towers  
ASHA – activities, Duke of Edinburgh award, Xscape skiing trip

Herd Farm – residential (food costs only. 12 young people from each of Beeston & Holbeck and City & Hunslet Wards)

#### 4.5 **Project Summary:** Young People First Project

**Name of Group or Organisation:** Leeds Youth Service

**Total Project Cost:** £61,370

**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2012/2013:** £15,390 (Revenue)

**Wards Covered:** Beeston & Holbeck (£9,129); City & Hunslet (£6,262)

The Youth Service aims to deliver a wide range of programmes to engage challenging young people aged 13 + who are at risk of or involved in crime/anti-social behaviour/under age sex/drugs use living in the Beeston & Holbeck and City & Hunslet Wards by engaging them in positive activities and tackling tensions between different ethnic groups. Most of the programmes run for 47 weeks in the year.

Particular projects including setting up youth forums, working with young people to run stalls at the main festivals in the area, working with young people to take an active part in environmental projects in their communities including clean ups, two residentials, the Carnival Project, sports activities, accreditation programmes, and a football project. Activities will be delivered from a range of venues as well as detached work delivered from the youth bus, young people will also be bussed to the Youth Hub. The areas to be targeted for work including 'hot spot' areas identified by crime and grime tasking meetings and by Ward Members.

The wellbeing funding requested is to pay for the costs of hiring venues, costs of resources and materials for activities, costs of trips and refreshments for activities taking place at the following locations:

##### **Beeston & Holbeck Ward**

- Beeston Village Community Centre
- Hugh Gaitskell Primary School's MUGA
- Cottingley Primary School
- Holbeck Youth Club
- Watsonia Centre

##### **City & Hunslet Ward**

- Old Cockburn Sports Hall
- New Beverley Community School
- Hunslet Carr Youth Club
- ASHA

In addition the wellbeing funding requested covers the cost of food only for two residentials at Herd Farm, one for young people from each of the two wards.

This application is for funding this project for the full year 1<sup>st</sup> April 2012 to 31<sup>st</sup> March 2013. However, given the decision to establish a Children and Young People's sub-group, Members might wish to consider an interim six months arrangement (with the two residential placements taking place in the first part of the year) with wellbeing funding need up to:

	<b>12 months £</b>	<b>6 months £</b>
Beeston & Holbeck:	9,129	5,389
City & Hunslet:	6,261	3,741
<b>Total</b>	<b>£15,390</b>	<b>£9,130</b>

4.6 **Project Title:** Summer Programme

**Name of Group or Organisation:** IGEN

**Total Project Cost:** £4630

**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2011/2012:** £2630

**Ward Covered:** All 3 wards

**Project Summary:** The Kick Start Attendance Project is aimed at Year 10's with sustained and persistently low attendance (in some cases less than 50% attendance) at Cockburn School. The aim of the project is to engage with these young people, initially one to one, build relationships then move young people in to a group environment and support them over summer to build up their self esteem; identify and resolve their barriers; provide fun learning environments; encourage greater aspirations and develop plans for reintegration at the start of Year 11. In conjunction with Cockburn and Attendance Strategy, ten students on school roll at Cockburn in Year 10. IGEN anticipate working as a group to develop a shared understanding regarding the potential candidates for this programme and will review their data to identify the young people who need the type of support being offered.

IGEN will then meet with young people one to one and use an assessment tool, specifically designed to highlight concerns, areas for development and needs. This tool is based on our Connexions Targeted Support Pre CAF tool, which was co-produced with young people and has proven to be very successful in gaining valuable information to support young people to achieve positive outcomes.

Following on from the one to one sessions IGEN will build the young people into a group. The young people will undertake a comprehensive programme over the course of 6 weeks; this programme will be bespoke to the needs of the clients. The overarching aim of the programme will be to help the young people develop new skills and behaviours; understand their issues and how to develop self sustaining resilience; understand the importance of education and identify suitable pathways to help them progress in their learning career.

From our existing work with Year 11's, the topics likely to be covered are:

- Confidence building
- Self esteem
- Team work

- Communication skills
- Anger management
- Sexual exploitation
- Drugs/alcohol use
- Appropriate and positive relationships
- Crime and anti social behaviour
- Domestic abuse

4.7 **Project Title: Middleton & Belle Isle Christmas lights**

**Name of Group or Organisation:** Leeds Lights

**Total Project Cost:** £3000

**Amount proposed from Well Being Budget 2011/2012:** £3000

**Ward Covered:** Middleton Park

**Project Summary:** The Middleton circus and Belle Isle Christmas lights project last year brought together the community of Middleton in celebration. To further build on this success members are asked to support a similar scheme in Belle Isle. There are proposals to run a Christmas Market in both Middleton and Belle Isle (implemented by Re'new) and this project would support and enhance this project.

This funding would improve three lighting columns on Belle Isle Road enabling them to hold motifs. This is a permanent improvement and would reduce the costs in future years. The costs also include for the hire, installation and removal of the motifs.

4.8 **Middleton Gala**

This event was held for the first time last year, being seen as a success and bringing local people together. It was arranged last year as part of the contract with re'new, as this is no longer in place alternative arrangements have been established to deliver the event. Various groups have been brought together by South East Area Support team. The resultant group will develop the event, but in the mean time Members, in the absence of a detailed proposal, members have requested an allocation of up to **£5,000** (from the Middleton Park ward pot) to support the event. This amount of funds is in line with that given to the Belle Isle Gala.

4.9 **Cottingley Neighbourhood Improvement Plan**

Following the successful recent multi-agency work, Members have approved the development of the Neighbourhood Improvement plan. This work will be guided by a steering group and be led by the Priority Neighbourhood worker. Preparation for the first meeting of the NIP on the 11<sup>th</sup> May is now in place. Part of the early work of the nip steering group will be to consult with residents and partners around key themes including health, environment, children & young people and community safety. Following this work and action plan will be developed and implemented during the period of the Nip.

To empower the NIP steering group and facilitate work arising out of the action plan members are asked to consider making a revenue allocation of £3,000 to this work. This will enable the steering group to be pro active in addressing some issues in their area.

5.0 **Small Grants Approvals**

5.1 There has been no small grants approved since the last Area Committee meeting.

## **6.0 Corporate Considerations**

### **6.1 Consultation and Engagement**

6.1.1 Projects are developed to address priorities in the Area Committee Business Plan. The production of this plan is informed by Local Councillors and local residents. All projects developed are in consultation with Elected Members and local communities. Approval for any contribution from the Well being budget is secured at Area Committee.

### **6.2 Equality and Diversity / Cohesion and Integration**

6.2.1 Community groups submitting a project proposal requesting funding from the Well being budget have an equal opportunities policy and as part of the application process, complete a section outlining which equality groups the project will work with and how equality and cohesion issues have been considered.

6.2.2 Internal and statutory partners are committed to equality and cohesion and all projects they are involved with will have considered these issues.

6.2.3 A light touch Equality Impact Assessments is carried out for all projects.

### **6.3 Council Policies and City Priorities**

6.3.1 The projects outlined in this report contribute to target and priorities set out in the following council policies:

- Vision for Leeds
- Children and Young Peoples Plan
- Health and Well being City Priority Plan
- Safer and Stronger Communities Plan
- Regeneration City Priority Plan

### **6.4 Resources and Value for Money**

6.4.1 Resource implications will be that the remaining balance of the Well being Budget for capital will be reduced as a result of any projects funded.

### **6.5 Legal Implications, Access to Information and Call In**

6.5.1 All decisions taken by the Area Committee in relation to the delegated functions from Executive Board are eligible for Call In.

6.5.2 There are no key or major decisions being made that would be eligible for Call In.

6.5.3 There are no legal implications as a result of this report.

## **6.6 Risk Management**

6.6.1 This report provides an update on work in the Inner South and therefore no risks are identifiable. Any projects funded through Well being budget complete a section identifying risks and solutions as part of the application process.

## **7.0 Conclusions**

7.1 The report provides up to date information on the Area Committee's Well being Budget.

## **8.0 Recommendations**

8.1 Members of the Inner South Area Committee are requested to:

- a) Note the contents of the report.
- b) Note the position of the Well being Budget as set out at 3.0.
- c) Consider the points raised under the ring fencing arrangements in 3.3
- d) Note the Well being revenue projects already agreed as listed in Appendix 1.
- e) Consider the project proposals detailed in 4.0
- f) Note the Small Grants situation in 5.0

## **Background Documents<sup>1</sup>**

Well Being Report 21<sup>st</sup> June 2011  
Well Being Report 21<sup>st</sup> September 2011  
Well Being Report 8<sup>th</sup> November 2011  
Well Being Report 11<sup>th</sup> January 2012  
Well Being Report 8<sup>th</sup> February 2012  
Well Being Report 21<sup>st</sup> March 2012

---

<sup>1</sup> The background documents listed in this section are available for inspection on request for a period of four years following the date of the relevant meeting. Accordingly this list does not include documents containing exempt or confidential information, or any published works. Requests to inspect any background documents should be submitted to the report author.